

DUALITIES, EHLERS and FORM COSETS

Sergio Ferrara

CERN - Geneva

26 March 2013, LNF
FRASCATI, ITALY

When Einstein theory of gravity is formulated in the light-cone gauge the theory has been shown (Goroff, Schwarz) to have a manifest $SL(D-2, \mathbb{R})$ symmetry and the graviton can be associated to the spectrum coset

$$SL(D-2, \mathbb{R}) / SO(D-2, \mathbb{R})$$

although the Einstein action is more complicated than the naïve σ -model action.

$SL(D-2, \mathbb{R})$ is the so-called Ehlers group in D -dimensions ($SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ at $D=4$)

In the light-cone gauge one makes
 D gauge choices

$$g_{i-} = g_{--} = 0, \quad g_{+-} = (\det g_{ij})^{1/2(D-2)}$$

$$(i, j = 1 \dots D-2)$$

In this way one can show that

D eqs. of motions for g^{i-}, g^{--}, g_{+-}

can be solved and one is left with

$$D(D+1)/2 - 2D = \frac{1}{2}D(D-3)$$

dynamical equations which exactly

correspond to the eqs. of

motion for the physical degrees

of freedom of the "graviton".

Dynamical symmetry.

In the light cone gauge the
Einstein action is invariant
under

$$\delta g_{ij} = M_k^e x^k \partial_e g_{ij} + M_i^k g_{kj} + M_j^k g_{ik}$$

$$M_k^e \in SL(D-2, \mathbb{R}).$$

$$g_{ij} \rightarrow SL(D-2, \mathbb{R}) / SO(D-2)$$

It is the purpose of the present
 seminar to report on the
 extension of this consideration
 to supergravity and in
 particular to relate the
 $SL(D-2, R)$ symmetry to U-duality
 symmetry in D dimensions for a
 theory with N supersymmetries.
 (N is the active number of supercharges
 for massless particles so $N=16$
 for maximal supergravities).

The first observation to make
 is the fact that

$$SL(D-2, R) / SO(D-2)$$

is also the coset giving rise to a

σ -model logarithm compactified
to three-dimension on a $D-3$ torus.

In fact at $D=3$ the toroidal
symmetry $GL(D-3)$ is enhanced to $SL(D-2)$
since, other than g_{ij} , $(D-3)$ vectors $g_{i\mu}$
can be declared to scalars so that

$$M_T = \frac{D(D-3)}{2} = \dim SL(D-2) / SO(D-2) -$$

The very same count describes the
gravitational theory in D dimension
(light-cone) where now $SO(D-2)$ is
the Wigner helicity symmetry and not
an internal symmetry as in the $D=3$ case.
 $SL(D-2)$ is the remnant, in the light-cone
gauge, of general covariance.

The main results of the present investigation rely on the connection between the 3-Dimensional U-duality symmetry and the Ehlers symmetry in D-dimensions.

(A. Manzoni, M. Trigiante, J.F. arXiv:1206.1255)

(A. Manzoni, B. Zumino, J.F. arXiv:1208.0347)

A main fact is the existence of

the following embedding (Keurentjes)
(Cremmer, Julia; Cremmer, Julia, Lu, Pope)

$$G_N^3 \supset G_N^D \times SL(D-2, \mathbb{R})$$

where G_N^D is the U-duality symmetry in D dimensions with N supersymmetries and $SL(D-2, \mathbb{R})$ is the Ehlers group.

This embedding has the following general properties:

it is regular i.e. it preserves the rank of the group

$$\text{rank } G_N^3 = \text{rank } G_N^D + D - 3$$

It also preserves the non-compact rank i.e.

$$\text{rank } G_N^3 / H_N^3 = \text{rank } G_N^D / H_N^D + D - 3$$

where H_N^3 and H_N^D are the maximal compact subgroups of G_N^3 , G_N^D respectively.

Moreover the coset spaces

$$M_N^D = G_N^3 / G_N^D \times SL(D-2, \mathbb{R})$$

are Lorentzian spaces with vanishing
character (Lorentzian signature :
compact - noncompact generators) -

In Physical terms this is the
space of supergravity "form" fields
and their dual, where metric
and scalar degrees of freedom
have been modded out -

A general analysis for
all D, N values is reported
in the published papers, here
we will only consider few
cases, just to show
features which turn out to
be of general validity.

