# The Regge limit in QCD and in N=4 super-Yang-Mills

Vittorio Del Duca ETH Zürich & INFN LNF

ETH 30 May 2017



CMS Experiment at the LHC, CERN Data recorded: 2016-Jul-20 00:53:41.015616 GMT Run / Event / LS: 277070 / 1428567104 / 771



Production of two high-energy jets as recorded by CMS at the LHC

- At the LHC, particles are produced through the head-on collisions of protons, and in particular through the collisions of quarks and gluons within the protons
- The probability of a collision event is computed through the cross section, which is given as an integral of (squared) scattering amplitudes over the phase space of the produced particles
- The scattering amplitudes are given as a power series (loop expansion) in the strong and/or electroweak couplings
- The scattering amplitudes are fundamental objects of particle physics

Scattering amplitudes

The scattering amplitudes are given as a loop-momentum expansion in the strong and/or electroweak couplings



- The more terms we know in the loop expansion, the more precisely we can compute the cross section
- As a matter of fact, we know any amplitude of interest at one loop, several  $(2 \rightarrow 2)$  amplitudes at two loops, just a couple  $(2 \rightarrow 1)$  at three loops, and nothing beyond that

- What outcome do we expect from the loop expansion of an amplitude?
- From renormalisability and the infrared structure of the amplitude, we expect that the divergent parts are logarithmic functions of the external momenta
- But, except for unitarity, we have little guidance for the finite parts.
   Heuristically, we know that:
   — at one loop, logarithmic and dilogarithmic
  - functions of the external momenta occur
  - beyond one loop, higher polylogarithmic functions appear and elliptic functions may appear

- In the last few years, a lot of progress has been made in understanding the analytic structure of multi-loop amplitudes, in particular on how the polylogarithmic functions appear at any loop level
- G
- In particular, a lot of progress has been made: — in N=4 Super Yang-Mills (SYM) — in the Regge limit of QCD — in the Regge limit of N=4 SYM

One of the most remarkable discoveries in elementary particle physics has been that of the existence of the complex plane ....

incipit The analytic S-matrix Eden Landshoff Olive Polkinghorne 1966

## Regge limit in the leading logarithmic approximation

Regge limit of QCD

- In perturbative QCD, in the Regge limit s » t, any scattering process is dominated by gluon exchange in the t channel
- For a 4-gluon tree amplitude, we obtain

$$\mathcal{M}_{aa'bb'}^{gg \to gg}(s,t) = 2 g_s^2 \left[ (T^c)_{aa'} C_{\nu_a \nu_{a'}}(p_a, p_{a'}) \right] \frac{s}{t} \left[ (T_c)_{bb'} C_{\nu_b \nu_{b'}}(p_b, p_{b'}) \right]$$

 $C_{\nu_a \nu_{a'}}(p_a, p_{a'})$  are called impact factors

leading logarithms of s/t are obtained by the substitution

 $\alpha(t)$  is the Regge gluon trajectory, with infrared coefficients

$$\alpha(t) = \frac{\alpha_s(-t,\epsilon)}{4\pi} \alpha^{(1)} + \left(\frac{\alpha_s(-t,\epsilon)}{4\pi}\right)^2 \alpha^{(2)} + \mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_s^3\right) \qquad \alpha_s(-t,\epsilon) = \left(\frac{\mu^2}{-t}\right)^\epsilon \alpha_s(\mu^2)$$

$$\alpha^{(1)} = C_A \frac{\widehat{\gamma}_K^{(1)}}{\epsilon} = C_A \frac{2}{\epsilon} \qquad \qquad \alpha^{(2)} = C_A \left[ -\frac{b_0}{\epsilon^2} + \widehat{\gamma}_K^{(2)} \frac{2}{\epsilon} + C_A \left( \frac{404}{27} - 2\zeta_3 \right) + n_f \left( -\frac{56}{27} \right) \right]$$

in the Regge limit, the amplitude is invariant under 
$$s \leftrightarrow u$$
 exchange.  
To NLL accuracy, the amplitude is given by  
 $\mathcal{M}_{aa'bb'}^{gg \rightarrow gg}(s,t) = 2 g_s^2 \frac{s}{t} \left[ (T^c)_{aa'} C_{\nu_a \nu_{a'}}(p_a, p_{a'}) \right] \left[ \left( \frac{s}{-t} \right)^{\alpha(t)} + \left( \frac{-s}{-t} \right)^{\alpha(t)} \right] \left[ (T_c)_{bb'} C_{\nu_b \nu_{b'}}(p_b, p_{b'}) \right]$ 

 $p_{a} a \nu_{a} \qquad p_{a'} a' \nu_{a'}$   $q, c \downarrow$   $p_{b} b \nu_{b} \qquad p_{b'} b' \nu_{b'}$ 

 $\frac{1}{t} \to \frac{1}{t} \left(\frac{s}{-t}\right)^{\alpha(t)}$ 

Amplitudes in the Regge limit

Regge limit of the gluon-gluon amplitude

$$\mathcal{M}_{aa'bb'}^{gg \to gg}(s,t) = 2 g_s^2 \frac{s}{t} \left[ (T^c)_{aa'} C_{\nu_a \nu_{a'}}(p_a, p_{a'}) \right] \left[ \left( \frac{s}{-t} \right)^{\alpha(t)} + \left( \frac{-s}{-t} \right)^{\alpha(t)} \right] \left[ (T_c)_{bb'} C_{\nu_b \nu_{b'}}(p_b, p_{b'}) \right]$$

strip colour off & expand at one loop



the Regge gluon trajectory is universal; the one-loop gluon impact factor is a polynomial in *t*,  $\epsilon$ , starting at  $1/\epsilon^2$ 

- perform the Regge limit of the quark-quark amplitude
   → get one-loop quark impact factor
- if factorisation holds, one can obtain the one-loop quark-gluon amplitude by assembling the Regge trajectory and the gluon and quark impact factors the result should match the quark-gluon amplitude in the high-energy limit: it does

## Balitski Fadin Kuraev Lipatov



- the resummation yields an integral (BFKL) equation for the evolution of the gluon propagator in 2-dim transverse momentum space
- the **BFKL** equation is obtained in the limit of strong rapidity ordering of the emitted gluons, with no ordering in transverse momentum *multi-Regge kinematics* (MRK)



the solution is a Green's function of the momenta flowing in and out of the gluon ladder exchanged in the **t** channel

#### Mueller-Navelet jets





Dijet production cross section with two tagging jets in the forward and backward directions

 $p_a = x_a P_A$   $p_b = x_b P_B$  incoming parton momenta

- S: hadron centre-of-mass energy
- $s = x_a x_b S$ : parton centre-of-mass energy
- E<sub>Tj</sub>: jet transverse energies

$$\Delta y = |y_{j_1} - y_{j_2}| \simeq \log \frac{s}{E_{Tj_1} E_{Tj_2}}$$

is the rapidity interval between the tagging jets

gluon radiation is considered in MRK and resummed through the LL BFKL equation

Mueller-Navelet evaluated the inclusive dijet cross section up to 5 loops

#### Mueller-Navelet dijet cross section



00000

 $j_2$ 

and the LL BFKL eigenvalue

G

 $\chi_{\nu,n} = -2\gamma_E - \psi\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{|n|}{2} + i\nu\right) - \psi\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{|n|}{2} - i\nu\right)$ 

Mueller-Navelet dijet cross section

azimuthal angle distribution  $(\phi_{ij} = \phi - \pi)$ 

$$\frac{d\hat{\sigma}_{gg}}{d\phi_{jj}} = \frac{\pi (C_A \alpha_s)^2}{2E_\perp^2} \left[ \delta(\phi_{jj} - \pi) + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \left( \sum_{n=-\infty}^\infty \frac{e^{in\phi}}{2\pi} f_{n,k} \right) \eta^k \right]$$

with 
$$f_{n,k} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{k!} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\nu \frac{\chi_{\nu,n}^k}{\nu^2 + \frac{1}{4}}$$

