F Ronga INFN Frascati

Neutrino LBL Experiments in Europe

Motivations for neutrino LBL experiments The CERN-Gran Sasso beam The Gran Sasso Laboratory and past experiments Status of OPERA Status of ICARUS

New projects

Conclusions

Important note! : European collaborators in USA/JAPAN and JAPAN/USA collaborators in Europe.



Neutrino Oscillations : after the discovery we are in the precision measurement era

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_{23} & s_{23} \\ 0 & -s_{23} & c_{23} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{13} & 0 & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -s_{13}e^{i\delta} & 0 & c_{13} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{12} & s_{12} & 0 \\ -s_{12} & c_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Atmospheric $\sin^2(2\theta_{23}) \sim 1$

 $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}$ terrestrial exp. sin² (2 θ_{13})<0.1

Solar/reactor $sin^2(2\theta_{12})\sim 0.8$

The PMNS (Pontecorvo Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata) matrix U (90% intervals)

0.79 - 0.86	0.50 - 0.61	0.0 - 0.16
0.24 - 0.52	0.44 - 0.69	0.63 - 0.79
0.26 - 0.52	0.47 - 0.71	0.60 - 0.77

Big success of particle physics without accelerators

Europe : CHOOZ (reactor), GALLEX, SAGE(solar v), and MACRO (atmospheric v)

Open questions for terrestrial neutrino beam experiments:

- 1) How different from 1 is $\sin^2(2\theta_{23})$ atmospheric? ==>Improve the precision in θ_{23} (and Δm_{23}^2)
- 2) How different from zero is θ_{13} ? ==>Improve the limits in θ_{13} . Only if $\theta_{13} \neq 0$ (and $\delta \neq 0$) you can have CP violation
- 3) If θ_{13} >~0.001 is possible to measure **\delta**
- 4) Precision check of the oscillation mechanism : tau appearance, unitariety of the mixing matrix ecc
- 5) Measurement of the sign of Δm_{23}^2

In the next few years Europe should contribute to #2 and #4

Neutrino Physics and EUROPE

Neutrino Oscillation Experiments



Underground Laboratories



LABORATORI NAZIONALI DEL GRAN SASSO - INFN

Largest underground laboratory for astroparticle physics

Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso

INFN



- Geophysics
- Biology

LNGS Users





Foreigners: 356 from 24 countries

Italians: 364

Permanent Staff: 64 people







GNO

Collab.: Italy, France, Germany

Goals: measurement of the interaction rate with an accuracy of 4-5% and monitoring the neutrino flux over a complete

101 tons Gallium Cloride solution ⁷¹Ge(v_e,e)⁷¹Ge Energy threshold > 233 keV

FLUXO (LOGARITMIC SCALE) 0,1 1,0 0,5 5,0 SSM 🔿 115 -135 SNU **NEUTRINO ENERGY (MeV)** GNO **GALLEX** Got N.U. Rate (S N.U.) 250 +5.577.5SN 200 150 100 50 Ο -50 1994 1992 1996 1998 2000 2002 2004 Year 51 Cr source 71 As

^{INFN} experiments

BOREXINO

7_{Be}

8_B

10,0

pp

13 N

15_O

17_F

11



MACRO and the Gran Sasso Hall B



1989

(b)





(a)

2001

1990

ıga Now 2004

Macro atmospheric neutrinos

final paper Eur. Phys.J. C 36 (2004) 357-373 see Giacomelli's talk



distribution: flux normalization problem: new fluxes too lower

MACRO atmospheric neutrinos



2004 Macro final C.L. regions:

1) No use of absolute fluxes

2) Combination of 3 quantities(Througoing muons angular distribution, Energy information, Up Down asymmetry)

3) Full statistics

1998 Througoing muons angular distribution and use of the Bartol 1996 theoretical flux normalization

Why 2004 and 1998 CL plot very similar?: chance or systematic errors in the neutrino flux too large and the old Bartol flux better than the new one?

Summer 2002- Borexino liquid scintillator spill-out

August 16th, 2002

The Borexino Group in the Hall C of LNGS by a series of unfortunate mistakes leaked 50 liters of pseudocumene in the external environment. *The accident happened in the atmosphere of the hot local debate about the safety tunnel project.*

October 2002 Borexino detector sequestrated by the prosecuting magistrate of Teramo.

