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(Submitted to the Kiev International Conference on High Energy Physics, August 1970).

Multiple particle production in $\text{e}^+\text{e}^-$ interactions has been observed at ADONE, the Frascati $2 \times 1.5 \text{ GeV} \ e^+\text{e}^-$ storage ring (1). The measured cross-section appears to be of the order of $3 \times 10^{-32} \text{ cm}^2$, at energies of the incident electrons and positrons between 0.8 and 1.0 GeV.

The experimental apparatus (see Fig. 1) is described in a previous paper (2). We recall that it consists of four equal independent telescopes.
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FIG. 1 - The experimental apparatus. - a) Section orthogonal to the beam axis: $A_1$, $B_1$, $C_1$, $D_1$ are plastic scintillator counters; $SC\alpha_1$ and $SC\beta_1$, magnetostrictive monogap wire chambers; $CR_1$ and $CR_2$, the veto counters for cosmic rays. b) Section in a plane through the beam direction and orthogonal to a pair of opposite telescopes.
T₁...T₄, surrounding the vacuum chamber in a straight section of the machine and covering ~ 0.35 of the total solid angle. In each telescope Tᵢ, there are four scintillation counters Aᵢ, Bᵢ, Cᵢ and Dᵢ, and two magnetic materials monopole wire chambers ²αᵢ and ²βᵢ A thick absorber (~ 22 cm of Fe) and cosmic-rays veto counters (CR) lie above the apparatus.

A charged particle in telescope Tᵢ is defined as

\[ Tᵢ = AᵢBᵢ(Cᵢ + Dᵢ) \]

while a neutral particle Nᵢ corresponds to the coincidence

\[ Nᵢ = (Aᵢ - Bᵢ)(Cᵢ + Dᵢ). \]

A "master" event is given by the coincidence between at least two charged particles detected by two telescopes, without a veto signal from the CR counters.

For each event, the following information is recorded:

i) the time of the event with respect to a signal in phase with the machine radiofrequency (R.F.),

ii) the coordinate, orthogonal to the beam direction, of two points along the trajectory of a particle in each triggered telescope, (It should be noted that we do not measure coordinates along the direction of the beam in wire chambers \( αᵢ \) and \( βᵢ \) and when two simultaneous sparks occur in the same chamber, we only read the one closest to the magnetic pick-up).

iii) the four pulse heights \( Hᵢ \), each \( Hᵢ \) being the sum of the pulse heights in counters \( Cᵢ \) and \( Dᵢ \). (Both \( Cᵢ \) and \( Dᵢ \) are shadowed by a 0.7 cm Pb absorber.)

In what follows, as in reference (2), we will call "π" or "μ" the particles giving a pulse height \( Hᵢ \) in the range from \( Hᵢ, \text{min} \) and \( Hᵢ, \text{max} \) corresponding to a minimum ionizing particle, and "e" those whose pulse height is larger than \( Hᵢ, \text{max} \). \( θᵢ \) indicates the angle between the plane, parallel to the beam direction, containing the outgoing particle and a horizontal plane. \( Lᵢ \) is the perpendicular distance between the line of flight of a particle in the telescope Tᵢ and the axis of the beam. (The sign of \( Lᵢ \) specifies on which side of the beam the particle crosses the horizontal plane). Finally \( Δτ \) is the time between the occurrence of the event and the center of the beam-beam collision time interval.

In the present paper we discuss the experimental results regarding "non-coplanar" events, namely the ones satisfying at least one of
the following requirements:
- more than two charged particles (with \( H_i > H_i, \text{min} \)) in the final state, each traversing a different telescope, are detected;
- only two charged products (with \( H_i > H_i, \text{min} \)) are observed, but with \( |\Delta \phi| > 12^\circ \), \( \Delta \phi \) being the angle between the planes parallel to the beam direction and containing the lines of flight of the emitted particles.

First of all we classify the events as having occurred in time with the beam bunch collision, or out of time with it. Fig. 2 shows the spectrum of the number of events as a function of \( \Delta t \). \( \Delta t \) is the time separation between the occurrence of the event and a reference time fixed with respect to the phase of the R, F, accelerating voltage of the storage ring. The range of \( \Delta t \) corresponding to the \( e^+ \) and \( e^- \) bunches collision changes slightly with the energy, as is expected, since the synchronous phase of the bunches is a function of the machine energy.

