S. Ferrara, R. Gatto and A. Grillo:
POSITIVITY RESTRICTION ON ANOMALOUS DIMENSIONS

Positivity restriction on anomalous dimensions

S. Ferrara
CERN, Geneva, Switzerland

R. Gatto
Istituto di Fisica dell'Università, Roma, Italy
and Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Roma, Roma, Italy

A. Grillo
Laboratori Nazionali del Comitato Nazionale per l'Energia Nucleare, Frascati, Roma, Italy
(Received 26 December 1973)

Arguments of positivity and conformal invariance in the Gell-Mann—Low limit imply positive anomalies \( \gamma_N \) (scale dimension) = \( N - N \) for the operators \( O_{\alpha_1} \cdots O_{\alpha_N} \) \( (N \neq 0) \) which occur in the light-cone expansion of two currents.

It is well known that the softness of the trace of the energy-momentum tensor implies that the Gell-Mann—Low limit of most renormalizable theories is not only scale- but also conformal-invariant.\(^5\) It is generally accepted that such a limit corresponds to a bona fide field theory,\(^3\) the asymptotic theory.\(^4\) We shall here derive, by a very simple procedure, general constraints on the scale dimensions of the symmetric traceless tensors \( O_{\alpha_1} \cdots O_{\alpha_N} (x) \) (which in particular occur in the light-cone expansion) from the positivity conditions of the asymptotic theory. Such scale dimensions are known to govern the short-distance behavior of the Green functions of the massive theory.\(^5\)

Let us consider the two-point functions

\[
W_{\alpha_1} \cdots O_{\alpha_N} \beta_1 \cdots \beta_N (x) = \langle 0 | O_{\alpha_1} \cdots O_{\alpha_N} (x) O_{\beta_1} \cdots O_{\beta_N} (0) | 0 \rangle ,
\]

where \( O_{\alpha_1} \cdots O_{\alpha_N} (x) \) are irreducible conformal tensors (i.e., Lorentz tensors \( \frac{1}{2} N \frac{3}{2} N \)) satisfying \( [O_{\alpha_1} \cdots O_{\alpha_N} (0), K_\lambda] = 0 \), where \( K_\lambda \) generates the special conformal transformations) of twist (= scale dimension minus spin) \( \tau_N = d_N - N \) \( (N \geq 1, d_N = \text{scale dimension}) \). Conformal symmetry gives uniquely\(^6\)

\[
W_{\alpha_1} \cdots O_{\alpha_N} \beta_1 \cdots \beta_N (x) = \langle 0 | O_{\alpha_1} \cdots O_{\alpha_N} (x) M_{\alpha_1} \beta_1 \cdots M_{\alpha_N} \beta_N (0) \rangle = \langle 0 | O_{\alpha_1} \cdots O_{\alpha_N} (x) \rangle ,
\]

where \( S \) indicates symmetrization and

\[
M_{\alpha \beta} (x) = 2 \frac{\partial x_\alpha \partial x_\beta}{x^2} - S_{\alpha \beta} .
\]

We perform the decomposition

\[
W_{\alpha_1} \cdots O_{\alpha_N} \beta_1 \cdots \beta_N (x) = \sum_{\kappa=0}^{N} w_\kappa (\tau_\kappa) \times W_{\kappa}^{(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_N)} (x_1 \cdots \alpha_N \beta_1 \cdots \beta_N (x) ,
\]

where \( W_{\kappa}^{(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_N)} (x) \) are homogeneous distributions satisfying

\[
\partial_\alpha_1 \cdots \partial_\alpha_\kappa W_{\kappa}^{(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_N)} (x_1 \cdots \alpha_N \beta_1 \cdots \beta_N (x) = 0 ,
\]

\( 0 \leq k \leq N - 1 \) \( (i.e., \text{they contain spin values down to } N - k) \) and \( w_\kappa (\tau_\kappa) \) are coefficients which will be studied in the following.

The spin structure of the homogeneous distributions \( W_{\kappa}^{(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_N)} (x) \) implies that they are positive distributions for \( \tau_\kappa \geq 1 - k \). A necessary condition for positivity from Eq. (2) is then \( \tau_\kappa \geq 1 \). Such a weaker condition can be obtained from scale invariance alone.\(^7\)

To investigate further the restrictions from positivity we study the zeros of \( w_\kappa (\tau_\kappa) \) in Eq. (3). At such zeros the \( k \)th divergence of \( O_{\alpha_1} \cdots O_{\alpha_N} (x) \) vanishes:

\[
\partial_\alpha_1 \cdots \partial_\alpha_k O_{\alpha_1} \cdots O_{\alpha_N} (x) = 0 .
\]

Eq. (5) is satisfied at those \( \tau_\kappa \) such that\(^8\)

\[
[\partial_\alpha_1 \cdots \partial_\alpha_k O_{\alpha_1} \cdots O_{\alpha_k} (0), K_\lambda] = 0 \]

It then follows that for both the quadratic and quartic Casimir operators \( C_{11}, C_{111} \) on the conformal representation\(^9\) of \( O_{\alpha_1} \cdots O_{\alpha_N} \) one has

\[
C_{111} (d_N, N) = C_{111} (d_N + k, N - k)
\]

(we recall that \( C_{11} \) vanishes on the representation\(^9\)).

Equation (7) has the only solution\(^9\).
\[ \tau_N = 3 - k. \quad (8) \]

We note that the locations of such "conformal" zeros are above those of the "kinematical" zeros (at \( \tau_N < 3-k \)) due to the divergences of the Fourier transforms of the distributions \( W^{(N-k)} A \nu(s) \). For \( W^{(N-k)} A \nu(s) \) such that its Fourier transform is a homogeneous distribution with an over-all normalization independent of \( \tau_N \), one has that \( w_{N,k}(\tau_N) \) must be proportional to

\[ \frac{(\tau_N-2)(\tau_N-2+k)\Gamma(\tau_N-1)}{\Gamma(\tau_N-k)}. \quad (9) \]

For \( \tau_N \geq 2 \), \( w_{N,k}(\tau_N) > 0 \), whereas for \( \tau_N < 2 \), \( w_{N,k}(\tau_N) < 0 \). A necessary and sufficient condition for positivity is then \( \tau_N \geq 2 \). Since none of the coefficients \( w_{N,k}(\tau_N) \) change sign for \( \tau_N \geq 2 \), positivity is ensured by positivity at \( \tau_N = 2, 3, 4, \ldots \), which correspond to the case of free fields. In conclusion we have shown that the operators \( Q_{\alpha_1} \cdots \alpha_N(x) \) \((N \geq 1)\), which occur in the light-cone expansion of two electromagnetic and weak currents, have scale dimensions \( \frac{1}{N-k} + 2N \) (provided conformal symmetry and positivity hold in the asymptotic theory)\(^1\), implying that [with exclusion of the scalar contribution \( O(x) \) to the operator expansion] \( J_{\alpha}(x)\gamma_\mu(0) \) is never more singular, at \( x^2 = 0 \), and the Green's function in Eq. (2) never less singular than in the free theory.

We have had discussions on the subject with R. J. Crewther, G. De Franceschi, G. Mack, and G. Parisi, whom we would like to thank.
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