 $f_{0,0} = 1$ ,

 $f_{0,1} = 0$ ,

the dijet cross section is

$$\hat{\sigma}_{gg} = \frac{\pi (C_A \alpha_s)^2}{2E_\perp^2} \sum_{k=0}^\infty f_{0,k} \, \eta^k$$

Mueller Navelet 1987

G

$$f_{0,2} = 2\zeta_2 ,$$
  

$$f_{0,3} = -3\zeta_3 ,$$
  

$$f_{0,4} = \frac{53}{6}\zeta_4 ,$$
  

$$f_{0,5} = -\frac{1}{12} (115\zeta_5 + 48\zeta_2\zeta_3)$$

## N=4 Super Yang Mills

- maximal supersymmetric theory (without gravity) conformally invariant,  $\beta$  fn. = 0
  - spin I gluon
     4 spin I/2 gluinos
     6 spin 0 real scalars
- 't Hooft limit:  $N_c \rightarrow \infty$  with  $\lambda = g^2 N_c$  fixed
  - only planar diagrams
- AdS/CFT duality Maldacena 97
  - Solution Set in the set of a string string theory weakly-coupled string theory (aka weak-strong duality)

## N=4 Super Yang Mills

- amplitudes in N=4 SYM are much simpler than in Standard Model processes
- use N=4 SYM as a computational lab:
  - to learn techniques and tools to be used in Standard Model calculations
  - to learn about the bases of special functions which may occur in the scattering processes

## N=4 Super Yang Mills

- In the last years, a huge progress has been made in understanding the analytic structure of the S-matrix of N=4 SYM
- Besides the ordinary conformal symmetry, in the planar limit the S-matrix exhibits a dual conformal symmetry Drummond Henn Smirnov Sokatchev 2006
- Accordingly, the analytic structure of the scattering amplitudes is highly constraint
- 4- and 5-point amplitudes are fixed to all loops by the symmetries in terms of the one-loop amplitudes and the cusp anomalous dimension Anastasiou Bern Dixon Kosower 2003, Bern Dixon Smirnov 2005

Anastasiou Bern Dixon Kosower 2003, Bern Dixon Smirnov 2005 Drummond Henn Korchemsky Sokatchev 2007

Beyond 5 points, the finite part of the amplitudes is given in terms of a remainder function R. The symmetries only fix the variables of R (some conformally invariant cross ratios) but not the analytic dependence of R on them

G

for n = 6, the conformally invariant cross ratios are







$$u_{1i} = \frac{x_{i+1,i+5}^2 x_{i+2,i+4}^2}{x_{i+1,i+4}^2 x_{i+2,i+5}^2}, \qquad u_{2i} = \frac{x_{N,i+3}^2 x_{1,i+2}^2}{x_{N,i+2}^2 x_{1,i+3}^2}, \qquad u_{3i} = \frac{x_{1i+4}^2 x_{2,i+3}^2}{x_{1,i+3}^2 x_{2,i+4}^2}$$

## Scattering amplitudes



- Scattering amplitudes of gluons are functions of:
- the external momenta
- colour
- helicities:
  - maximally helicity violating (- ++...+)
  - next-to-maximally helicity violating (- - ++...+)
  - and so on

## Scattering amplitudes in N=4 SYM

- The progress in understanding the analytic structure of the S-matrix in planar N=4 SYM is also due to an improved understanding of the mathematical structures underlying the scattering amplitudes
  - *n*-point amplitudes are expected to be written in terms of iterated integrals (on the space of configurations of points in 3-dim projective space  $Conf_n(CP^3)$ ) Golden Paulos Spradlin Volovich 2014
- 9
- The simplest case of iterated integrals are the iterated integrals over rational functions, i.e. the multiple polylogarithms

Goncharov 2001

 $G(a, \vec{w}; z) = \int_0^z \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t - a} G(\vec{w}; t), \qquad G(a; z) = \ln\left(1 - \frac{z}{a}\right) \qquad G(0, 1; z) = -\mathrm{Li}_2(z)$ 

G

It is thought that maximally helicity violating (MHV) and next-to-MHV (NMHV) amplitudes can be expressed in terms of multiple polylogarithms of uniform transcendental weight

Arkani-Hamed et al. Scattering Amplitudes and the Positive Grassmannian 2012

## Scattering amplitudes in N=4 SYM

- MHV and NMHV amplitudes feature *maximal transcendentality*, i.e. *L*-loop amplitudes are expressed in terms of multiple polylogarithms of weight 2*L* only
- MHV amplitudes are *pure*, i.e. the coefficients of the multiple polylogarithms are (rational) numbers

## Scattering amplitudes in N=4 SYM

#### 6-pt (N)MHV amplitudes are known analytically up to 5(4) loops

Duhr Smirnov VDD 2009 Goncharov Spradlin Vergu Volovich 2010 Dixon Drummond Henn 2011 Dixon Drummond von Hippel Pennington 2013 Dixon Drummond Duhr Pennington 2014 Caron-Huot Dixon von Hippel McLeod 2016

Dixon Drummond Henn 2011 Dixon von Hippel 2014 Dixon von Hippel McLeod 2015

7-pt MHV amplitudes are known analytically at two loops

Golden Spradlin 2014

No analytic result is known beyond 7 points. The cluster algebra which defines the multiple polylogarithms is infinite starting from 8 points

Golden Goncharov Spradlin Vergu Volovich 2013

## Multi-Regge limit of N=4 SYM

- In the Euclidean region (where all Mandelstam invariants are negative), amplitudes in MRK factorise completely in terms of building blocks which are expressed in terms of Regge poles and can be determined to all orders through the 4-pt and 5-pt amplitudes. Thus the remainder functions *R* vanish at all points Duhr Glover VDD 2008
- After analytic continuation to some regions of the Minkowski space, the amplitudes develops cuts which are described by a dispersion relation for octet exchange, which is similar to the singlet BFKL equation in QCD Bartels Lipatov Sabio-Vera 2008
- Accordingly, 6-pt amplitudes have been thoroughly examined, both at weak and at strong coupling
- In particular, 6-pt amplitudes at weak coupling can be expressed in terms of single-valued harmonic polylogarithms

#### Regge factorisation of the *n*-pt amplitude

$$m_n(1,2,\ldots,n) = s \left[ g C(p_2,p_3) \right] \frac{1}{t_{n-3}} \left( \frac{-s_{n-3}}{\tau} \right)^{\alpha(t_{n-3})} \left[ g V(q_{n-3},q_{n-4},\kappa_{n-4}) \right]$$
$$\cdots \times \frac{1}{t_2} \left( \frac{-s_2}{\tau} \right)^{\alpha(t_2)} \left[ g V(q_2,q_1,\kappa_1) \right] \frac{1}{t_1} \left( \frac{-s_1}{\tau} \right)^{\alpha(t_1)} \left[ g C(p_1,p_n) \right]$$

*n*-pt amplitude in the multi-Regge limit  $y_3 \gg y_4 \gg \cdots \gg y_n; \qquad |p_{3\perp}| \simeq |p_{4\perp}| \dots \simeq |p_{n\perp}|$  $s \gg s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{n-3} \gg -t_1, -t_2 \dots, -t_{n-3}$ 

the *I*-loop *n*-pt amplitude can be assembled using the *I*-loop trajectories, vertices and coefficient functions, determined through the *I*-loop 4-pt and 5-pt amplitudes



in Euclidean space,

no violation of the BDS ansatz can be found in the multi-Regge limit

Duhr Glover VDD 2008



Moduli space of Riemann spheres

- in MRK, there is no ordering in transverse momentum, i.e. only the *n*-2 transverse momenta are non-trivial
- dual conformal invariance in transverse momentum space implies dependence on n-5 cross ratios of the transverse momenta

