
THE LARGE-VOLUME DETECTOR (LVD) - A MULTPURPOSE UNDERGROUND DETECTOR AT GRAN SASSO

THE LARGE-VOLUME DETECTOR (LVD) – A MULTIPURPOSE UNDERGROUND DETECTOR AT GRAN SASSO

G. BARI 1), M. BASILE 1), G. BRUNI 1), G. CARA ROMEO 1), A. CASTELVETRI 1), L. CIFARELLI 1), A. CONTIN 1),
C. DEL PAPA 1), P. GIUSTI 1), G. IACOBUCCI 1), G. MACCARRONE 1), T. MASSAM 1), R. NARIA 1), V. O’SHEA 1),
F. PALMONARI 1), E. PEROTTO 1), G. SARTORELLI 1), M. WILLUTZKY 1), K. DE 2), A.M. SHAPIRO 2),
M. WIDGOFF 2), J.A. CHINCHELLATO 2), C. DOBRIGKEIT-CHINCHELLATO 2), A.C. FAUTH 3), A. TURTIELLI 3),
P. PELFIERI 5), G. ANZIVINO 5), S. BIANCO 5), R. CASACCIA 5), F. CINDOLO 5), M. DEFELICE 5), Y. DONG 5),
M. ENORINI 7), F.L. FABBRI 7), C. JING 7), I. LAAKSO 7), S. QIAN 7), Z. SHI 7), A. SPALLONE 7), Y. SUN 7), L. VOTANO 7),
A. ZALLO 7), K. LAU 8), F. LIPPS 8), B. MAYES 8), G.H. MO 9), L. PINSKY 9), J. PYRLIK 9), D. SANDERS 9),
W.R. SHELDON 8), R. WEINSTEIN 8), Y. DAI 9), L. DIX 9), G. JING 9), Z. Lu 9), P. SHEN 9), Q. ZHU 9), D. ALYEVA 9),
T. KITAMURA 10), Y. MINORIKAWA 10), G. DI SCIASCIO 10), R. SCRIMAGLIO 10), P. ROTELLI 10), G.E. KOCHAROV 10),
V. VASILEYEV 10), M. DEUTSCH 10), E.S. HAFEN 10), P. HARIDAS 10), B. JECCELMANN 10), G. JI 10), H.H. HUANG 10),
C.S. MAO 10), A. PITAS 10), I.A. PLESS 10), S.W. WANG 10), Y.R. WU 10), Y.R. YUAN 10), C.Z. ZHAO 10),
V.S. BEREZINSKY 11), V.L. DADYKIN 11), F.F. KHAICHUKOV 11), E.V. KOROLKOVA 11), P.V. KORTCHAGUIN 11),
V.B. KORTCHAGUIN 11), V.A. KUDRYAVTSEV 11), A.S. MARKOV 11), V.G. RYASSNY 11), O.G. RYAZHSKAYA 11),
V.P. TALOCHKIN 11), V.F. YAKUSHEV 11), G.T. ZATSPEIN 11), J. MOROMISATO 11), E. SALETAN 11), D. SHAMBROOM 11),
E. VON GOELER 11), N. TAKAHASHI 11), I. YAMAMOTO 11), T. WADA 11), G. D’ALI 12), S. DE PASQUALE 12), B. ALPAT 12),
F. ARTEMI 12), M. ITALIANI 12), P. Diodati 12), P. SALVADORI 12), A. MISAKI 12), N. INOUE 12), T. HARA 12),
C. AGLIETTA 12), G. BADINO 12), L. BERGAMASCO 12), C. CASTAGNONI 12), A. CASTELLINA 12), G. CINI 12),
M. DARDI 12), W. FULGIONE 12), P. GALEOTTI 12), P. GHIA 12), C. MORELLO 12), G. NAVARRA 12), L. PERIALE 12),
P. PICCHI 12), O. SAAVEDRA 12), G.C. TRINCHERO 12), P. VALLANIA 12), S. VERNETTO 12), F. GRIANTI 12),
and F. VETRANO 12)