May 29th, 2003

Information which threw strong doubts upon the water-tightness of the draining system of the Highway and the Gran Sasso laboratory.

Infn decided as a precaution to suspend the activities implying manipulation of any kind of liquid and ask for an immediate intervention of the competent government authorities.

The same day the entire Hall C was placed under judicial attachment by the magistrate.

Gran Sasso "emergency"

June 27th, 2003 The Council of Ministers declared the State of Emergency for the Gran Sasso facility (road tunnels, Labs., water system). This allows radical and urgent technical intervention of a government authority (Commissioner), without bureaucratic delays.

December 2003 Approval of the "first phase" designes by local authorities and Prosecutor. This enabled the normalization of the activities of the Laboratories. All the activities back to normal but Borexino and GNO. GNO ended.

August 11 2004 End of the judicial attack, removal of the remaining constraints on Hall C.

Gran Sasso safety works

First phase

- Floor waterproofing
- Realization of containment basins
- \cdot Safety measure for the drinkable water

Second phase

- \cdot Up grade of the ventilation system
- \cdot Up grade of the cooling capability
- \cdot Up grade of the electrical power

Containment basin



CNGS PROGRAM:

See Guglielmi's talk)

35

30

25

20

40

45

> Provide an unambiguous evidence for $v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{\tau}$ oscillations in the region of atmospheric neutrinos by looking for v_{τ} appearance in a pure v_{μ} beam

> Search for the subleading $v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{e}$ oscillations (measurement of Θ_{13})

0

ò

5

10

15





< E v _µ >	17 GeV
$(v_e + \overline{v}_e) / v_\mu$	0.87%
$\overline{v_{\mu}} / v_{\mu}$	2.1%
ν_{τ} prompt	negligible

```
\langle L/E \rangle = 43 \text{ Km/GeV}:
           « off peak »
         OPERA: 6200 v_{\mu} CC+NC /year
            19 v_{\tau} CC/year (@210^{-3} eV^2)
     50
E (GeV)
```

CNGS Upgrade

Continuation of machine studies (started in 2003) during 2004 in the framework of the High Intensity Protons Working Group. Increase of The CNGS intensity possible via increase per extracted beam pulse

Reduce beam losses at the extraction from the PS (creating radiation problems). New extraction scheme with adiabatic capture of the beam in distinct islands and 5 turns extraction. New hardware (kicker magnet) needed, final design at the end of the tests (end of 2004)

 Double batch injection from PS booster to PS to increase PS pulse intensity from 3 10¹³ to 5 10¹³



Expected proton intensity increase : 1.5





2 super-modules 1800 t sensitive mass

To detect τ is necessary a μ m resolution because the τ decays in a really short time

Collab.: Italy, France, China, Germany, Belgium, Turkey, Switzerland, Russia, Japan, Israel, Croatia

Layers of emulsions and Lead



ĮNFN



Use of the electronic detectors:

trigger and localization of neutrino interactions
 muon identification and momentum/charge measurement
 need for a hybrid detector



Brick finding, muon ID, charge and p



The basic unit: the « Brick »



- Based on the concept of the Emulsion Cloud Chamber (ECC)
- 56 Pb sheets 1mm + 56 emulsion layers

 Solves the problem of compatibility of large mass for neutrino interactions + high space resolution in a completely modular scheme

ECC are completely stand-alone detectors:

Neutrino interaction vertex and kink topology reconstruction

- > Measurement of the momenta of hadrons by multiple scattering
- dE/dx pion/muon separation at low energy
- > Electron identification and measurement of

the energy of the electrons and photons

ECC Tecnique validated by the direct observation of ν_{τ} : DONUT 2000



```
10.2 \times 12.7 \times 7.5 cm
```



OPERA structure with two Super-Modules



Proposal: July 2000, installation at LNGS started in May 2003



RPCs inside gaps: muon identification, shower energy

Total Fe weight ~ 1 kton coil 12 Fe slabs per magnet side 8.2 m Fe RPC (5 cm) **B= 1.55** 22 gaps filled with slabs RPC base

 $\varepsilon_{\text{charge}}^{\text{miss}} \approx (0.1 \div 0.3)\%$ $\Delta p/p = 20-25\%$ µId > 95% (with Target Tracker)