 $z_{i} = \frac{(\mathbf{x}_{1} - \mathbf{x}_{i+3}) (\mathbf{x}_{i+2} - \mathbf{x}_{i+1})}{(\mathbf{x}_{1} - \mathbf{x}_{i+1}) (\mathbf{x}_{i+2} - \mathbf{x}_{i+3})} = -\frac{\mathbf{q}_{i+1} \mathbf{k}_{i}}{\mathbf{q}_{i-1} \mathbf{k}_{i+1}} \qquad i = 1, \dots, n-5$ 

 $\mathcal{M}_{0,p}$  = space of configurations of p points on the Riemann sphere

Because we can fix 3 points at 0, 1,  $\infty$ , its dimension is dim( $\mathcal{M}_{0,p}$ )= p-3

 $\mathcal{M}_{0,n-2}$  is the space of the MRK, with dim $(\mathcal{M}_{0,n-2}) = n-5$ 

Its coordinates can be chosen to be the zi's, i.e. the cross ratios of the transverse momenta

Drummond Druc Duhr Dulat Marzucca Papathanasiou Verbeek VDD 2016



Iterated integrals on  $\mathcal{M}_{0,n-2}$ 

on  $\mathcal{M}_{0,n-2}$ , the singularities are associated to degenerate configurations when two points merge  $x_i \rightarrow x_{i+1}$ i.e. when momentum  $p_i$  becomes soft  $p_i \rightarrow 0$ 



iterated integrals on  $\mathcal{M}_{0,p}$  can be written as multiple polylogarithms Brown 2006

amplitudes in MRK can be written in terms of multiple polylogarithms

- analytic structure of amplitudes is constrained by unitarity and the optical theorem  $Disc(M) = iMM^{\dagger}$
- massless amplitudes may have branch points when Mandelstam invariants vanish  $s_{ij} \rightarrow 0$  or become infinite  $s_{ij} \rightarrow \infty$ , but branch cuts are constrained by unitarity

Hopf algebra and the coproduct

- algebra is a vector space with a product  $\mu: A \otimes A \rightarrow A$   $\mu(a \otimes b) = a \cdot b$ that is associative  $A \otimes A \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes A \rightarrow A$   $(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$
- ♀ coalgebra is a vector space with a coproduct Δ: B → B ⊗ Bthat is coassociative B → B ⊗ B → B ⊗ B ⊗ B $∆(a) = <math>\sum_{i} a_i^{(1)} ⊗ a_i^{(2)}$
- $\mathbf{P} \quad \mathbf{\mu}$  puts together;  $\mathbf{\Delta}$  decomposes
- a Hopf algebra is an algebra and a coalgebra, such that product and coproduct are compatible  $\Delta(a \cdot b) = \Delta(a) \cdot \Delta(b)$

Goncharov 2002

multiple polylogarithms form a Hopf algebra with a coproduct

$$\Delta(L_w) = \sum_{k=0}^w \Delta_{k,w-k}(L_w) = \sum_{k=0}^w L_k \otimes L_{w-k}$$

in particular, on classical polylogarithms

```
\Delta(\ln z) = 1 \otimes \ln z + \ln z \otimes 1
```

 $\Delta(\operatorname{Li}_2(z)) = 1 \otimes \operatorname{Li}_2(z) + \operatorname{Li}_2(z) \otimes 1 - \ln(1-z) \otimes \ln z$ 



- then the coproduct of an amplitude is related to unitarity
- thus, for massless amplitudes  $\Delta(M) = \ln(s_{ij}) \otimes \dots$
- in particular, for amplitudes in MRK  $\Delta(M) = \ln |\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_i|^2 \otimes \dots$
- except for the soft limit  $p_i \rightarrow 0$ , in MRK the transverse momenta never vanish

 $|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j|^2 \neq 0$  single-valued functions

therefore, *n*-point amplitudes in MRK of planar N=4 SYM can be written in terms of single-valued iterated integrals on  $\mathcal{M}_{0,n-2}$ 

Drummond Druc Duhr Dulat Marzucca Papathanasiou Verbeek VDD 2016

for n=6, iterated integrals on  $\mathcal{M}_{0,4}$  are harmonic polylogarithms thus, 6-point amplitudes in MRK of can be written in terms of single-valued harmonic polylogarithms (SVHPL)

Dixon Duhr Pennington 2012

Harmonic polylogarithms

- $\bigcirc$  classical polylogarithms  $\operatorname{Li}_m(z) = \int_0^z dz' \frac{\operatorname{Li}_{m-1}(z')}{z'}$
- $\begin{aligned} & \Theta \quad \text{harmonic polylogarithms (HPLs)} \\ & H(a, \vec{w}; z) = \int_0^z \mathrm{d}t \, f(a; t) \, H(\vec{w}; t) \qquad f(-1; t) = \frac{1}{1+t} \,, \quad f(0; t) = \frac{1}{t} \,, \quad f(1; t) = \frac{1}{1-t} \\ & \text{with} \quad \{a, \vec{w}\} \in \{-1, 0, 1\} \end{aligned}$
- HPLs obey the differential equations

 $\frac{d}{dz}H_{0\,\omega}(z) = \frac{H_{\omega}(z)}{z}, \qquad \frac{d}{dz}H_{1\,\omega}(z) = \frac{H_{\omega}(z)}{1-z}$ 

subject to the constraints

$$H(z) = 1,$$
  $H_{\vec{0}_n}(z) = \frac{1}{n!} \ln^n z,$   $\lim_{z \to 0} H_{\omega \neq \vec{0}_n}(z) = 0$ 

HPLs form a shuffle algebra

 $H_{\omega_1}(z) H_{\omega_2}(z) = \sum_{\omega} H_{\omega}(z)$  with  $\omega$  the shuffle of  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$ 

HPLs are multi-valued functions on the complex plane

Single-valued polylogarithms

- Single-valued functions are real analytic functions on the complex plane
- Because the discontinuities of the classical polylogarithms are known

$$\Delta \mathrm{Li}_n(z) = 2\pi i \frac{\log^{n-1} z}{(n-1)!}$$

one can build combinations of classical polylogarithms such that all branch cuts cancel on the punctured plane C/{0,1} (Riemann sphere with punctures)

An example is the Bloch-Wigner dilogarithm

 $D_2(z) = \text{Im}[\text{Li}_2(z)] + \arg(1-z)\log|z|$ 

### Single-valued harmonic polylogarithms

define a function  $\mathscr{L}$  that is real-analytic and single-valued on  $\mathbb{C}/\{0,1\}$ and that has the same properties as the HPLs

it obeys the differential equations

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \mathcal{L}_{0\,\omega}(z) = \frac{\mathcal{L}_{\omega}(z)}{z} \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \mathcal{L}_{1\,\omega}(z) = \frac{\mathcal{L}_{\omega}(z)}{1-z}$$

subject to the constraints

$$\mathcal{L}_e(z) = 1, \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\vec{0}_n}(z) = \frac{1}{n!} \ln^n |z|^2 \qquad \lim_{z \to 0} \mathcal{L}_{\omega \neq \vec{0}_n}(z) = 0$$

the SVHPLs  $\mathscr{L}_{\omega}(z)$  also form a shuffle algebra

$$\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1}(z) \mathcal{L}_{\omega_2}(z) = \sum_{\omega} \mathcal{L}_{\omega}(z)$$
 with  $\omega$  the shuffle of  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$ 

SVHPLs can be explicitly expressed as combinations of HPLs such that all the branch cuts cancel