It appears from the results presented in the right column of Fig. 2 that the "e-e" events (i.e., the ones in which two outgoing particles give rise to a shower) have practically no cosmic ray background contamination. Thus they can be used in order to clearly define the beam-beam collision interval of \( \Delta t \) at each energy. Therefore we can classify the non-coplanar events in two categories; we will call "in-phase" events (p.e.) the ones occurring during the collision time of the \( e^+ \) and \( e^- \) bunches (\( |\Delta \tau| \leq 4 \text{ nsec} \)), and the remainders, "out-of-phase" events (o.p.e.) which turn out to be essentially cosmic ray background.

Most "non-coplanar" p.e.'s appear to originate in the center of the straight section of ADONE. This is seen, graphically, in Fig. 3 where the plots of \( L_i \) vs \( L_j \) (i < j) for p.e. and o.p.e. are shown. i and j are the indexes indicating the telescopes triggered in a given event. In Fig. 3a p.e. are plotted and they appear to be clustered around the origin. Figure 3b shows the corresponding distribution for the o.p.e. Here the points uniformly cover the plane, as would be expected for cosmic ray particles.

Fig. 4 shows the projection on the axes of the plot in Fig. 3a. In Fig. 4a, which shows the projection of all the events on the \( L_i \) axis, there is an evident peak, superimposed on a smooth background. The shape of this background can be obtained from the plot of Fig. 3b and its projected distribution, normalized by the appropriate time factor, is also shown in Fig. 4a as "cosmic ray background". In Fig. 4b, only the events with \( |L_i| \leq 30 \text{ mm} \) are projected into the \( L_j \) axis; the same peak of Fig. 4a is now superimposed on a smaller background. We define events "from the source" (s.e.) as the ones for which both \( |L_i| \) and \( |L_j| \) are less than or equal to 30 mm. From Fig. 4b it results that s.e. contain only \( \sim 10\% \) contamination in the form of a smooth background, essentially due to cosmic rays.
FIG. 2 - Time distribution of the events for several values of the total C. M. energy $2E = E_1 + E_2$. $\Delta t$ is the time distance between the occurrence of the event and a reference time fixed with respect to the phase of the radio frequency (R. F.) accelerating voltage of the storage ring (1 channel $\sim 0.7$ nsec). Right-hand side spectra refer to Bhabha events, left-hand side spectra refer to "non-coplanar" ones. The white area corresponds to events coming from the "source", (see Fig. 3 and 4), the dashed area to all the others.
FIG. 3 - Plots of $L_i$ vs $L_j$ for non-coplanar events. $L_{i(j)}$ is the distance between the line of flight of the particle in the telescope $T_{i(j)}$ and the axis of the beam.  - a) Events which are in-phase with the bunches (p.e., $|\Delta \tau| \leq 4 \text{ nsec}$).  b) Out-of-phase events (o.p.e., $|\Delta \tau| > 4 \text{ nsec}$).
FIG. 4 - Projections of the plot of Fig. 3a: a) All the events of Fig. 3a are projected on the $L_1$ axis. b) The events for which $|L_1| \leq 30$ mm are projected on the $L_j$ axis. The "cosmic ray background" curves are obtained by appropriately normalizing the projections of the plot of Fig. 3b.
The numbers of non-coplanar "in-phase" events from the source are listed in Table I for several different values of the total C.M. energy \(2E = E_+ + E_-\). It should be noted that, in the present analysis of non-coplanar events, we required that all of the four spark chambers involved in each event showed a track. Since the single monogap efficiency has been measured to be \(\sim 0.85\) to 0.90, this criterion results in a rejection of \(\sim 40\%\) of the events.

The numbers of the events in which only two charged products are detected, are listed in column (4) of Table I. In column (5) the numbers of those with two charged prongs, along with at least one neutral particle, \(N_\perp\), in another telescope, are given. Column (6) contains the number of events with three observed tracks, and since our spark chambers are able to detect only one track at a time three different telescopes must have been involved. The 7th column is the sum of columns (4), (5) and (6), i.e. the total number of non-coplanar events that were recorded.

To avoid corrections due to the spark chamber inefficiency, we also have selected the "e-e" wide angle elastic scattering events, collected at the same time and in which all of the four spark chambers involved in each event showed a track. These are listed in the last column of Table I and will be used later for normalization purposes.

Further information on the nature of the detected non-coplanar events has been obtained from the analysis of the pulse heights \(H_1\).