Magnet of SM1 during installation in Hall C at LNGS



Installation started in May 2003 Magnet SM1 completed June 2004 Magnet SM2 completed Apr. 2005 **Commissioning: May 2005**

F Ronga Now 2004



Bakelite RPC production and installation



• 1160 RPC + all needed strips produced (for the 2 spectrometers)

•FE electronics tendering started

- 19/11/03: 1^{rst} wall of RPC installed
- 19/5/04: RPC in SM 1 fully installed
- 21 chambers x 22 gaps (1540 m²)

RPC cosmic ray test (36 RPC)

Mechanical
Gas tightness
HV, electrical tests in Ar
Conditioning with N₂
Noise, Efficiency with cosmics

Gas and HV tests repeated in Hall C









(in the middle: worst case for

two-end readout)

N_{ne} versus Length (cm)

550

Length (cm)

•Ethernet DAQ cards Construction of the modules in progress (8/week) Installation at LNGS since September 2004 F Ronga Now 2004

>XY planes, 7000m² in total

gain correction



32256 Scintillator strips 6.86m x 2.6cm x1cm

Autotriggerable and threshold @ 1/5 p.e

AMCRYS-H (Kharkov) + Kuraray WLS

>1000 MaPMT Hamamatsu 64channels

Dedicated Front End electronics for



Fuji Emulsion & Lead Production

Mass production started April 2003 (~150 000 m²) 20% produced

Refreshing (erasure of CR tracks) performed in the Tono Mine in Japan (700 bricks/day)



Refreshing condition

- Humidity : >95%
- Temperature : 30 °C
- Time : $\sim 3 \text{ days}$



Pb (1mm thick @ 10μm) ready for prototype mass production in Germany

(~ 10^7 plates to be produced at the end)

Emulsion storage barrack (5 cm Fe shielding at LNGS)





full mixing, 5 years run @ 4.5 x10¹⁹ pot / year

	signal (Δm ² = 1.9 x 10 ⁻³ eV ²)	signal (Δm ² = 2.4 x 10 ⁻³ eV ²)	signal ($\Delta m^2 = 3.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$)	BKGD
OPERA 1.8 kton fiducial	6.6 <mark>(10)</mark>	10.5(15.8)	16.4(24.6)	0.7(1.06)





$v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_e$: sensitivity

Backgrounds:

- $\succ ~~\pi^0$ identified as electrons produced in $\nu_\mu NC$ and $\nu_\mu CC$ with the μ not identified
- \succ v_e beam contamination
- $\succ \quad \tau \rightarrow e \text{ from } \nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\tau} \text{ oscillations}$

Expected signal and background assuming 5	years data taking with
the nominal CNGS beam and $\Delta m_{23}^2 = 2.5 \times 10^{-3}$ eV	$\sqrt{2}, \sin^2 2\theta_{23} = 1$

θ_{13}	signal	τ→e	ν _µ CC	$ u_{\mu}NC $	v _e CC beam
9°	9.3	4.5	1.0	5.2	18
8°	7.4	4.5	1.0	5.2	18
7°	5.8	4.6	1.0	5.2	18
5°	3.0	4.6	1.0	5.2	18
Efficiency	0.31	0.032	0.34x10 ⁻⁴	7.0x10 ⁻⁴	0.082

S/B enhanced with simultaneous fit of $E_{visible}$, $E_{electron}$ and missing P_t

$\sin^2 2\theta_{13}$	Θ_{13}
<0.06	<7.1°
<0.05 (beam *1.5)	<6.4°

Due to the off-peak baseline CNGS has a sensistivity on θ_{13} with a dependence on δ_{CP} complementary to T2K

The ICARUS Collaboration

Research project jointly approved by INFN and CERN

- CERN/SPSC 2002-027 (SPSC-P-323) LNGS-EXP 13/89
- CNGS Physics Program: ICARUS is an official CERN experiment known as CNGS2 (April 2003)



Neutrino 2004

ICARUS Imaging Cosmic and Rare Underground Signals



Liquid Argon (-176 °C)

First half of T600 module successfully operated in Pavia Expect to install T600 in 2004 T3000 detector proposed as a series of five T600 modules

•Wide physics program

- ν_τ and ν_e appearance on CNGS
- atmospheric neutrinos
- supernova neutrinos
- solar neutrinos