 $\mathcal{L}_0(z) = H_0(z) + H_0(\bar{z}) = \ln |z|^2$ 

Brown 2004

G

$$\mathcal{L}_{1}(z) = H_{1}(z) + H_{1}(\bar{z}) = -\ln|1+z|^{2}$$
  
$$\mathcal{L}_{0,1}(z) = \frac{1}{4} \left[ -2H_{1,0} + 2\bar{H}_{1,0} + 2H_{0}\bar{H}_{1} - 2\bar{H}_{0}H_{1} + 2H_{0,1} - 2\bar{H}_{0,1} \right]$$
  
$$= \operatorname{Li}_{2}(z) - \operatorname{Li}_{2}(\bar{z}) + \frac{1}{2}\ln|z|^{2} \left(\ln(1-z) - \ln(1-\bar{z})\right)$$

Single-valued multiple polylogarithms

Single-valued multiple polylogarithms (SVMPL) can be constructed through a map that to each multiple polylogarithm associates its single-valued version Brown 2004, 2013, 2015

examples of SVMPLs

 $\mathcal{G}_a(z) = G_a(z) + G_{\bar{a}}(\bar{z}) = \ln\left|1 - \frac{z}{a}\right|^2$ 

 $\mathcal{G}_{a,b}(z) = G_{a,b}(z) + G_{\bar{b},\bar{a}}(\bar{z}) + G_{b}(a)G_{\bar{a}}(\bar{z}) + G_{\bar{b}}(\bar{a})G_{\bar{a}}(\bar{z})$  $- G_{a}(b)G_{\bar{b}}(\bar{z}) + G_{a}(z)G_{\bar{b}}(\bar{z}) - G_{\bar{a}}(\bar{b})G_{\bar{b}}(\bar{z})$  MRK in N=4 SYM

In MRK, 6-pt MHV and NMHV amplitudes are known at any number of loops Lipatov Prygarin 2010-2011 Dixon Duhr Pennington 2012 Pennington 2012 Lipatov Prygarin Schnitzer 2012

Beyond 6 points, only 2-loop MHV amplitudes were known in MRK at LLA

In MRK at LLA, the 2-loop *n*-pt remainder function  $R_n^{(2)}$  can be written as a sum of 2-loop 6-pt remainder functions  $R_6^{(2)}$ 

Prygarin Spradlin Vergu Volovich 2011 Bartels Kormilitzin Lipatov Prygarin 2011 Bargheer Papathanasiou Schomerus 2015



In MRK at LLA, we can compute all MHV amplitudes at L loops in terms of amplitudes with up to (L+4) points

Drummond Druc Duhr Dulat Marzucca Papathanasiou Verbeek VDD 2016

We showed explicitly that the MHV amplitudes at:

- 2 loops are determined by the 2-loop 6-pt amplitudes
- 3 loops are determined by the 6- and 7-pt amplitudes through 3 loops
- 4 loops are determined by the 6-, 7-, and 8-pt amplitudes through 4 loops
- 5 loops are determined by the 6-, 7-, 8- and 9-pt amplitudes through 5 loops



We computed also all non-MHV amplitudes up 8 points and 4 loops

MRK factorisation in N=4 SYM

For the helicities  $h_1, \ldots, h_{N-4}$  define the ratio  $\mathbf{q}_0$ • X2  $\mathbf{k}_1$  $\mathcal{R}_{h_1,\dots,h_{N-4}} = \left[ \frac{A_N(-,+,h_1,\dots,h_{N-4},+,-)}{A_N^{\text{BDS}}(-,+,\dots,+,-)} \right]_{|\text{MRK, LLA}}$  $\mathbf{q}_1$  X<sub>3</sub>  $\mathbf{k}_2$  $\mathbf{x}_1 \bullet$  $- \mathbf{k}_{N-5}$ factorisation in MRK at LLA  $\mathbf{q}_{N-5}$ •  $\mathbf{x}_{N-3}$  $\mathbf{k}_{N-4}$  $\mathcal{R}_{h_1,\ldots,h_{N-4}}(\tau_1,z_1,\ldots,\tau_{N-5},z_{N-5})$ •  $\mathbf{x}_{N-2}$  $\mathbf{q}_{N-4}$  $\approx 2\pi i \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a^{i} \left( \prod_{k=1}^{N-5} \frac{1}{i_{k}!} \ln^{i_{k}} \tau_{k} \right) g_{h_{1},\dots,h_{N-4}}^{(i_{1},\dots,i_{N-5})}(z_{1},\dots,z_{N-5})$  $\chi^{h_1}$ 2 with  $\tau_k$  = function of cross ratios, and with coefficients 1  $g_{h_1,\dots,h_{N-4}}^{(i_1,\dots,i_{N-5})}(z_1,\dots,z_{N-5}) = \frac{(-1)^{N+1}}{2} \left[ \prod_{k=1}^{N-5} \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{z_k}{\bar{z}_k}\right)^{n_k/2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\nu_k}{2\pi} |z_k|^{2i\nu_k} E_{\nu_k n_k}^{i_k} \right]$  $C^{h_2}$ i<sub>2</sub>  $\times \chi^{h_1}(\nu_1, n_1) \left[ \prod_{j=2}^{N-5} C^{h_j}(\nu_{j-1}, n_{j-1}, \nu_j, n_j) \right] \chi^{-h_{N-4}}(\nu_{N-5}, n_{N-5})$  $C^{h_{N-5}}$  |  $i_{N-4}$ N-2 where:  $h_{N-5}$ İN-5 the  $\chi$ 's are the 2 impact factors,  $\chi^{h_{N-4}}$ the C's are the N-6 central-emission vertices the E's are the N-5 BFKL-like eigenvalues for octet exchange

Convolutions

we use the Fouries-Mellin (FM) transform

$$\mathcal{F}[F(\nu,n)] = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{z}{\overline{z}}\right)^{n/2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\nu}{2\pi} |z|^{2i\nu} F(\nu,n)$$

which maps products into convolutions

$$\mathcal{F}[F \cdot G] = \mathcal{F}[F] * \mathcal{F}[G] = (f * g)(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int \frac{d^2w}{|w|^2} f(w) \ g\left(\frac{z}{w}\right)$$

we compute the integral through the residue formula

$$\int \frac{d^2 z}{\pi} f(z) = \operatorname{Res}_{z=\infty} F(z) - \sum_{i} \operatorname{Res}_{z=a_i} F(z)$$
 Schnetz 2013

where F is the antiholomorphic primitive of f  $\bar{\partial}_z F = f$ 

Convolutions and factorization

through the FM transform of the BFKL eigenvalue

 $\mathcal{E}(z) = \mathcal{F}\left[E_{\nu n}\right]$ 

we can write the recursion

 $g_{+\dots+}^{(i_1,\dots,i_k+1,\dots,i_{N-5})}(z_1,\dots,z_{N-5}) = \mathcal{E}(z_k) * g_{+\dots+}^{(i_1,\dots,i_{N-5})}(z_1,\dots,z_{N-5})$ 

which implies that we can drop all the propagators without a log

 $g_{+\dots+}^{(0,\dots,0,i_{a_1},0,\dots,0,i_{a_2},0,\dots,0,i_{a_k},0,\dots,0)}(\rho_1,\dots,\rho_{N-5}) = g_{+\dots+}^{(i_{a_1},i_{a_2},\dots,i_{a_k})}(\rho_{i_{a_1}},\rho_{i_{a_2}},\dots,\rho_{i_{a_k}})$ 

example for N=7, with  $h_1 = h_2$ 



which connects amplitudes with a different number of legs

in fact, if all indices are zero except for one

 $g_{+\dots+}^{(0,\dots,0,i_a,0,\dots,0)}(\rho_1,\dots,\rho_{N-5}) = g_{++}^{(i_a)}(\rho_a)$ 



#### which implies that

$$\mathcal{R}_{+\dots+}^{(2)} = \sum_{1 \le i \le N-5} \ln \tau_i \, g_{++}^{(1)}(\rho_i)$$

with

$$g_{++}^{(1)}(\rho_1) = -\frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{0,1}(\rho_1) - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{1,0}(\rho_1) + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{G}_{1,1}(\rho_1)$$

which shows, as previously stated, that in MRK at LLA, the 2-loop *n*-pt remainder function  $R_n^{(2)}$  can be written as a sum of 2-loop 6-pt amplitudes, in terms of SVHPLs