In Fig. 5c we give a typical distribution of \(H_1\)'s for non-coplanar "in-phase" events from the source. The pulse height distributions for minimum ionizing (cosmic rays) and showering ("e-e") particles are shown for purposes of comparison in Fig. 5a and 5b. The non-coplanar events appear to be essentially minimum ionizing particles although they show a non negligible tail (\(\sim 20\%\)) at larger pulse heights (the "e" region). This tail may be accounted for by the following effects:

i) the possible presence of another charged or neutral particle in the same telescope, which can be estimated from Table I to be \(\sim 7\%\);

ii) nuclear interactions;

iii) the probability for a minimum ionizing particle to give a \(H_1 > H_1, \text{max}\);

iv) the possibility that detected particles have \(\beta < < 1\).

Moreover two-dimensional \(H_1\) vs \(H_\parallel\) pulse heights plots do not show any correlation between the energy loss of the different outgoing particles from each event. This can also be seen by comparing the distributions of cross hatched and white squares in Fig. 5c (see caption).

Finally in Fig. 6a the distribution of the angles \(|\Delta \phi|\) for non-coplanar events is shown. They appear to be distributed over all angles from \(\sim 10^\circ\) to \(180^\circ\). In this same figure the cross hatched squares refer
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1) $2E = E_+ + E_-$ (GeV)</th>
<th>(2) Total running time (hours)</th>
<th>(3) Integrated luminosity ($\text{cm}^{-2}$)</th>
<th>(4) events with 2 charged products only</th>
<th>(5) events with 2 charged products + neutrals</th>
<th>(6) events with 3 charged products + possible neutrals</th>
<th>(7) total number of p.e., s.e. non-coplanar events detected</th>
<th>(8) &quot;e-e&quot; events collected at the same time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>104.6</td>
<td>$250 \times 10^{32}$</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>74.1</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>56.5</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>60.8</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL:</td>
<td>531.3</td>
<td>$968 \times 10^{32}$</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>889</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Normalized cosmic ray background
- $20.5 \pm 2.9$
- $1.8 \pm 0.25$
- $1.6 \pm 0.22$
- $23.9 \pm 3.3$

Normalized e- gas interaction background
- $31.1 \pm 7.7$
- 0
- 0
- $31.1 \pm 7.7$

Total number of p.e., s.e., non-coplanar events after background subtraction
- $153.4 \pm 16.5$
- $16.2 \pm 4.2$
- $14.4 \pm 4.0$
- $184.0 \pm 17.6$
FIG. 5 - Pulse height distributions in telescope $T_1$. a) Minimum ionizing particles (cosmic rays). b) Bhabha events. c) Non coplanar events, which are in phase with the bunches (p. e., $|\Delta \tau| \leq 4$ nsec) and originate in the source (s. e., $|L_1|$ and $|L_2| \leq 30$ mm). The dashed squares correspond to the events in which a particle in the telescope $T_1$ is accompanied by a particle whose pulse height falls in the "e" region.
FIG. 6 - Distribution of $|\Delta \phi|$ for p.e., s.e. non-coplanar events. - a) $|\Delta \phi|$ distribution for events from two-beam runs. Dashed events have at least one particle whose pulse height falls in the "e" region, b) $|\Delta \phi|$ distribution for events from one-beam (e⁻) runs (beam-gas interaction background). c) Difference between the $|\Delta \phi|$ distribution when two beams are circulating in the machine and the distribution for the beam-gas background runs properly normalized. The normalization is discussed in the text.
to the events in which one or more particles fall in the above mentioned large pulse height tail; their angular distribution is quite similar to the one for all events.

We will now evaluate the contamination due to the interaction of the beams with the residual gas in the machine. The information registered by the apparatus in its present configuration does not allow us to reject this kind of background event by event. Therefore, we have performed "background" runs with only one beam (e⁻) circulating in the machine; the beam energies at which observations were made were $E = 1.0, 0.875$ and $0.350$ GeV. In these background runs we collected 21 events, meeting the same requirements as the ones used in the selection of our sample of p.e., s.e., non-coplanar events. (During the same running period we expected $2.7 \pm 0.4$ events of this kind due to cosmic rays). All 21 observed events had only two charged prongs (i.e. they are similar to the events listed in column (4) of Table I). Their $|\Delta \phi|$ distribution is shown in Fig. 6b.