Collaboration: Italy, Poland, China Spain, Switzerland, USA



ICARUS: technique

Uniform Imaging in large volume liquid Argon



F Ronga Now 2004

...an "electronic bubble chamber" with broad physics potential and energy range

Bubble diameter ≈ 3 mm (diffraction limited)



Gargamelle

Heavy Freon

3 ton

1.5 11.0 49.5 2.3

Medium
Sensitive mass
Density (g/cm3)
Radiation length (cm)
Collision length (cm)
dE/dx (MeV/cm)



Liquid Ar TPC

Liquid Argon
300 ton kton
1.4
14.0
54.8
2.1

Real neutrino events observed by LAr TPC and water Cerenkov





F Ronga Now 2004

Momentum measurement via multiple scattering in T600



F Ronga Now 2004



CNGS: $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\tau}$ *Oscillations*

■ Main reaction v_{τ} +Ar→ τ +jet; τ →

$$\frac{1}{v}$$

$$\frac{1}{h^0v}$$

$$\frac{1}{h^0v}$$

$$\frac{1}{h^0v}$$

- Search based on kinematical criteria
- Natural v_{τ} contamination below 10⁻⁷ w.r.t. v_{μ} component
- Super-Kamiokande: 1.6 < Δm² < 3.0 at 90% C.L.

	Signal	Signal	Signal	Signal	
τ decay mode	$\Delta m^2 =$	$\Delta m^2 =$	$\Delta m^2 =$	$\Delta m^2 =$	BG
	$1.6 imes10^{-3}~{ m eV^2}$	$2.5 imes 10^{-3} \ \mathrm{eV^2}$	$3.0 imes 10^{-3} \ \mathrm{eV^2}$	$4.0 imes 10^{-3} \ \mathrm{eV^2}$	
$\tau \rightarrow c$	3.7	9	13	23	0.7
$\tau \to \rho \text{ DIS}$	0.6	1.5	2.2	3.9	< 0.1
$\tau \rightarrow \rho \ QE$	0.6	1.4	2.0	3.6	< 0.1
Total	4.9	11.9	17.2	30.5	0.7

- 5 years of CNGS operation (4.5 x 10¹⁹ p.o.t.)
- T3000 detector (2.35 kton active LAr, 1.5 kton fiducial)





CNGS: $v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{e}$ Oscillations





Evolution of the ICARUS technique :small detector



100 kton liquid Argon TPC detector



A tentative detector layout

<u>Single detector</u>: charge imaging, scintillation, Cerenkov light

Dewar	$_{0}$ \approx 70 m, height \approx 20 m, perlite insulated, heat i
Argon storage	Boiling Argon, low pressure (<100 mbar overpressure)
Argon total volume	73000 m ³ , ratio area/volume ≈ 15%
Argon total mass	102000 tons
Hydrostatic pressure at bottom	3 atmospheres
Inner detector dimensions	Disc ¢ ≈70 m located in gas phase above liquid
Charge readout electronics	100000 channels, 100 racks on top of the dewar
Scintillation light readout	Yes (also for triggering), 1000 immersed 8" PM
Visible light readout	Yes (Cerenkov light), 27000 immersed 8" PMTs single γ counting capability



But a very large cryogenic plant is needed!



- Initial filling: transport LAr or in situ cryogenic plant Filling speed 150 ton/day → 2 years to fill
- 5 W/m² heat input: 30 ton/day refilling needed
- Continuous re-circulation (purity)

Double-Chooz : site

Far detector : using existing infrastructure from the previous experiment @ 1050 m

- 2 identical detectors \Rightarrow goal : $\sigma_{relative} \cong 0.6\%$
- LOI : hep-ex/0405032 sin²(2θ₁₃)<~0.02
- detector cost 7.5 Meuros
- civil engineering ~5 Meuros (not studied)
- LOI accepted
- need for a proposal within 6 months

Near detector @100-200 m from the nuclear cores in discussion with EDF

See G Mention' s talk

Future Neutrino Beams



BETA BEAMS (see Mezzetto' s talk)

P Zucchelli Phys Lett B532:166 2002

- Just one flavour in the beam
- Energy shape defined by just two parameters: the endpoint energy of the beta decay and the γ of the parent ion.
- Flux normalization given by the number of ions circulating in the decay ring.
- Beam divergence given by $\gamma.$