The cases considered here
are $(N=16)$ maximal supergravities
and few examples with lower N .

For maximal supergravities
the embedding is

$$E_{8(8)} \supset E_{11-D, 11-D} \times SL(D-2)$$

with an extra case for $D=10$
(conforming to type II B)

$$E_{8(8)} \supset SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times E_{7(7)} \supset SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times SL(8, \mathbb{R})$$

(When actually the Ehlers group is enhanced)

* $D=11 \quad E_{8(8)} \rightarrow SL(9) \quad \underline{\text{max, ns}}$

$D=10A \quad E_{8(8)} \rightarrow SL(9) \rightarrow SO(1,1) \times SL(8) \quad nm$

$D=10B \quad E_{8(8)} \rightarrow SL(2) \times E_{7(7)} \rightarrow SL(2) \times SL(8) \quad nm$

$D=9 \quad E_{8(8)} \rightarrow SL(9) \rightarrow GL(2) \times SL(7) \quad nm$

$E_{8(8)} \rightarrow SL(2) \times E_{7(7)} \rightarrow GL(2) \times SL(7) \quad nm$

$D=8 \quad E_{8(8)} \rightarrow SL(2) \times E_{7(7)} \rightarrow SL(2) \times SL(3) \times SL(6) \quad nm$

* $D=7 \quad E_{8(8)} \rightarrow SL(5) \times SL(5) \quad \underline{\text{max, ns}}$

$D=6 \quad E_{8(8)} \rightarrow SO(8,8) \rightarrow SO(5,5) \times SL(4) \quad nm$

* $D=5 \quad E_{8(8)} \rightarrow E_{6(6)} \times SL(3) \quad \underline{\text{max, ns}}$

* $D=4 \quad E_{8(8)} \rightarrow E_{7(7)} \times SL(2) \quad \underline{\text{max, s}}$

$$G_N^3 \rightarrow G_N^4 \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})$$

D=3 (de Wit, Tollsten, Nicolai)

$$G_{16}^3 = E_{8(8)}$$

$$G_{16}^4 = E_{7(7)}$$

$$G_{12}^3 = E_{7-5}$$

$$G_{12}^4 = SO^*(12)$$

$$G_{10}^3 = E_{6(-14)}$$

$$G_{10}^4 = SU(5, 1)$$

$$G_8^3 = SO(8+2+n)$$

$$G_8^4 = SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times SO(6, n)$$

$$G_6^3 = SU(4, 1+n)$$

$$G_6^4 = U(3, n)$$

$$G_4^3 = SO(4, 2+n)$$

$$G_4^4 = SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times SO(2, n)$$

$$G_4^3 = SU(2, 1+n)$$

$$G_4^4 = U(1, n)$$

$$E_{8(-24)}$$

$$E_{7(-25)}$$

$$E_{7(-5)}$$

$$SO^*(12)$$

$$E_{6(2)}$$

$$SU(3, 3)$$

$$F_4(4)$$

$$Sp(6, \mathbb{R})$$

In order to examine the particle content of the theory let's take the maximal compact subgroups of left and right hand side

$$H_{16}^3 \rightarrow H_{16}^D \times SO(D-2)$$

Here one finds that H_{16}^D is nothing but the R-symmetry of the corresponding theory -

The decomposition of a chiral spinor 128 of $SO(16)$

following the embedding $16 \rightarrow 16_s$

of $SO(16)$ into $SO(9)$ pieces

gives

$$128 \rightarrow 44 + 84$$

and the chiral spinors of opposite
chirality of $SO(16)$ gives

$$128^1 \rightarrow 128 \text{ (spin } \frac{3}{2} \text{ of } SO(9)) \\ (8 \times 16) \qquad \qquad \qquad (\text{spin } 9)$$

Let's now consider the
decomposition of $E_{8(8)}$ into
 $SO(9)$ through $SO(16)$ (spin 16)

$$E_{8(8)} \rightarrow 120^- + 128^+ \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow 36^- + 84^- + 44^+ + 84^+$$

($n_c - c = 8$) - This reflects the fact
that $E_{8(8)}/SO(16)$ has euclidean signature.

