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The particles of a bunch circulating in an accelerator are submitted to a force originating from the coherent radiation. It is of interest to determine the effect of this force on the particle synchrotron motion. We do this from the point of view of the phase distribution function. We show that the coherent radiation gives rise to an increase of the longitudinal dimension of the bunch.

1. We shall denote \( \gamma = \varphi - \varphi_s \) the phase difference (referred to the radiofrequency, RF) between a generic particle and a synchronous one.

If we neglect the damping due to incoherent radiation and introduce the force due to coherent radiation, then the equation of synchrotron motion is:

\[
\ddot{\gamma} = -\Omega^2 \dot{\gamma} + \frac{c q \omega_s}{E_s} (F - F_s)
\]

where

\[
\Omega^2 = \frac{(e V \sin \varphi_s) q \alpha \omega_s^2}{2 \frac{c E}{s}}
\]
F, F_s are the coherent radiation forces acting upon a generic particle and a synchronous one respectively; they are negative quantities, because the forces act in the direction opposite to the motion of the bunch;

(e V sin ϕ_s) is the energy supplied by the RF to the synchronous particle;

q is the harmonic number of the RF;

λ is the momentum compaction;

ω_s is the revolution frequency;

E_s is the synchronous energy.

2. For the force we assume the expression given by L. V. IOGANSEN and M. S. RABINOVICH\(^{(1)}\) which is based upon the following hypotheses:

a) - the electromagnetic fields are the Liénard-Wiechert's ones, i.e. there is no shielding;

b) - the bunch is extremely thin;

c) - the effective angular dimension ϕ_0 of the bunch and the energy of the particles are such as to satisfy the relation ϕ^{-3} << ϕ_0 << 1.

Hence the force depends on the particle angular position referred to a certain origin (see formula (14) in (1)).

If we take as origin the phase ϕ_s of the synchronous particle (obviously doing that we neglect possible effects of the coherent radiation on the motion of the origin itself), the force can be written in the form

\[ F = -\frac{2}{3^{1/3}} \frac{Ne^2}{R^2} q^{4/3} \frac{d}{d\eta} \int_{\Phi}^{\infty} (\eta - \Phi) \Phi^{-1/3} \Phi \, d\Phi \]

where

N is the number of particles in the bunch;

e is the electron charge;

R is the machine radius;

Φ(η) is the phase distribution function.

The force acting upon the synchronous particle will be
It follows that the equation (1) can be written in the form

$$
\dot{\gamma} = - \Omega \gamma + \lambda \frac{2}{d \eta} \int \gamma'(\gamma - \xi) \xi^{-1/3} d \xi + \lambda \frac{2}{d \eta} \int \gamma'(\gamma - \xi) \xi^{-1/3} d \xi \bigg|_{\gamma = 0}
$$

with

$$
\lambda^2 = \frac{c q \omega_s}{E_s} \frac{2}{3^{1/3} R^2} \frac{N e^2 q}{R^3} 4^{1/3}
$$

3. Let us introduce the distribution function $f(\gamma, \dot{\gamma}, t)$ obeying to the equation

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \dot{\gamma} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \gamma} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{\gamma}} (\ddot{\gamma} f) = 0
$$

As the radiation damping has been neglected, there are not velocity-dependent forces. Then $\frac{\partial \dot{\gamma}}{\partial \gamma} = 0$, and the last equation transforms simply into the following one:

$$
- \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \dot{\gamma} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \gamma} + \ddot{\gamma} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \gamma} = 0
$$

where $\dot{\gamma}$ is to be substituted by the expression (5).

We seek a stationary solution ($\partial f / \partial t = 0$) of the eq. (8). By separating the variables i.e. by putting

$$
f(\gamma, \dot{\gamma}) = g(\gamma) w(\dot{\gamma})
$$

one obtains two equations, one for the "moments"

$$
\frac{d \ln w}{d \dot{\gamma}} = - a \gamma
$$

and the other one for the phases

$$
\frac{d \ln \gamma}{d \gamma} = a \dot{\gamma}
$$
with \( a^2 \), separation constant.

From the eq. (9) we obtain the "moment" distribution \( w = \bar{w} \exp (-1/2 \ a^2 \gamma^2) \), which is not influenced by the introduction of the new term of force.

By integrating the eq. (10), taking account of (5), we obtain

\[
\ln \gamma = -a^2 \pi \left( \frac{\eta^2}{2} + \frac{\lambda^2}{a^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\gamma - \gamma') \gamma'^{-1/3} d\gamma' - \lambda^2 \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\gamma - \gamma') \gamma'^{-1/3} d\gamma' \right]_{\gamma = \gamma_0} \right) + C
\]

The solution of this equation gives the phase distribution function, if the coherent radiation is taken into account.