In order to correctly subtract the contribution from electron-gas interactions to our data, we must deduce the appropriate normalization factor to be applied to these background events. The value of this normalization factor has been obtained by monitoring the rate of each telescope $T_i$ during both the background and the two beam runs. Actually the counting rates of the $T_i$s, after cosmic-ray subtraction, are directly associated with the interaction of the beams with the residual gas in the machine. It turns out that the measured background must be multiplied by a factor of 1.7 in order to be able to be directly subtracted from the data as given in Table I. It should be noted that the same value is obtained regardless of which of the telescopes $T_i$ is used as a basis for the normalization, even though the counting rates in each are quite different due to their relative position with respect to the machine. Moreover, a scaling of the background using the direct measurement of beam currents and pressure in the vacuum chamber agree with the previously determined normalization factor of 1.7.

By performing this background subtraction we obtain the corrected, non-coplanar, s.e., p.e., $|\Delta \phi|$ distribution shown in Fig. 6c (the e⁻-gas background that was subtracted totaled $\sim 13\%$).

The experimental results are summarized in Table II. Listed in column (2) are the total numbers of the detected non-coplanar events, which are in-phase with the bunches (p.e.) and originate in the source (s.e.). Column (3) contains the number of cosmic ray background events; this amounts to a total of 10% of all events, and is distributed among the different energies in proportion to the time devoted to data collection. In column (4) the number of non-coplanar events recorded in the one-beam runs is given. The 5th column gives the normalized number of beam-gas background events, from which cosmic ray events were subtracted. The
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1) $2E = E_+ + E_-$ (GeV)</th>
<th>(2) p.e., s.e. non-coplanar events detected</th>
<th>(3) BACKGROUND</th>
<th>(4) BACKGROUND</th>
<th>(5) p.e., s.e. non-coplanar events after background subtraction</th>
<th>(6) $N \geq 2$ corrected p.e., s.e. non-coplanar events</th>
<th>(7) Ne corresponding corrected wide-angle scattering events</th>
<th>(8) $R = \frac{N \geq 2}{N_e}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>$4.7 \pm 0.6$</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>$12.0 \pm 3.0$</td>
<td>$58.9 \pm 9.2$</td>
<td>$110.0 \pm 17.2$</td>
<td>$0.432 \pm 0.075$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>$2.7 \pm 0.4$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$3.8 \pm 1.0$</td>
<td>$24.3 \pm 5.7$</td>
<td>$45.4 \pm 10.6$</td>
<td>$0.333 \pm 0.085$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$1.5 \pm 0.2$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1.5 \pm 0.4$</td>
<td>$1.0 \pm 2.0$</td>
<td>$1.9 \pm 3.7$</td>
<td>$0.032 \pm 0.064$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>$2.9 \pm 0.4$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$4.6 \pm 1.1$</td>
<td>$15.5 \pm 4.9$</td>
<td>$28.9 \pm 9.1$</td>
<td>$0.236 \pm 0.079$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>$3.3 \pm 0.5$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$3.3 \pm 0.8$</td>
<td>$17.4 \pm 5.0$</td>
<td>$32.5 \pm 9.3$</td>
<td>$0.267 \pm 0.088$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>$3.5 \pm 0.5$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$2.9 \pm 0.7$</td>
<td>$29.6 \pm 6.1$</td>
<td>$55.3 \pm 11.4$</td>
<td>$0.274 \pm 0.061$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>$2.5 \pm 0.3$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$2.0 \pm 0.5$</td>
<td>$19.5 \pm 4.9$</td>
<td>$36.4 \pm 9.1$</td>
<td>$0.299 \pm 0.081$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>$2.7 \pm 0.4$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1.0 \pm 0.2$</td>
<td>$17.3 \pm 4.6$</td>
<td>$32.3 \pm 8.6$</td>
<td>$0.233 \pm 0.066$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>$23.9 \pm 2.3$</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>$31.1 \pm 7.7$</td>
<td>$184.0 \pm 17.6$</td>
<td>$343.6 \pm 37.9$</td>
<td>$1131.0 \pm 39.5$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$R = \frac{N \geq 2}{N_e}$
normalization factors were obtained from the $T_i$ counting rates recorded at each energy.

By subtraction of the numbers in column (3) and (5) from those in column (2) we obtain the number of background-subtracted non-coplanar events. These are given in column (6).