At 3 loops, the *n*-pt remainder function  $R_n^{(3)}$  can be written as a sum of 3-loop 6-pt and 7-pt amplitudes

$$\mathcal{R}_{+\dots+}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \le i \le N-5} \ln^2 \tau_i \, g_{++}^{(2)}(\rho_i) + \sum_{1 \le i < j \le N-5} \ln \tau_i \, \ln \tau_j \, g_{+++}^{(1,1)}(\rho_i,\rho_j)$$

with

$$g_{++}^{(2)}(\rho_{1}) = -\frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{0,0,1}(\rho_{1}) - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{0,1,0}(\rho_{1}) + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{G}_{0,1,1}(\rho_{1}) - \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{1,0,0}(\rho_{1}) + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{G}_{1,0,1}(\rho_{1}) + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{G}_{1,1,0}(\rho_{1}) - \mathcal{G}_{1,1,1}(\rho_{1})$$

$$g_{+++}^{(1,1)}(\rho_{1},\rho_{2}) = -\frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{0,1,\rho_{2}}(\rho_{1}) - \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{0,\rho_{2},1}(\rho_{1}) + \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{1,1,\rho_{2}}(\rho_{1}) - \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{1,\rho_{2},0}(\rho_{1}) \\ - \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{\rho_{2},1,0}(\rho_{1}) + \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{\rho_{2},1,1}(\rho_{1}) + \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{1,\rho_{2},1}(\rho_{1}) - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{1}(\rho_{2})\mathcal{G}_{1,\rho_{2}}(\rho_{1}) \\ + \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{1}(\rho_{1})\mathcal{G}_{0,0}(\rho_{2}) - \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{0}(\rho_{2})\mathcal{G}_{0,1}(\rho_{1}) + \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{1}(\rho_{2})\mathcal{G}_{0,1}(\rho_{1}) - \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{\rho_{2}}(\rho_{1})\mathcal{G}_{0,1}(\rho_{2}) \\ + \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{1}(\rho_{2})\mathcal{G}_{0,\rho_{2}}(\rho_{1}) - \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{0}(\rho_{2})\mathcal{G}_{1,0}(\rho_{1}) + \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{1}(\rho_{2})\mathcal{G}_{1,0}(\rho_{1}) + \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{0}(\rho_{2})\mathcal{G}_{1,1}(\rho_{1}) \\ - \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{1}(\rho_{2})\mathcal{G}_{1,1}(\rho_{1}) - \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{1}(\rho_{1})\mathcal{G}_{1,1}(\rho_{2}) + \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{\rho_{2}}(\rho_{1})\mathcal{G}_{1,1}(\rho_{2}) + \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{0}(\rho_{2})\mathcal{G}_{1,\rho_{2}}(\rho_{1}) \\ + \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{1}(\rho_{2})\mathcal{G}_{\rho_{2},0}(\rho_{1}) - \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{1}(\rho_{2})\mathcal{G}_{\rho_{2},1}(\rho_{1})$$

Note that  $R_n^{(3)}$  cannot be written only in terms of SVHPLs, but SVMPLs are necessary

At 4 loops, the *n*-pt remainder function  $R_n^{(4)}$  can be written as a sum of 4-loop 6-pt, 7-pt and 8-pt amplitudes, and in general, we can compute all MHV amplitudes at *L* loops in terms of amplitudes with up to (*L*+4) points

Drummond Druc Duhr Dulat Marzucca Papathanasiou Verbeek VDD 2016

We displayed that explicitly up to 5 loops, showing that the *n*-pt remainder function  $R_n^{(5)}$  can be written as a sum of 5-loop 6-, 7-, 8- and 9-pt amplitudes

Note also that because the convolutions with pure functions are pure, so are the MHV amplitudes

- MRK factorisation works also for non-MHV amplitudes, however at each loop the number of building blocks is infinite, and the helicity flips make the non-MHV amplitudes not pure
- We constructed explicitly all non-MHV amplitudes through 4 loop and 8 points

## Mueller-Navelet jets and SVHPLs

The singlet LL BFKL ladder in QCD, and thus the dijet cross section in the high-energy limit, can also be expressed in terms of SVHPLs, i.e. in terms of single-valued iterated integrals on  $\mathcal{M}_{0,4}$ 

Dixon Duhr Pennington VDD 2013

- Mueller & Navelet evaluated analytically the inclusive dijet cross section up to 5 loops. We evaluated it analytically up to 13 loops
- G
- Also, we could evaluate analytically the dijet cross section differential in the jet transverse energies or the azimuthal angle between the jets (up to 6 loops)

### BFKL Green's function and single-valued functions

9

use complex transverse momentum

$$\tilde{q}_k \equiv q_k^x + i q_k^y$$

and a complex variable  $z \equiv \frac{\tilde{q}_1}{\tilde{q}_2}$ 

the Green's function can be expanded into a power series in  $\eta_{\mu} = \overline{\alpha}_{\mu} y$ 

$$f^{LL}(q_1, q_2, \eta_\mu) = \frac{1}{2} \delta^{(2)}(q_1 - q_2) + \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{q_1^2 q_2^2}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\eta_\mu^k}{k!} f_k^{LL}(z)$$

where the coefficient functions  $f_k$  are given by the Fourier-Mellin transform

$$f_k^{LL}(z) = \mathcal{F}\left[\chi_{\nu n}^k\right] = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{z}{\bar{z}}\right)^{n/2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\nu}{2\pi} |z|^{2i\nu} \chi_{\nu n}^k$$

the fk have a unique, well-defined value for every ratio of the magnitudes of the two jet transverse momenta and angle between them. So, they are real-analytic functions of w

## generating functional of SVHPLs

to all orders in η the BFKL Green's function can be written in terms of a generating functional of SVHPLs Dixon Duhr Pennington VDD 2013

writing the coefficient function  $f_k$  as

 $f_k^{LL}(z) = \frac{|z|}{2\pi |1 - z|^2} F_k(z)$ 

we obtain that the first few functions  $F_k$  are

$$\begin{split} F_1(z) &= 1 \,, \\ F_2(z) &= 2 \,\mathcal{G}_1(z) - \mathcal{G}_0(z) \,, \\ F_3(z) &= 6 \,\mathcal{G}_{1,1}(z) - 3 \,\mathcal{G}_{0,1}(z) - 3 \,\mathcal{G}_{1,0}(z) + \mathcal{G}_{0,0,0}(z) \,, \\ F_4(z) &= 24 \,\mathcal{G}_{1,1,1}(z) + 4 \,\mathcal{G}_{0,0,1}(z) + 6 \,\mathcal{G}_{0,1,0}(z) - 12 \,\mathcal{G}_{0,1,1}(z) + 4 \,\mathcal{G}_{1,0,0}(z) \\ &- 12 \,\mathcal{G}_{1,0,1}(z) - 12 \,\mathcal{G}_{1,1,0}(z) - \mathcal{G}_{0,0,0}(z) + 8 \,\zeta_3 \end{split}$$

note that  $f_k$  has weight k-1

Azimuthal angle distribution

this allows us to write the azimuthal angle distribution as

$$\frac{d\hat{\sigma}_{gg}}{d\phi_{jj}} = \frac{\pi (C_A \alpha_s)^2}{2E_\perp^2} \left[ \delta(\phi_{jj} - \pi) + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{a_k(\phi_{jj})}{\pi} \eta^k \right]$$

where the contribution of the  $k^{th}$  loop is

$$a_{k}(\phi_{jj}) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d|w|}{|w|} f_{k}(w, w^{*}) = \frac{\operatorname{Im} A_{k}(\phi_{jj})}{\sin \phi_{jj}}$$