It is easy to see that by
exchanging $44^+ \rightarrow 84^-$ and
modding out $36^- + 44^+$ it
is equivalent to consider the

Coset $E_{8(8)} / SL(9, \mathbb{R})$ (new symmetric space)

Indeed under $SL(9, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow SO(9, \mathbb{R})$

$$\mathfrak{so} \rightarrow 36^- + 44^+ \quad (nc - c = 8)$$

so that

$$E_{8(8)} / SL(9, \mathbb{R}) = \mathfrak{so} + \mathfrak{so}' = \mathfrak{so}^+ + \mathfrak{so}^-$$

(under $SO(9, \mathbb{R})$) $nc - c = 0$

The physical interpretation of these results is that the above coset describes a form field and its magnetic dual -

Another simple example (when G_N^D is empty) is pure

$N=4$ supergravity, in $D=5$

In this case $G_4^3 = G_2(2)$

and the decomposition is

$$G_2(2) \rightarrow SL(3, \mathbb{R}) \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{(non symmetric)} \\ \text{(hereditary)} \end{array}$$

Restricting to the m.c.s. of both sides

one has

$$SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \rightarrow SU(2)_D$$

$$14 \rightarrow (3_L, 1)^- + (1, 3_R)^- + (2_R, 4_E)^+$$

$$(nc - c = 2)$$

The (bosonic) particle spectrum is

obtained by decomposing $(2_L, 4_R)$

$$\text{under } SU(2)_D \quad 8 = 3 + 5 \\ (s_p = 1 + 2j \hbar^2)$$

$$14 \rightarrow 3^- + \underset{\uparrow}{3}^- + 3^+ + \underset{\uparrow}{5}^+$$

(by interchanging 3^- with 5^+) we

set

$$G_2(2)/SL(3, \mathbb{R}) = 3^- + 3^+ \quad (nc - c = 0)$$

It should be pointed out
that the embeddings considered
above are maximal and symmetric
only at $D=4$ where

$$E_{8(8)} \rightarrow E_{7(7)} \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})$$

They are also maximal (but not symmetric)
for $D=5, 7, 11$ - All other cases are
non-maximal. This means that
for $D=6, 8, 9, 10$ the chiral and/or
the E6-like symmetry get enhanced.

The $N=16, D=4$ case

$$E_{8(8)} \rightarrow E_{7(7)} \times SL(2, R)$$

$$SO(16) \rightarrow SU(8) \times U(1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 248 &= (133, 1) + (1, 3) + (56, 2) \\ &= (70, 0)^+ + (63, 0)^- + (1, +2)^+ + (1, -2)^+ + (1, 0)^- \\ &\quad + (56, 2) \\ &\quad \downarrow \\ &\quad (28 + \bar{28}, +1) + (28 + \bar{28}, -1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 248 &= 120^- + 128^+ = \\ &= \{ \underbrace{(63, 0)^- + (1, 0)^-}_{120^-} + \underbrace{(28, -1)^- + (\bar{28}, 1)^-}_{128^-} \} \xrightarrow{SU(8)/U(8)} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{(1, +2)^+ + (1, -2)^+}_{128^+} + \underbrace{(28, 1)^+ + (\bar{28}, -1)^+}_{128^+} + \underbrace{(70, 0)^+}_{120^+} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \neq E_{8(8)} = (56, 2) &= (28, 1)^+ + (\bar{28}, -1)^+ + (28, -1)^- + (\bar{28}, 1)^- \\ &E_{7(7)} \times SL(2, R) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} G_2 \oplus E_7 \oplus H_{16} &= G_{16}^3 \oplus H_{16}^3 + H_{16}^3 = H_{16}^4 \oplus U(1) \oplus \left[\begin{array}{c} E_{8(8)} \\ E_{7(7)} \times SU(2, R) \end{array} \right] \\ &\quad \oplus \frac{E_{7(7)}}{SU(8)} \oplus \frac{SL(2, R)}{U(1)} \end{aligned}$$