Corrections must be applied to these numbers. These corrections, however, depend upon the nature of the observed particle. On the assumption that we are dealing with pions, we have evaluated the fraction of undetected events caused by the following effects:

1) particles which suffer nuclear interactions and do not produce a trigger in telescopes $T_i$: $(29 \pm 5)\%$,
2) particles with insufficient energy to trigger the telescopes $T_i$ s: $(7 \pm 3)\%$,
3) losses due to particles giving anticoincidence counts in CR veto counters: $(13.5 \pm 5)\%$,
4) events rejected because of the non-coplanarity criterion, i.e. $|\Delta \phi|<12^\circ$: $(10 \pm 3)\%$.

The numbers of non-coplanar events after correction for these effects are listed in column (7) of Table II.

For the purpose of normalization, we list in column (8) of Table II the numbers of $e^+e^-$ wide-angle elastic-scattering events collected at the same time as the multi-particle events and selected with the same criteria for chamber efficiencies. These numbers were obtained from Table I column (7) after applying the following corrections (see ref. (2) for a complete discussion of these corrections):

1) $(+16 \pm 2)\%$ for inefficiency in the detection of e.m. showers,
2) $(+8 \pm 4)\%$ due to losses caused by coincidence counts in CR veto counters,
3) $(+3 \pm 3)\%$ for geometrical misalignment of the apparatus with respect to the beam. (This correction is negligible for non-coplanar events.)

Losses due to multiple scattering are almost the same for $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$ and non-coplanar events and so no corrections were applied to either.

The last column of Table II gives the ratios, $N_{\geq 2}/N_{e}$, of the number of corrected non-coplanar events to the number of $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$ events.

We would now like to extract from our experimental data information on the cross sections and multiplicities of the reaction:

$$e^+ + e^- \rightarrow (\text{two charged particles}) +$$

$\text{at least one charged or neutral particle}$
The cross section for this multiple-particle production process can be estimated from a knowledge of the detection efficiency of the experimental apparatus, $\varepsilon$. Actually, for a given final state $f$, the cross section, $\sigma_f$, is given by

$$\sigma_f = \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}} \frac{N_f}{\varepsilon_f} = \frac{\sigma_{\text{eff}}(e^+e^-)}{N_e} \frac{N_f}{\varepsilon_f}$$

where $N_f$ is the experimental number of detected events of the type $f$, $N_e$ is the number of $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$ scattering events collected at the same time, $\mathcal{L}$ is the corresponding integrated luminosity of the machine\(^{(4)}\), $\varepsilon_f$ is the detection efficiency for the chosen final state $f$, and $\sigma_{\text{eff}}(e^+e^-)$ is the Bhabha cross section integrated over the solid angle of our apparatus.

Now, if $\sigma(n)$ is the cross section for producing $n$ charged particles (possibly accompanied by neutrals) in $e^+e^-$ interactions, the number, $N_{\geq 2}$, of events with $\geq 2$ ($\geq 3$) detected tracks is given by

$$\begin{cases} 
N_{\geq 2} = \sum_{n=2,4,6,\ldots} \varepsilon_{\geq 2}(n) \cdot \mathcal{L} \cdot \sigma(n) \\
N_{\geq 3} = \sum_{n=4,6,\ldots} \varepsilon_{\geq 3}(n) \cdot \mathcal{L} \cdot \sigma(n)
\end{cases}$$

where $\varepsilon_{\geq 2}(n)$ ($\varepsilon_{\geq 3}(n)$) is the efficiency of the apparatus for the detection of two or more (three or more) charged particles out of the $n$ charged products of the reaction.

The values for $\varepsilon(n)$ were calculated under the assumption that particles are emitted isotropically in the reaction. Values for $\varepsilon(n)$ corresponding to an average "source" length of $2l = 40\,\text{cm}^{(5)}$ are given in Table III.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\varepsilon_{\geq 2}(n)$</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
<td>33.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\varepsilon_{\geq 3}(n)$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.45%</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Obviously the value of these efficiencies is model dependent. However, as the source and detector lengths are almost equal and as the total multiplicities involved are relatively high\(^6\), the dependence on the particular production model should be weak. The lack of any hint of a more sophisticated model at this stage, makes the use of the simplest one a reasonable choice.