with

G

$$\begin{split} A_{1}(\phi_{jj}) &= -\frac{1}{2}H_{0}, \\ A_{2}(\phi_{jj}) &= H_{1,0}, \\ A_{3}(\phi_{jj}) &= \frac{2}{3}H_{0,0,0} - 2H_{1,1,0} + \frac{5}{3}\zeta_{2}H_{0} - i\pi\,\zeta_{2}, \\ A_{4}(\phi_{jj}) &= -\frac{4}{3}H_{0,0,1,0} - H_{0,1,0,0} - \frac{4}{3}H_{1,0,0,0} + 4H_{1,1,1,0} - \zeta_{2}\left(2H_{0,1} + \frac{10}{3}H_{1,0}\right) + \frac{4}{3}\zeta_{3}H_{0} + i\pi\left(2\zeta_{2}H_{1} - 2\zeta_{3}\right), \\ A_{5}(\phi_{jj}) &= -\frac{46}{15}H_{0,0,0,0,0} + \frac{8}{3}H_{0,0,1,1,0} + 2H_{0,1,0,1,0} + 2H_{0,1,1,0,0} + \frac{8}{3}H_{1,0,0,1,0} + 2H_{1,0,1,0,0} \\ &\quad + \frac{8}{3}H_{1,1,0,0,0} - 8H_{1,1,1,1,0} - \zeta_{2}\left(\frac{33}{5}H_{0,0,0} - 4H_{0,1,1} - 4H_{1,0,1} - \frac{20}{3}H_{1,1,0}\right) \\ &\quad - \zeta_{3}\left(2H_{0,1} + \frac{8}{3}H_{1,0}\right) + \frac{217}{15}\zeta_{4}H_{0} + i\pi\left[\zeta_{2}\left(\frac{10}{3}H_{0,0} - 4H_{1,1}\right) + 4\zeta_{3}H_{1} - \frac{10}{3}\zeta_{4}\right] \end{split}$$

where  $H_{i,j,\ldots} \equiv H_{i,j,\ldots}(e^{-2i\phi_{jj}})$ 

Dixon Duhr Pennington VDD 2013

Transverse momentum distribution

$$\frac{d\hat{\sigma}_{gg}}{dp_{1\perp}^2 dp_{2\perp}^2} = \frac{\pi (C_A \alpha_s)^2}{2p_{1\perp}^2 p_{2\perp}^2} \left[ \delta(p_{1\perp}^2 - p_{2\perp}^2) + \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{p_{1\perp}^2 p_{2\perp}^2}} b(\rho;\eta) \right]$$

where 
$$\rho = |w|$$
  $b(\rho; \eta) = \frac{2 \pi \rho}{1 - \rho^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k(\rho) \eta^k$ 

with

$$\begin{split} B_{1}(\rho) &= 1, \\ B_{2}(\rho) &= -\frac{1}{2} H_{0} - 2H_{1}, \\ B_{3}(\rho) &= \frac{1}{6} H_{0,0} + 2H_{0,1} + H_{1,0} + 4H_{1,1}, \\ B_{4}(\rho) &= -\frac{1}{24} H_{0,0,0} - \frac{4}{3} H_{0,0,1} - H_{0,1,0} - 4H_{0,1,1} - \frac{1}{3} H_{1,0,0} - 4H_{1,0,1} - 2H_{1,1,0} - 8H_{1,1,1} + \frac{1}{3} \zeta_{3}, \\ B_{5}(\rho) &= \frac{1}{120} H_{0,0,0,0} + \frac{2}{3} H_{0,0,0,1} + \frac{2}{3} H_{0,0,1,0} + \frac{8}{3} H_{0,0,1,1} + \frac{1}{3} H_{0,1,0,0} + 4H_{0,1,0,1} \\ &+ 2H_{0,1,1,0} + 8H_{0,1,1,1} + \frac{1}{12} H_{1,0,0,0} + \frac{8}{3} H_{1,0,0,1} + 2H_{1,0,1,0} + 8H_{1,0,1,1} \\ &+ \frac{2}{3} H_{1,1,0,0} + 8H_{1,1,0,1} + 4H_{1,1,1,0} + 16H_{1,1,1,1} + \zeta_{3} \left( -\frac{1}{12} H_{0} - \frac{2}{3} H_{1} \right), \end{split}$$

Dixon Duhr Pennington VDD 2013

where  $H_{i,j,\ldots} \equiv H_{i,j,\ldots}(\rho^2)$ 

Mueller-Navelet dijet cross section reloaded

the MN dijet cross section is

$$\hat{\sigma}_{gg} = \frac{\pi (C_A \alpha_s)^2}{2E_\perp^2} \sum_{k=0}^\infty f_{0,k} \, \eta^k$$

the first 5 loops were computed by Mueller-Navelet. Dixon Duhr Pennington VDD 2013 We computed it through the 13 loops

$$\begin{split} f_{0,6} &= \frac{13}{4} \zeta_3^2 + \frac{3737}{120} \zeta_6, \\ f_{0,7} &= -\frac{87}{5} \zeta_3 \zeta_4 - \frac{116}{9} \zeta_2 \zeta_5 - \frac{3983}{144} \zeta_7, \\ f_{0,8} &= -\frac{37}{75} \zeta_{5,3} + \frac{64}{15} \zeta_2 \zeta_3^2 + \frac{369}{20} \zeta_5 \zeta_3 + \frac{50606057}{453600} \zeta_8, \\ f_{0,9} &= -\frac{139}{63} \zeta_3^3 - \frac{15517}{252} \zeta_6 \zeta_3 - \frac{3533}{63} \zeta_4 \zeta_5 - \frac{557}{15} \zeta_2 \zeta_7 - \frac{5215361}{60480} \zeta_9, \\ f_{0,10} &= -\frac{2488}{4725} \zeta_{5,3} \zeta_2 - \frac{94721}{211680} \zeta_{7,3} + \frac{1948}{105} \zeta_4 \zeta_3^2 + \frac{2608}{105} \zeta_2 \zeta_5 \zeta_3 + \frac{12099}{224} \zeta_7 \zeta_3 + \frac{1335931}{47040} \zeta_5^2 + \frac{25669936301}{63504000} \zeta_{10} \zeta_1, \\ f_{0,11} &= \frac{62}{315} \zeta_{5,3} \zeta_3 + \frac{83}{120} \zeta_{5,3,3} - \frac{2872}{945} \zeta_2 \zeta_3^2 - \frac{13211}{672} \zeta_5 \zeta_3^2 - \frac{661411}{3024} \zeta_8 \zeta_3 \\ - \frac{242776937}{725760} \zeta_{11} - \frac{605321}{3024} \zeta_5 \zeta_6 - \frac{2583643}{16200} \zeta_4 \zeta_7 - \frac{28702763}{340200} \zeta_2 \zeta_9, \\ f_{0,12} &= \frac{74711}{162000} \zeta_{5,3} \zeta_4 - \frac{13793}{7560} \zeta_{6,4,1,1} + \frac{3965011}{793800} \zeta_7, \zeta_2 - \frac{3336851}{3482400} \zeta_9, 3 \\ + \frac{252163}{81440} \zeta_3^4 + \frac{620477}{10080} \zeta_6 \zeta_3^2 + \frac{8101393}{75600} \zeta_4 \zeta_5 \zeta_3 + \frac{342869}{3780} \zeta_2 \zeta_7 \zeta_3 \\ + \frac{101571047}{680400} \zeta_9 \zeta_8 + \frac{71425871}{1587600} \zeta_2 \zeta_8^2 + \frac{904497401571619}{925200} \zeta_{1,2} + \frac{484414571}{2721600} \zeta_5 \zeta_7, \\ f_{0,13} &= \frac{4513}{1890} \zeta_5, \zeta_5 + \frac{27248}{23625} \zeta_{5,3,3} \zeta_2 - \frac{97003}{235200} \zeta_5, \zeta_5 - \frac{17411413}{30240} \zeta_7 \zeta_3^2 \\ - \frac{5724191}{102800} \zeta_5^2 \zeta_3 - \frac{187318}{2376000} \zeta_6 \zeta_3 - \frac{12505}{2255340800} \zeta_1 \zeta_3 \\ - \frac{2379684877}{10080} \zeta_1_{11} \zeta_2 - \frac{297666465053}{2376000} \zeta_6 \zeta_7 - \frac{1770762319}{2249800} \zeta_5 \zeta_8 - \frac{229717224973}{628689600} \zeta_4 \zeta_9 \end{split}$$