1) University of Bologna and INFN Bologna, Italy
2) Brown University, Providence, RI 02912, USA
3) Department of Physics, University of Campinas, Brazil
4) CERN, CH-1211 GENEVA 23, Switzerland
5) California Institute of Technology and INFN – LNF, Italy
6) University of Florence and INFN Florence, Italy
7) INFN, National Laboratories of Frascati, Rome, Italy
8) University of Houston, Houston, TX 77004, USA
9) IHEP, Beijing, PR China
10) Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47401, USA
11) Institute for Science and Engineering, Kinki University, Higashi-Osaka, Japan
12) Department of Physics, Kinki University, Higashi-Osaka, Japan
13) University of L’Aquila, Italy
14) University of Lecce, Italy
15) Joffe Physical Technical Institute, Leningrad, USSR
16) Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA
17) Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, USSR
18) Northeastern University, Boston, MA 02115, USA
19) Department of Electrical Science, Okayama University of Science, Okayama, Japan
20) Department of Physics, Okayama University, Okayama, Japan
21) University of Palermo and INFN Bologna, Italy
22) University of Perugia and INFN Perugia, Italy
23) Department of Physics, Saitama University, Saitama, Japan
24) Institute for Cosmic Ray Research, University of Tokyo, Tanashi-shi, Japan
25) Institute of Cosmic-Geophysics, CNR, University of Turin, and INFN Turin, Italy
26) University of Urbino, Italy

Presented by L. Votano

I. UNDERGROUND EXPERIMENTS
1. The LVD experiment

The large-volume detector (LVD) can be defined as an underground observatory devoted to neutrino astronomy and to the measurement of the penetrating components of cosmic rays. The experiment has been optimized for major physics items such as the detection of the neutrino bursts of collapsing stars and the search for astrophysical point sources of ultrahigh-energy gammas and neutrinos. It can also be defined as a multipurpose experiment where many important issues, especially neutrino oscillations, standard cosmic-ray physics, proton decay in the channel ($p \rightarrow K^+$, $\bar{p}$), solar neutrinos and monopoles can be studied with varying degrees of sensitivity and on a competitive basis.

The experiment will be located in Hall A of the Gran Sasso Laboratory and will benefit from the very favourable conditions of the site [1]: it is next to the Gran Sasso Rome-Adriatic motorway tunnel, permitting ease of heavy transport; it is only 130 km from Rome; it is at a depth of ~3600 mwe, which is an excellent compromise for the measurement of the penetrating components of cosmic rays; and, particularly important, geologically speaking the Gran Sasso is low in radioactive elements (for instance, the gamma and neutron fluxes are from 5 to 10 lower than those measured in the Mont Blanc).

2. The LVD detector

The LVD detector [2] consists of a large volume of liquid scintillator interlayered with streamer chambers and is specifically designed to permit a multipurpose experiment. One of the major experimental objectives is the detection of mu-mesons and the measurement of their trajectories and direction of flight; the muons can be either cosmic, produced in an atmospheric shower and with an energy of the order of a TeV to be able to reach the apparatus, or induced by neutrino interactions in the rock surrounding the apparatus. Another important objective is the detection of neutrino interactions inside the detector, either for low-energy neutrino interactions and the measurement of their energy, or the pattern identification of neutrino-induced events of higher energy.

Fig. 1 is a general view of the LVD which basically consists of 190, $6.6 \text{ m} \times 2.1 \text{ m} \times 1.1 \text{ m}$ or $6.6 \text{m} \times 2.7\text{ m} \times 1.1 \text{ m}$, identical modules inserted into an iron sup-
port structure [3]. They are arranged in eight (seven) layers in each of five main towers which are divided by corridors transversal to the laboratory axis. Each module comprises

- ~9.6 tons of liquid scintillator divided into eight modular counters (tanks) housed in Fe-module "porta tanks", and
- an L-shaped chamber module containing 80 limited streamer tubes on the bottom and on one side of the module, forming the tracking system.

The dimensions of the detector are $40 \text{ m} \times 12 \text{ m} \times 13 \text{ m}$, and its total weight, including the support structure and tracking system, is ~3600 tons.

2.1. Scintillator system

The scintillator system consists of 1520 1 m × 1 m × 1.5 m stainless steel boxes filled with well tested liquid scintillator with the following characteristics: structure C$_n$H$_{2n+2}$ with $n=10$; density 0.8 g/cm$^3$; attenuation length 20 m ($\lambda = 420 \text{ nm}$); light output ~5 photoelectrons each PM for 1 MeV of energy loss; energy resolution $20\% / \sqrt{E}$.