The applicability of the eq. (11) to the case of real accelerators is limited essentially by the first of the hypotheses assumed in 2., i.e. by the fact that the actual shielding existing in the accelerators reduces (as demonstrated by J. S. NODVICK and D. S. SAXON) the power irradiated by a bunch of particles. Hence there is also a decrease of the force acting upon the bunch.

Therefore the results, given by the eq. (11), represent an upper limit for the coherent radiation effect on the phase distribution.

We give here a possible way to evaluate the order of magnitude of such a reduction of the effect. Let us assume that in the case of finite shielding the coherent radiation force decreases with respect to the no-shielding case in the same way as does the radiated power in the corresponding situations. Therefore we admit that there are no appreciable variations in the character of the force, in other words no spectral distortions.

Then if we employ in calculations the formulae for the radiated power referring to a uniform distribution (see the expressions (23), (24), (26) in (2)) and express the radiated power \( P_{\text{coh}} \) (finite shielding) in terms of \( P^{(0)} \) (no shielding), we find the reduction factor

\[
f = (6 \frac{\eta_0}{q})^{-2/3} \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{3} a}{2 R} + \frac{32 \pi}{\pi} \left[ e^{-2 \pi d_1} + e^{-2 \pi \delta_2} \right] S\left( \frac{2 \pi R \eta_0}{qa} \right) \right\}
\]

where the new symbols are:

- \( a \), the distance separating the shielding plates;

\[
d_1 = \frac{R - R_1}{a} ; \quad \delta_2 = \frac{R_2 - R}{a} ;
\]

with \( R_1 \), inner radius and \( R_2 \) outer radius of the plates \( R_1 < R < R_2 \);

\[
S(y) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ C + \ln y - \text{Ci}(y) \right]
\]
with \( C \), Euler's constant and \( C_1(\gamma) \) the cosine integral.

The factor \( f \) is defined by the equality

\[
P_{\text{coh}} = f \frac{p^{(0)}}{P_{\text{coh}}} \tag{12}
\]

(For example, we obtain for the storage ring Adone, with \( \gamma_0 = 5,10^{-2} \), a reduction factor \( f \approx 1/3 \).

4. We can derive an approximated solution of the eq. (11) in the hypothesis that the perturbation method holds.

Then the zero order solution is

\[
P_0 = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{\gamma_0^2} \gamma^2\right) \tag{13}
\]

After defining the mean square deviation of \( \gamma \), \( \gamma_0 \) and by taking account of the normalization condition for \( \mathcal{P}_0 \), we obtain

\[
P_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\gamma^2}{\gamma_0^2}\right) \tag{14}
\]

By substituting (14) into the right hand side of the eq. (11), we derive the first order solution

\[
P_1 = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{\gamma_0^2} \gamma^2 + \frac{\lambda^2}{\Omega^2} (I(\gamma) - I_0(\gamma))\right) \tag{15}
\]

where

\[
I(\eta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \gamma_0 \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{\gamma_0^2} \gamma^2} f^{-1/3} d\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \gamma_0^{-1/3} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\gamma^2}{\gamma_0^2}} \gamma_0 \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{3}\right) \frac{\gamma}{\gamma_0} \tag{16}
\]

(\( D_{-\frac{2}{3}} \) is a parabolic cylinder function; see, for ex. (3));

\[
I_0^{(5/3)} \frac{d}{d\eta} I(\eta) \bigg|_{\eta = 0} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{3}\right)}{2^{4/3} \Gamma\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)} \gamma_0^{-4/3} \tag{17}
\]
The constant $S_1$ is obtained from the normalization of $S_1$.

The approximated behaviour of $S_1$ can be simply derived. Expressing the parabolic cylinder function in (16) in terms of confluent hypergeometric functions we have for $I(\gamma)$:

$$I(\gamma) = 2^{-5/6} \left( \frac{2}{3} \right)^{-1/3} \eta_0^{-1/3} e^{-2 \frac{\eta^2}{2 \eta_0^2}} \left\{ \frac{1}{\Gamma(5/6)} \Phi \left( \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}; \frac{\eta^2}{2 \eta_0^2} \right) + \frac{\sqrt{2} \eta}{\Gamma(1/3)} \Phi \left( \frac{5}{6}, \frac{3}{2}; \frac{\eta^2}{2 \eta_0^2} \right) \right\}$$

From this expression it is clear that for large and small values of $\gamma$ the function $S_1$ is well approximated by a Gaussian function. As a matter of fact for values $\gamma^2/2 \eta_0^2 \gg 1$ (say, $\geq 10$, i.e. for $|\gamma| \geq 4.5 \eta_0$) the asymptotic behaviour of the functions leads to a dependence $I(\gamma) \sim (\gamma^2/\sqrt{2} \eta_0)^{-1/3}$.