# Regge limit in the next-to-leading logarithmic approximation



the **BFKL** equation describes the evolution of the gluon propagator in 2-dim transverse momentum space

$$\omega f_{\omega}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{2} \,\delta^{(2)}(q_1 - q_2) + \int d^2k \,K(q_1, k) \,f_{\omega}(k, q_2)$$



the solution is given in terms of eigenfunctions  $\Phi_{vn}$  and an eigenvalue  $\omega_{vn}$ 

$$f_{\omega}(q_1, q_2) = \sum_{n = -\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\nu \, \frac{1}{\omega - \omega_{\nu n}} \, \Phi_{\nu n}(q_1) \, \Phi_{\nu n}^*(q_2)$$

as a function of rapidity, the solution is

$$f(q_1, q_2, y) = \sum_{n = -\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\nu \, \Phi_{\nu n}(q_1) \, \Phi_{\nu n}^*(q_2) \, e^{y \, \omega_{\nu n}}$$



we expand kernel K, eigenfunctions  $\Phi_{vn}$  and eigenvalue  $\omega_{vn}$  in powers of  $\overline{\alpha}_{\mu} = \frac{N_C}{\pi} \alpha_S(\mu^2)$ 

$$K(q_1, q_2) = \overline{\alpha}_{\mu} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \overline{\alpha}_{\mu}^l K^{(l)}(q_1, q_2) \qquad \omega_{\nu n} = \overline{\alpha}_{\mu} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \overline{\alpha}_{\mu}^l \omega_{\nu n}^{(l)} \qquad \Phi_{\nu n}(q) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \overline{\alpha}_{\mu}^l \Phi_{\nu n}^{(l)}(q)$$

#### At LLA

$$\omega_{\nu n}^{(0)} = -2\gamma_E - \psi \left(\frac{|n|+1}{2} + i\nu\right) - \psi \left(\frac{|n|+1}{2} - i\nu\right) \qquad \Phi_{\nu n}^{(0)}(q) = \frac{1}{2\pi} (q^2)^{-1/2 + i\nu} e^{in\theta}$$

note that in N=4 SYM the eigenfunctions and the eigenvalue are the same

At NLLA in QCD and in N=4 SYM, the eigenvalue is

$$\omega_{\nu n}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{4} \,\delta_{\nu n}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{4} \,\delta_{\nu n}^{(2)} + \frac{1}{4} \,\delta_{\nu n}^{(3)} + \gamma_K^{(2)} \chi_{\nu n} - \frac{1}{8} \beta_0 \chi_{\nu n}^2 + \frac{3}{2} \zeta_3$$

Fadin Lipatov 1998 Kotikov Lipatov 2000, 2002 Chirilli Kovchegov 2013 Duhr Marzucca Verbeek VDD 2017

with one-loop beta function and two-loop cusp anomalous dimension

$$\beta_0 = \frac{11}{3} - \frac{2N_f}{3N_c} \qquad \qquad \gamma_K^{(2)} = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{64}{9} - \frac{10N_f}{9N_c}\right) - \frac{\zeta_2}{2}$$

and with

$$\begin{split} \delta_{\nu n}^{(1)} &= \partial_{\nu}^{2} \chi_{\nu n} & \chi_{\nu n} &= \omega_{\nu n}^{(0)} \\ \delta_{\nu n}^{(2)} &= -2\Phi(n,\gamma) - 2\Phi(n,1-\gamma) \\ \delta_{\nu n}^{(3)} &= -\frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + i\nu)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - i\nu)}{2i\nu} \left[\psi\left(\frac{1}{2} + i\nu\right) - \psi\left(\frac{1}{2} - i\nu\right)\right] \\ &\times \left[\delta_{n0} \left(3 + \left(1 + \frac{N_{f}}{N_{c}^{3}}\right) \frac{2 + 3\gamma(1-\gamma)}{(3-2\gamma)(1+2\gamma)}\right) - \delta_{|n|2} \left(\left(1 + \frac{N_{f}}{N_{c}^{3}}\right) \frac{\gamma(1-\gamma)}{2(3-2\gamma)(1+2\gamma)}\right)\right] \end{split}$$

 $\Phi(n,\gamma)$  is a sum over linear combinations of  $\psi$  functions and  $\gamma$  is a shorthand  $\gamma = 1/2 + i\nu$ 

In blue we labeled the terms which occur only in QCD, in red the ones which occur in QCD and in N=4 SYM

**(BFKL** eigenfunctions at NLLA)



At NLLA in QCD, the eigenfunction is

Chirilli Kovchegov 2013 Duhr Marzucca Verbeek VDD 2017

$$\Phi_{\nu n}(q) = \Phi_{\nu n}^{(0)}(q) \left[ 1 + \overline{\alpha}_{\mu} \frac{\beta_0}{8} \ln \frac{q^2}{\mu^2} \left( \partial_{\nu} P \frac{\chi_{\nu n}}{\partial_{\nu} \chi_{\nu n}} + i \ln \frac{q^2}{\mu^2} P \frac{\chi_{\nu n}}{\partial_{\nu} \chi_{\nu n}} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\overline{\alpha}_{\mu}^2) \right]$$

At NLLA, the expansion of the BFKL ladder is

 $f(q_1, q_2, y) = f^{LL}(q_1, q_2, \eta_\mu) + \overline{\alpha}_\mu f^{NLL}(q_1, q_2, \eta_\mu) + \dots, \qquad \eta_\mu = \overline{\alpha}_\mu y$ 

f<sup>NLL</sup> contains the NLO corrections to the eigenvalue *and* to the eigenfunctions, however if we use the scale of the strong coupling to be the geometric mean of the transverse momenta at the ends of the ladder, then we can use the LO eigenfunctions instead of the NLO ones

$$f(q_1, q_2, y) = \sum_{n = -\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\nu \, \Phi_{\nu n}^{(0)}(q_1) \, \Phi_{\nu n}^{(0)*}(q_2) \, e^{y \,\overline{\alpha}_S(s_0)[\omega_{\nu n}^{(0)} + \overline{\alpha}_S(s_0)\omega_{\nu n}^{(1)}]} + \dots$$