Each tank is viewed by three phototubes for energy determination and time-of-flight measurements. The pulses of the three photomultipliers of one counter are amplified and discriminated at two different thresholds: a high-level threshold of ~6 MeV and a low-level one of 0.8 MeV. The high-level output of each discriminator is fed into a threefold coincidence which is the general trigger from the scintillators for the whole apparatus. The general trigger also opens a 500 $\mu$s gate where the second-level trigger for the low-energy antineutrino detection operates. Steel structures house groups of eight tanks, as shown in fig. 2, and form part of the basic module of the experiment. The "porta tanks" also support the horizontal and vertical segments of the tracking chamber. The total mass of the liquid scintillator is 1800 tons.

2.2. Tracking system

The tracking system consists of 190 L-shaped chambers containing a double layer of limited streamer tubes. Each chamber is formed of two iron panels - a horizontal one and a vertical one - linked by hinges and fastened to each porta tank; thus each basic module is surrounded by a double layer of streamer tubes (see fig. 3). The streamer tube number totals 15,000, arranged in
Fig. 1. General view of the LVD.

Fig. 2. Detail of the LVD assembly system.
eight (seven) horizontal planes and five vertical planes, each one consisting of 8-wide PVC chambers, 6.3 m long and 1 cm \times 1 cm in cross section. Each tube layer is equipped with a digital readout in two coordinates and the signal is picked up by 4 cm wide pickup strips. The double layers are staggered by 2 cm. The resulting precision is \( \sim 0.5^\circ \), while the efficiency of the double layer is \( \sim 100\% \). Each chamber has 470 readout channels and the complete tracking system contains \( \sim 90,000 \) channels which are each discriminated and fed into a shift register. A serial readout circuit is then performed by a Camac module. The tubes are filled with a standard (30/70) argon–isobutane mixture. The geometrical acceptance of the apparatus for an isotropic flux is 7700 m\(^2\)sr.

3. Neutrinos from gravitational collapse

Type-II supernovae are generally believed to occur at the end of the evolution of massive stars (\( M > 8 M_\odot \)).

When the nuclear fuel is exhausted, the star cannot support itself against gravity and its core becomes dynamically unstable and begins to implode. The final evolution of the core collapse is a neutron star, or, eventually, a black hole. However, as regards our underground experiment, the most peculiar characteristic of this class of supernovae is the copious emission of neutrinos.

The final stage in the evolution of a massive star is an “onion skin” with a central iron core surrounded by burning layers of Si, O, Ne, C, He and H. The advanced burning stages proceed through the ignition of heavier nuclei to the nuclear statistical equilibrium (\( A \sim Fe \)).

After the final esoenergetic nuclear reaction (Si ignition) the iron core becomes a mass in excess of what the electron pressure can support and begins to collapse. Two processes, the photodisintegration of Fe nuclei on alpha particles and electron capture on “Fe” nuclei (\( e^- + p \rightarrow n + \nu_e \)), then lead to pressure decrement accelerating the collapse of the core.

During the collapse [4,5] the iron core breaks up into an inner part (\( 0.6 M_\odot \), \( 0.8 M_\odot \)) which collapses homologously (\( u \propto r \)) with its surface falling at about the sound of speed, and an outer part falling at supersonic speed (\( u \propto r^{-1/2} \)), roughly half of the free-fall speed. We can define a sonic point \( r_s \), as the point where the infall speed \( |u| \) is equal to the sound of speed \( a \).

As soon as the density of the inner region of the core exceeds the nuclear density, the collapse of the homologous core is brought to a sudden halt. The peak of pressure reaches \( 3p_{\text{max}} = 8 \times 10^{15} \text{ g/cm}^2 \) with a temperature of \( \sim 10^{-15} \text{ MeV} \). A pressure wave accumulates in the sonic point from where a shock wave begins to move out into the outer layer of the star.

Neutrino emission and photodisintegration of iron nuclei cause strong energy losses to the wave during its passage through the remainder of the infalling core. If the shock wave reaches the envelope of the star with enough energy, the resulting explosion and ejection of the envelope is the spectacular event of type-II supernovae. This favorable condition occurs only with a mass range \( 8 M_\odot \leq M \leq 16 M_\odot \) when it is possible to have a “prompt explosion”.

It has been confirmed that, well before the bounce, the core is no longer transparent to neutrinos for a density greater than \( \sim 2 \times 10^{11} \text{ g/cm}^3 \). Thus, the neutrinos are unable to stream away freely and can only diffuse out of the “neutrinosphere” [6] in a very long time compared to dynamic time.