If we disregard charged multiplicities \(n > 8\), equations (2) become

\[
\begin{align*}
N_{\geq 2} &= (\xi_{\geq 2}(2) \cdot \sigma(2) + \xi_{\geq 2}(4) \cdot \sigma(4) + \xi_{\geq 2}(6) \cdot \sigma(6)) \cdot \mathcal{L} \\
N_{\geq 3} &= (\xi_{\geq 3}(4) \cdot \sigma(4) + \xi_{\geq 3}(6) \cdot \sigma(6)) \cdot \mathcal{L}
\end{align*}
\]

By properly correcting the experimental numbers of Table I for the losses due to the effects we listed above (nuclear absorption, probability for a particle to be vetoed by the CR counters, etc...) we can obtain the experimental value of the ratio \(N_{\geq 3}/N_{\geq 2}\). The value of this ratio averaged over all beam energies turns out to be

\[
\frac{N_{\geq 3}}{N_{\geq 2}} = 9.5 \pm 2.8\% 
\]

Equating this value to the ratio of equations (3) and using the efficiencies given in Table III calculated under the assumption that particles are emitted isotropically, we can put an upper limit to the fraction of events produced with \(n = 6\):

\[
\frac{\sigma(6)}{\sigma(2) + \sigma(4) + \sigma(6)} \leq \frac{\xi_{\geq 2}(2)}{(\frac{N_{\geq 2}}{N_{\geq 3}}) \xi_{\geq 3}(4) + \xi_{\geq 2}(2) - \xi_{\geq 2}(6)} = (8^{+8}_{-3})\% 
\]

This limiting value corresponds to the extreme situation in which a charged multiplicity of \(n = 4\) is totally absent (i.e., \(\sigma(4) = 0\), and it is obtained assuming that the distribution of multiplicities is almost constant in the energy range explored, i.e., \(1.6 \leq 2E \leq 2.0 \text{ GeV}\). The fact that a charged multiplicity of \(n = 6\) occurs less than \(\sim 10\%\) of the time, justifies a posteriori the initial assumption that we could neglect charged multiplicities \(n > 8\).

From the present experimental information it is not possible to extract the relative magnitude of \(\sigma(4)\) and \(\sigma(2)\). The experimental value \(N_{\geq 3}/N_{\geq 2} = (9.5 \pm 2.8\%)\) is also compatible with the extreme situation in which only a \(n = 4\) charged multiplicity is present. Actually when \(\sigma(2) = \sigma(6) = 0\) the expected value of \(N_{\geq 3}/N_{\geq 2}\) is \(\xi_{\geq 3}(4)/\xi_{\geq 2}(4) \sim 10\%\) (see Table III). In this case the average value of the cross section would turn out to be \(\sigma(4) \sim 3 \cdot 10^{-32} \text{ cm}^2\).

Since our experimental detection efficiency for the \(n = 2\) mode,
\( \mathcal{E}_{\geq 2}^{(2)} \), is very small in comparison with the value of \( \mathcal{E}_{\geq 2}^{(4)} \) (see Table III), and since it is quite probable that some events with \( n = 2 \) exist, a larger value for the total cross section \( \sigma = \sigma(2) + \sigma(4) + \sigma(6) + \ldots \) for multiple particle production (most likely hadrons) in \( e^+e^- \) interactions could result.

Now we can try to make a more quantitative estimate. If we neglect the contribution (less than \( \sim 10\% \) of the total) from charged multiplicities \( n \gg 6 \), and if we apply the relationship \( N_e = \mathcal{E} \cdot \sigma_{\text{eff}}(e^+e^-) \), we obtain from the first equation (3):

\[
(4) \quad k \cdot \sigma(4) = \frac{N_{\geq 2}}{\mathcal{E}_{\geq 2}^{(4)}} = \left( \frac{N_{\geq 2}}{N_e} \right) \cdot \frac{\sigma_{\text{eff}}(e^+e^-)}{\mathcal{E}_{\geq 2}^{(4)}}
\]

where

\[
k = (1 + \frac{\sigma(2)}{\sigma(4)} \cdot \frac{\mathcal{E}_{\geq 2}^{(2)}}{\mathcal{E}_{\geq 2}^{(4)}}).
\]

Using the values for \( \mathcal{E} \) given in Table III, \( k \) is found to be

\[
k = (1 + \frac{\sigma(2)}{\sigma(4)} \cdot \frac{1}{5.2})
\]

which is not very different from 1 unless \( \sigma(2)/\sigma(4) \gg 1 \). For instance, if \( \sigma(2) \sim \sigma(4) \), \( k = 1.19 \), and \( \sigma(4) \) would be \( \sim 15\% \) smaller than the value of \( k \cdot \sigma(4) \). In this case the corresponding total cross section for multiple particle production (\( \sigma = \sigma(2) + \sigma(4) \)) would result in a value \( \sim 70\% \) larger than \( k \cdot \sigma(4) \).