Then in the expression (15) the term $\sim \gamma^2$ will be the predominant one.

On the other hand for values $\gamma^2/2 \eta_0^2 \ll 1$ (say, $\leq 1/10$, i.e. for $|\gamma| \leq 0.45 \eta_0$) we can expand in series both the exponential and the $\Phi$ functions. If we neglect in this expansion terms higher than $\sim \gamma^2$, we obtain for $(I(\gamma) - I(0, \eta))$, which appears in (15), a constant (which may be collapsed in the normalization constant $\frac{\pi}{2}$) plus a quadratic term, $\sim \gamma^2$.

In conclusion one obtains

$$S_1 \sim \exp \left\{ - \frac{\gamma^2}{2 \eta_0^2} \left[ 1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2 \eta_0^2} \frac{2^{-5/6}}{3} \Gamma(2/3) \frac{\eta_0^{-7/3}}{\Gamma(5/6)} \right] \right\}$$

(18)

The exact behaviour of the distribution $S_1$ shows that for the intermediate values of $\gamma$ the deviations of $S_1$ from a Gaussian distribution are small. As example, in fig. 1 and 2 we have represented the distribution functions $S_0$ (curves a) and $S_1$ (curves b) with the Adone's parameters in two cases: $\eta_0 = 6.10^{-2}$; $\eta_0 = 7.10^{-2}$.

Then we assume for evaluating purposes that again $S_1$ is a Gaussian distribution, with a mean square deviation, following from (18),

$$\gamma_1 \approx \eta_0 \left( 1 + \frac{\lambda^2}{2 \eta_0^2} \frac{2^{-11/6}}{3} \frac{\Gamma(2/3)}{\Gamma(5/6)} \eta_0^{-7/3} \right)$$

(19)

From the above equation one can conclude that the coherent radiation causes an increase of the longitudinal dimension of the bunch.

By making explicit the second term within parentheses in (19) and by observing that the numerical factor is $\approx 1$, the mean square deviation of the phase distribution becomes
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\[ (19') \quad \eta_1 \approx \eta_o \left[ 1 + q^{4/3} \eta_o^{-7/3} \frac{N e^2}{R(e V \sin \gamma_s)} \right] \]

Obviously this formula is applicable if the perturbation method is consistent. Then the second term in brackets is to be a small perturbation term (say, \( \leq 1/10 \)). With assigned values of the machine parameters the formula (19') is applicable for \( \eta_o \) values such that

\[ \eta_o^{7/3} \gg q^{4/3} \frac{N e^2}{R(e V \sin \gamma_s)} \]

The actual limitation on the unperturbed length of the bunch is obtained from the above expression by taking account of the reduction factor \( f \). Eventually we have the condition

\[ \eta_o^{7/3} \gg f q^{4/3} \frac{N e^2}{R(e V \sin \gamma_s)} \]

or

\[ (20) \quad \gamma_o^3 \gg 0.3 q^2 \frac{N e^2}{R(e V \sin \gamma_s)} \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{3} a}{2 R} + \frac{32}{\pi} \left[ e^{-2 \frac{\gamma_o \delta_1}{R}} + e^{-2 \frac{\gamma_o \delta_2}{R}} \right] \right\} \frac{2 \pi R \eta_o}{qa} \]

It must be stressed again that for the validity of the perturbation method the coherent radiation effect in any case must be smaller than \( \sim 10\% \).

In spite of this limitation the interest of the calculation is based essentially on two considerations:

a) - the values of \( \eta_o \) satisfying the condition (20) lie in the operating region of the actual accelerators. For example in the case of Adone it must be \( \sim \eta_o \gtrsim 5,10^{-2} \) (or the length of the bunch larger than \( \sim 50 \) cm.);

b) - with smaller values of \( \eta_o \), when the condition (20) is no more satisfied (as in Adone in an intermediate energy region), the perturbation method is not valid, however the equilibrium dimension of the bunch cannot exceed, as order of magnitude, the one allowed by our approximation (19').
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