However, the prompt explosion described previously cannot be invoked for stars in the range \( 16 M_\odot \leq M \leq 80 M_\odot \). During their evolution the shock wave stalls and becomes accretionary. Wilson et al. have proposed a model where the envelope explosion can also occur in this case. This is possible because the neutrinosphere heats the region just below the shock wave causing it to resume its outward course. As a result the envelope explosion occurs, but is delayed by the amount of time characteristic of neutrino diffusion.

3.1. Energy and time considerations

It has been calculated that \( \sim 3 \times 10^{55} \text{ erg} \) (binding energy) [7] must be released to form a neutron star. During the supernova explosion, \( \sim 10^{50} \text{ erg} \) of photons and \( \sim 10^{51} \text{ erg} \) of kinetic energy are released. The difference in the calculated and observed energies is emitted in the form of neutrinos or gravitational waves.
As the gravitational radiation can only be 1%, most of the binding energy is released in the form of neutrinos. The collapsing core has $\sim 10^{57}$ protons which are converted to neutrons through electron capture $p + e^- \rightarrow n + \nu_e$.

As the average energy of $\nu_e$ emitted from the core is about 10 MeV, $10^{52}$ erg are emitted by neutronization during the initial collapse, which is less than 10% of all the neutrinos radiated. The remaining neutrinos are given in pair processes (deleptonization): $e^- + e^- \rightarrow \nu_i + \bar{\nu}_i$ with $i = e, \mu, \tau$.

Muon and tau neutrinos are produced via neutral currents, while electron neutrinos are produced through both charged and neutral currents. The electron capture (neutronization) occurs in the initial collapse: an initial $\nu_e$ burst takes place in times of the order of a few ms during which the lepton-rich core settles into hydrostatic equilibrium. The neutrino pairs are thermally radiated on a time scale of the order of the diffusion process ($\sim$ seconds). Core implosion, bounce and shock wave take about 1 s during which the first half of the neutrino emission is released. The second half is emitted over the next few tens of seconds as the hot newborn neutron star cools down to become a standard cold neutron star (cooling phase). In any case, nearly all the neutrinos are emitted in about 20 s.

The luminosity of the mu and tau neutrinos during the deleptonization phase is less than the electron neutrino luminosity because they are emitted only via neutral-current processes. It should also be pointed out that as the first burst of $\nu_e$ comes from the homologous core region, whose size is largely independent of the original iron core mass, the first signal is model-independent, while differences can be seen in the other phases.

In the “delayed” Wilson model for $(M > 16M_\odot)$-stars, apart from the first burst, the neutrino luminosity has an oscillatory behavior superimposed on an exponentially decaying signal.

However, if we want to summarize the situation, we can say that the average neutrino luminosity, mean neutrino energy and total emitted energy depend only on the initial iron core mass and are independent of the explosion mechanism, while the time structure of the neutrino luminosity is strictly related to these mechanisms.

On the other hand, the general scenario of the collapse is well known, while the mechanism for the ejection of the envelope in a supernova requires further study.

We can conclude that more information on the time scale structure of the neutrino emission is necessary to clarify the hotly-debated explosion mechanism of type-II supernovae.

Despite the great importance of the type-II supernova event, our understanding of the phenomenon is incomplete for many reasons. Studies have, so far, been based on the observation of only electromagnetic radiation from the explosion or its remnants, but, due to the association of type-II supernovae with massive stars, they are formed near the spiral arms of spiral galaxy regions that are often optically obscured. Furthermore, the expected rate of supernovae is also very low, approximated for galaxies and clusters of galaxies at 3–4 events in 100 years. However, the fact that most of the energy is released through neutrinos indicates them as being the most important probe for studying stellar collapse.

As already stated, the LVD experiment is optimized for the detection of low-energy neutrinos and antineutrinos from collapsing stars. Neutrinos, of course, are not obscured by matter, so their detection will resolve many of the difficulties in the current studies of collapsing stars, either by statistics so that the type-II supernova event is not obscured by optical effects, or model identification by measuring the time structure of neutrino luminosity.