Two more cases, the $N=16$ $D=5$ and

$N=4$ $D=5$ based on E_8 3-d groups

Embeddings:

$$N=16 \quad E_{8(8)} \rightarrow E_{6(6)} \times SL(3, R)$$

(max, ns)

$$N=4 \quad E_{8(-24)} \rightarrow E_{6(-26)} \times SL(3, R)$$

The maximal case ($N=16$)

by taking the mcs of both sides

we have

$$120^- + 128^+ = (36, 1)^- + (1, 3)^- + (27, 3)^- \\ + (1, 5)_{J=2}^+ + (27, 3)^+ + (42, 1)_{J=0}^+$$

$$= (36, 1)^- + (42, 1)^+ + (1, 3)^- + (1, 5)^+ + \\ + (27, 3)^- + (27, 3)^+$$

$$E_{8(8)} / E_{6(6)} \times SL(3, R) = (27, 3)^+ + (27, 3)^-$$

The low-fermi spectrum at $D=5$
 $N=16$ is obtained by the
decomposition of the mcs of both
sides

$$SO(16) \rightarrow USp(8) \times SU(2)_J$$

$$128 \rightarrow (1, 5^+) + (27, 3)^+$$

$$128' \rightarrow (8, 4)^+ + (48, 2)^-$$

The octonionic moped model ($N=4$)

$$E_8(8) \rightarrow E_6(-26) \times SL(3, R)$$

$$248 \rightarrow (78, 1) + (1, 8) + (27, 3) + (27', 3')$$

taking the mcs on both sides we
have

$$E_7 \times SU_H(2) \rightarrow F_4 \times SU_L(2) \times SU_H(2)$$

$$248 \rightarrow (433, 1)_H^- + (1, 3)_H^- + (56, 2)_H^+ =$$

Further decomposition $E_7 \rightarrow F_4 \times SU_L(2)$

$$\begin{aligned} 248 &\rightarrow (52, 1_L, 1_H)^- + (1, 3_L, 1_H)^- + (26, 3_L, 1_H)^- \\ &\quad + (1, 4_L, 2_H)^+ + (26, 2_L, 2_H)^+ + (1, 1_L, 3_H)^- \\ &= (52, 1)^- + (1, 3)^- + (26, 3)^- + (1, 3)^+ + (1, 5)^+ \\ &\quad + (1, 3)^- + (26, 3)^+ + (26, 1)^+ \\ &= (52, 1)^- + (1, 3)^- + (26, 1)^+ + (1, 5)^+ \\ &\quad + (1, 3)^+ + (1, 3)^- + (26, 3)^+ + (26, 3)^- \end{aligned}$$

The bosonic spectrum converges
to the + signs

$$(1, 5)^+ + (1, 3)^+ + (26, 3)^+ + (26, 1)^+$$

The form count is obtained by

replacing $(1, 5)^+ + (26, 1)^+$ with

$(1, 3)^-$ and $(26, 3)^-$ so we have $27 = 1 + 26$

$$E_{8(-24)} / E_{6(-26)} \times SL(3) = (27, 3)^+ + (27, 3)^-$$

exactly as in the maximal case.

black holes and black strings coupled to
one form and their dual -

Fermions in $N=4$ theories. (Octonionic model)

We introduce an extra $SU(2)'$ under which the bosons (gravity + vector multiplet) are singlets while the gauginos and gravitinos are doublets.

The bosonic spectrum is

$$(56, 2_H, 1) \rightarrow (1, 4_L, 2_H, 1) + (26, 2_L, 2_H, 1)$$

where the first three entries are the right hand side compared to

$$SO(16) \rightarrow E_7 \times SU(2)_H \rightarrow F_4 \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_H$$

$$\text{and } SU(2)_J = \text{diag } SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_H$$

$$(56, 2_H) \rightarrow (1, 3) + (1, 5) + (26, 3) + (26, 1)$$

For fermions, exchange $SU(2)_H \rightarrow SU(2)'$ \rightarrow

$$(56, 1, 2_H) \rightarrow (1, 4_J, 2_H) + (26, 2_J, 2_H)$$

as it should be.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{G_N^3}{H_N^3} \oplus \frac{H_N^3}{H_N^D \times SO(D-2)} = \frac{G_N^3}{G_N^D \times SL(D-2)} \oplus \left(\frac{G_N^D}{H_N^D} + \frac{SL(D-2)}{SO(D-2)} \right) \\
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 +++ & --- & + + + +
 \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

(++++) = physical spectrum with gravita and scalars deleted

(---) coming from reps of $so(D-2)$ due to the embedding $N \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(D-2)$ of $so(D-2)$ in $so(N)$. rank $(n_C = C)$ -