The full ⁶He flux MonteCarlo code

```
Function Flux(E)
Data Endp/3.5078/
Data Decays /2.9E18/
ve=me/EndP
c ... For ge(ye) see hep-ph0312068
ge=0.0300615
2gE0=2*gamma*EndP
c ... Kinematical Limits
If (E.gt. (1-ye) *2gE0) THEN
   Flux=0.
   Return
Endif
c ...Here is the Flux
Flux=Decays*gamma**2/(pi*L**2*ge)*(E**2*(2gE0-E))/
+ 2gE0**4*Sqrt((1-E/2gE0)**2-ye**2)
Return
```



CERN TARANTO (CNGT)



Fiducial mass 2 Mtons, depth~1000 m, different postions 300x 300m² plane with 100 supermodules 3000 optical modules

Future Neutrino Physics in EUROPE



The SuperBeam - BetaBeam synergy: $heta_{13}$ sensitivity

Computed for 5 years running, no signal in the experiment.

- No way to disentangle θ_{13} from δ in a high sensitivity experiment.
- The full information of experiment sensitivity is given by a bidimensional θ_{13} vs δ plot.
- Beta Beam can measure $heta_{13}$ both in appearance and in disappearance mode. All the ambiguities can be removed for $heta_{13} \geq 3.4^\circ$

Summary of ("super-beam") LBL experiments

(from Kobayashi neutrino 2004 and Mezzetto)

		<i>E_p</i> (GeV)	Power (MW)	Beam	$\langle E_{\mathbf{v}} \rangle$ (GeV)	L (km)	M _{det} (kt)	v _µ CC (/yr)	v _e @peak	$\sin^2(2\theta_{13})$	1
K2K		12	0.005	WB	1.3	250	22.5	~50	~1%		Ĵ
MINOS(LE)		120	0.4	WB	3.5	730	5.4	~2,500	1.2%	0.06	
CNGS	EU	400	0.3	WB	18	732	~2*2	~10,000	0.8%	0.03	J
T2K-I		50	0.75	OA	0.7	295	22.5	~3,000	0.2%	.0.01	- -
CNGS+	EU	400	0.3	WB	18	732	~2*2	15000	0.8%	0.025	
NOvA		120	0.4	OA	~2	810?	50	~4,600	0.3%	~0.006	II
C2GT	EU	400	0.3	OA	0.8	~1200	1,000?	~5,000	0.2%	0.002?	
T2K-II		50	4	OA	0.7	295	~500	~360,000	0.2%	0.0006	
PS++(Cern)	EU	20	4	WB	1.6	732	2?	1000?	1.2%	0.006?	
NOvA+PD		120	2	OA	~2	810?	50?	~23,000	0.3%		
BNL-Hs		28	1	WB/OA	~1	2540	~500	~13,000			I
SPL-Frejus Beta beam	EU	2.2	4	WB	0.32	130	~500	~18,000	0.4%	0.002 0.0007	
FeHo		8/120	"4"	WB/OA	1~3	1290	~500	~50,000			J

Running, constructing or approved experiments Now 2004

Conclusions: European road map?

In Europe no clear plan for the long term future (up to now).

Weak interactions ==>> long time to do experiment. (30 years to confirm solar neutrino oscillations!.)

Currently Europe involved in:

- Direct mass measurements (KATRIN, cryogenic experiments)
- Double beta experiments (NEMO3, IGEX, CUORE, Germanium DBge76..)
- Solar neutrino experiments (BOREX, SAGE, SAGE+GNO?)
- CNGS ICARUS / OPERA experiments
- New reactor experiments (Double -Chooz)?
- R/D for future detectors and beams
- Astrophysical neutrino experiments (Stellar collapse: LVD, neutrino astronomy: ANTARES, NEMO, NESTOR, Km³)

This program will take >=one decade

if no surprises!

Conclusions

Constraints for a long term plan:

1) CERN fully committed in LHC. LHC upgrades?

2) No theoretical guide on neutrino physics, particularly on θ_{13}

In principle two possibilities see Peach nufact 04:

The expensive fast train approach : build a neutrino 1)

I hope this workshop could help to clarify a "road map"

The slow train approach (normal beams, superbeams, 2) beta beams, MEGATON). At the end could be more expensive of the fast approach, but more research topics (neutrino astrophysics etc)