Duhr Marzucca Verbeek VDD 2017

with  $\mu^2 = s_0 = \sqrt{q_1^2 q_2^2}$ 

Fourier-Mellin transform



At NLLA, the **BFKL** ladder is

$$f^{NLL}(q_1, q_2, \eta_{s_0}) = \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{q_1^2 q_2^2}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\eta_{s_0}^k}{k!} f_{k+1}^{NLL}(z) \qquad \eta_{s_0} = \overline{\alpha}_S(s_0) y$$

with coefficients given by the Fourier-Mellin transform

$$f_k^{NLL}(z) = \mathcal{F}\left[\omega_{\nu n}^{(1)} \chi_{\nu n}^{k-2}\right] = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{z}{\bar{z}}\right)^{n/2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\nu}{2\pi} |z|^{2i\nu} \,\omega_{\nu n}^{(1)} \,\chi_{\nu n}^{k-2} \qquad \qquad \chi_{\nu n} = \omega_{\nu n}^{(0)}$$

using the explicit form of the eigenvalue

$$\omega_{\nu n}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{4} \,\delta_{\nu n}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{4} \,\delta_{\nu n}^{(2)} + \frac{1}{4} \,\delta_{\nu n}^{(3)} + \gamma_K^{(2)} \chi_{\nu n} - \frac{1}{8} \beta_0 \chi_{\nu n}^2 + \frac{3}{2} \zeta_3$$

the coefficients can be written as

$$f_k^{NLL}(z) = \frac{1}{4} C_k^{(1)}(z) + \frac{1}{4} C_k^{(2)}(z) + \frac{1}{4} C_k^{(3)}(z) + \gamma_K^{(2)} f_{k-1}^{LL}(z) - \frac{1}{8} \beta_0 f_k^{LL}(z) + \frac{3}{2} \zeta_3 f_{k-2}^{LL}(z)$$
  
with  $C_k^{(i)}(z) = \mathcal{F} \left[ \delta_{\nu n}^{(i)} \chi_{\nu n}^{k-2} \right]$ 

the weight of  $f^{NLL}_k$  is

weight( $f^{NLL}_k$ ) = k  $k = 0 \le w \le k$   $k-2 \le w \le k$  k-1 k

SV functions



 $C_k^{(3)}(z)$  are MPLs of type  $G(a_1, \ldots, a_n; |z|)$  with  $a_k \in \{-i, 0, i\}$ 

they are SV functions of z because they have no branch cut on the positive real axis, and have weight  $0 \le w \le k$ 

For  $C_k^{(2)}(z)$  one needs Schnetz' generalised SVMPLs with singularities at  $z = \frac{\alpha \, \overline{z} + \beta}{\gamma \, \overline{z} + \delta}$ ,  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{C}$ Schnetz 2016

then one can show that  $C_k^{(2)}(z)$  are Schnetz' generalised SVMPLs

 $\mathcal{G}(a_1,\ldots,a_n;z)$  with singularities at  $a_i \in \{-1,0,1,-1/\overline{z}\}$ 

Duhr Marzucca Verbeek VDD 2017



In moment space, the maximal weight of the BFKL eigenvalue and of the anomalous dimensions of the leading twist operators which control the Bjorken scaling violations in QCD is the same as the corresponding quantities in N=4 SYM

Kotikov Lipatov 2000, 2002 Kotikov Lipatov Velizhanin 2003

Interestingly, in transverse momentum space at NLLA, the maximal weight of the BFKL ladder in QCD is *not* the same as the one of the ladder in N=4 SYM

Duhr Marzucca Verbeek VDD 2017

## BFKL ladder in a generic SU(N<sub>c</sub>) gauge theory

one can consider the BFKL eigenvalue at NLLA in a  $SU(N_c)$  gauge theory with scalar or fermionic matter in arbitrary representations

 $\omega_{\nu n}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{4} \delta_{\nu n}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{4} \delta_{\nu n}^{(2)} + \frac{1}{4} \delta_{\nu n}^{(3)}(\tilde{N}_f, \tilde{N}_s) + \frac{3}{2} \zeta_3 + \gamma^{(2)}(\tilde{n}_f, \tilde{n}_s) \chi_{\nu n} - \frac{1}{8} \beta_0(\tilde{n}_f, \tilde{n}_s) \chi_{\nu n}^2$ 

Kotikov Lipatov 2000

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{with} & \beta_0(\tilde{n}_f, \tilde{n}_s) = \frac{11}{3} - \frac{2\tilde{n}_f}{3N_c} - \frac{\tilde{n}_s}{6N_c} & \gamma^{(2)}(\tilde{n}_f, \tilde{n}_s) = \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{64}{9} - \frac{10\,\tilde{n}_f}{9N_c} - \frac{4\,\tilde{n}_s}{9N_c} \right) - \frac{\zeta_2}{2} \\ & \tilde{n}_f = \sum_R n_f^R T_R & \tilde{n}_s = \sum_R n_s^R T_R & \text{Tr}(T_R^a T_R^b) = T_R \,\delta^{ab} & T_F = \frac{1}{2} \\ & \tilde{n}_s(\tilde{n}_f) = & \text{number of scalars (Weyl fermions) in the representation } R \\ & \delta_{\nu n}^{(3)}(\tilde{N}_f, \tilde{N}_s) = \delta_{\nu n}^{(3,1)}(\tilde{N}_f, \tilde{N}_s) + \delta_{\nu n}^{(3,2)}(\tilde{N}_f, \tilde{N}_s) \\ & \text{with} & \tilde{N}_x = \frac{1}{2} \sum_R n_x^R T_R \left( 2C_R - N_c \right), & x = f, s \end{array}$ 



Necessary and sufficient conditions for a  $SU(N_c)$  gauge theory to have a BFKL ladder of maximal weight are: Duhr Marzucca Verbeek VDD 2017

- the one-loop beta function must vanish
- the two-loop cusp AD must be proportional to  $\zeta_2$
- $\delta_{\nu n}^{(3,2)}$  must vanish  $\rightarrow 2\tilde{N}_f = N_c^2 + \tilde{N}_s$
- There is no theory whose BFKL ladder has uniform maximal weight which agrees with the maximal weight terms of QCD

Duhr Marzucca Verbeek VDD 2017

#### Matter in the fundamental and in the adjoint

We solve the conditions above for matter in the fundamental F and in the adjoint A representations. We obtain:

 $2 n_f^F = n_s^F \qquad 2 n_f^A = 2 + n_s^A$ 

which describes the spectrum of a gauge theory with N supersymmetries and  $n^F = n_f^F$  chiral multiplets in F and  $n^A = n_f^A - N$  chiral multiplets in A

There are four solutions to those conditions

$\mathcal{N}$	4	2	1	1
$n_A$	0	0	0	2
$n_F$	0	$4N_c$	$6N_c$	$2N_c$

Duhr Marzucca Verbeek VDD 2017

- the first is N=4 SYM
- the second is N=2 superconformal QCD with  $N_f = 2N_c$  hypermultiplets
- the third is N=1 superconf. QCD



because the one-loop beta function is fixed by matter loops in gluon self-energies, we are only sensitive to the matter content of a theory, and not to its details (like scalar potential or Yukawa couplings)

## Conclusions at LLA

- *n*-point amplitudes in the LLA of the Multi-Regge limit of N=4 SYM can be fully expressed in terms of single-valued iterated integrals on M<sub>0,n-2</sub>
   i.e. in terms of single-valued multiple polylogarithms
- We showed that one can compute all MHV amplitudes at L loops in terms of amplitudes with up to (L+4) points
- We displayed that explicitly up to 5 loops, showing that the *n*-pt remainder function  $R_n^{(5)}$  can be written as a sum of 5-loop 6-, 7-, 8- and 9-pt amplitudes
- We constructed explicitly all non-MHV amplitudes through
   4 loop and 8 points
- The singlet BFKL ladder, and thus the dijet cross section in the LLA of the high-energy limit of QCD, can also be expressed in terms of of single-valued iterated integrals on M<sub>0,4</sub>, i.e. in terms of SVHPLs
- We computed the Mueller-Navelet dijet cross section through 13 loops
- We expect that the *n*-jet cross section in the LLA of the high-energy limit of QCD can be written in terms of single-valued iterated integrals on *M*<sub>0,n+2</sub>

## Conclusions at NLLA

- The singlet BFKL ladder at NLLA in QCD and in N=4 SYM can also be expressed in terms of SVMPLs, but generalised to non-constant values of the roots
- In transverse momentum space at NLLA, the maximal weight of the BFKL ladder in QCD is *not* the same as the one of the ladder in N=4 SYM
- There is no SU(N<sub>c</sub>) gauge theory whose BFKL ladder has uniform maximal weight which agrees with the maximal weight terms of QCD
- We found four theories with matter in the fundamental and in the adjoint representations, whose BFKL ladder has uniform maximal weight