4. Detection of low-energy neutrinos in the LVD

The most convenient way to study stellar collapse in the LVD is to detect the antineutrinos from the collapsing object through the inverse $\beta$ decay reaction on free protons [8]:

\[
\bar{\nu}_e + p \rightarrow n + e^-
\]

\[
\downarrow
\]

\[
n + p \rightarrow D + \gamma \quad (E_\gamma = 2.2 \text{ MeV}).
\]

If the scintillator modular counters are operated in a low-background environment, they are suitable for detecting both pulses which give the signature for an antineutrino:

- a prompt pulse from the positron with the energy above the high-energy threshold; and
- a delayed pulse from a neutron during a gate width $\Delta t = 500 \mu$s and an energy threshold of about 0.8 MeV.

This method has already been checked in the LSD at the Mont Blanc and the measured efficiency is 70%. Due to the lower background expected at the Gran Sasso and to the presence of an inner well-shielded fiducial volume in the LVD, the energy threshold will be lower.

From a collapse in the galactic center (10 kpc), we can expect about 1000 interactions in the 1800 tons of liquid scintillator of LVD at a threshold of 5–6 MeV. With the expected noise level of 0.1 counts/s in the 20 s of collapse time, the signal-to-noise ratio will be optimal.

More information about the dynamics of collapse can also be obtained observing the $\nu_e$’s through the
elastic scattering reaction which produces, however, a lower number of interactions in the detector. As the \( \nu_e \) also occurs in the first neutronization phase, the signal from the \( \nu_e \) can provide information immediately at the very beginning of the collapse process.

For a supernova collapse occurring in the Magellanic clouds at 50 kpc the signals will be weaker but still detectable.

The LVD will no doubt be superior for detailed studies of stellar collapse in our galaxy.

5. The problem of the origin of cosmic rays

Another of the most debated problems in the field of astrophysics concerns the origin of cosmic radiation. The main characteristic of the composition of cosmic rays is the fact that the chemical composition exactly reproduces that of the whole galaxy, which excludes some of the hypotheses on the origin of cosmic radiation. For example, we cannot say that the rays form part of what remained after the Big Bang which produced nearly exclusively hydrogen. The most credible models today hold that cosmic rays must be generated either by an object of particular composition, or by many exotic sources. Several different sources have been proposed which would be able to act as extremely powerful particle accelerators and thus explain the energy of the cosmic rays, such as pulsars, binary systems, supernova explosions, quasars, etc. It is also believed that cosmic radiation comes mostly from outside our galaxy. A few discrete sources of cosmic radiation have been discovered in recent years, in particular of gammas of ultrahigh energy such as Cygnus-X3 [9], Vela-XI and LMC-X4 with the gammas having a spectral power of \( E^{-2} \) and a characteristic modulation time of the signal emitted.

In order to study these point-form sources of cosmic rays, it is necessary to use neutral particles only, as charged particles have completely lost the memory of their initial direction due to the magnetic field of the galaxy through which they have travelled for at least 20 million years.

The obvious candidates are the gamma-rays and neutrinos; in fact, the neutrons should have too high an energy for their average life to be long enough to survive a long journey.

In order to explain how a cosmic object can produce particles with an energy > TeV as well as the emission periodicity, Verstand and Eichler [10] have proposed a model where it is held that the sources are binary systems formed from a massive star (neutron star, pulsar) orbiting around another standard star. In this model, charged particles (mainly protons) are accelerated in the strong electromagnetic field of the massive star and thus interact in the atmosphere of the neighboring star producing \( \pi^0 \) which then decay into gammas. The atmosphere must be thick enough to create \( \pi \) but not too thick to reabsorb the gamma rays.

These models also claim the emission of charged pions and thus of neutrons from the decay of muons. As the \( \nu_e \) are not obscured by the neighboring stars, their intensity should, therefore, be at least a factor 10 greater than that of the gammas. Research regarding discrete sources can be successfully carried out using underground apparatus [11] by examining the muons produced either by gammas in their interaction with the atmosphere, or by neutrinos in their interaction with the rock surrounding the apparatus. The studies regarding muons produced by gammas are limited to sources such as Cygnus-X3 which are low over the horizon so that the signal expected is not covered by the normal flow of cosmic muons. Research on muons produced by neutrinos is restricted to sources below the horizon like VELA-XI or LMC-X4 and, in this case, the target is the whole earth [12].

As the LVD has both horizontal and vertical tracking surfaces distributed across the entire apparatus, it is an excellent omnidirectional detector for the study and research of discrete sources of cosmic rays with its geometrical factor of 7000 m\(^2\) sr.
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