The experimental values of \( k \cdot \sigma(4) \), obtained from equation (4) by using the ratios \( N_{\geq 2}/N_e \) listed in column (9) of Table II, are shown in Fig. 7 as a function of the total C.M. energy \( 2E = E_++E_- \). The value of \( k \cdot \sigma(4) \) averaged over the explored range of energy, turns out to be

\[
<k \cdot \sigma(4)> = (3.1 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-32} \text{ cm}^2
\]

where the error comes only from statistics. The evaluation of the corrections and the calculation of the efficiencies may introduce an additional systematic uncertainty of \( \sim 25\% \).

From the previous considerations, the value \( 3 \times 10^{-32} \text{ cm}^2 \), with a statistical error \( \pm 10\% \) and within a systematic uncertainty of \( \pm 25\% \), can be taken as a lower limit for the total cross section for multiple particle production in \( e^+e^- \) interactions, averaged over the energy range explored (i.e. \( 1.6 \leq 2E \leq 2.0 \text{ GeV} \)).
FIG. 7 - The product $k \cdot \sigma(4)$ is plotted as a function of the total C. M. energy $2E = E_+ + E_-$, $k \geq 1$ is given by $k = (1 + \frac{\sigma(2)}{\sigma(4)} \cdot \frac{1}{5,2})$, and $\sigma(n)$ is the cross section for producing $n$ charged particles (+ possible neutrals), see text.
To give a feeling of how large the cross sections of Fig. 7 are, we recall the following cross sections calculated for $2E = 1.8$ GeV:

$$\sigma_{\text{th}} (e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = 2.5 \times 10^{-32} \text{ cm}^2$$

$$\sigma_{\text{th}} (e^+e^- \rightarrow B \bar{B}) = 0.6 \times 10^{-32} \text{ cm}^2$$

(B being a spin zero point-like charged boson).
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REFERENCES AND FOOTNOTES.


(3) - The absorbers in the telescopes $T_1$ and in front of the CR counters, set the following limits on the kinetic energy, $T$, of the observed particles: $\sim 80$ MeV $< T < 350$ MeV. The evaluation of the corresponding corrections (due to their smallness) does not critically depend on the momentum distribution of the emitted particle; we have used the ex
perimental momentum distribution of pions from $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 2 \pi^+ + 2 \pi^-$ annihilations (see, e.g., Baltay et al., Phys. Rev. 145, 1103 (1966)).

(4) - We recall that the luminosity $\mathcal{L}$ (essentially the product of the $e^+$ and $e^-$ beam intensities divided by their effective section) is a measurement of the machine intensity. The number of events produced is directly given by the product $\mathcal{L} \cdot \sigma$, where $\sigma$ is the cross section of the process.

(5) - Our "source" has a finite longitudinal dimension and is expected to have a gaussian distribution

$$N(\ell) = N \exp \left(-\frac{\ell^2}{2\overline{\Gamma}^2}\right).$$

Theoretically $\overline{\Gamma}$ is expected to be $\overline{\Gamma} (\text{cm}) = 20 \frac{E_{\perp}^{3/2}}{2}$ and experimentally has been measured to be $\overline{\Gamma} (\text{cm}) = (22 \pm 2) \frac{E_{\perp}^{3/2}}{2}$, where $E_{\perp}$ is in GeV. (Private communications from the ADONE machine staff.)

(6) - Also in the case $n = 2$ the total number of charged and neutral particles produced in the reaction must be $\geq 3$. In order to estimate how model dependent the values of the efficiencies $\varepsilon$'s are, we have calculated the $\varepsilon$'s for several simple cases. If two charged pions are emitted ($n = 2$), depending on the number of the associated $\pi^0$'s, the values of the $\varepsilon$'s obtained with the statistical model can be $\sim 30\%$ larger than the values given in Table III which were calculated under the assumption that the particles were emitted isotropically. For the higher charged multiplicity states ($n > 2$) the values of Table III agree with the results one can obtain with any reasonable hypothesis to better than $\sim 10\%$.

(7) - In equation (4) both $\sigma_{\text{eff}}(e^+e^-)$ and $\varepsilon_{\geq 2}(4)$ depend on the average length $2\overline{\Gamma}$ of the source, but their ratio is almost independent on it.