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In the past few years, the discovery of a new interaction of the μ meson has been the frustrated aim of several experimentalists. Recently a group 1) at CERN, by means of a classical experiment on the muon magnetic moment, once again failed to reveal anomalies, thereby strongly supporting the complete equivalence between electrons and muons. We furnish here new evidence for this, by reporting on an experiment in which the absolute cross-section for muon pairs photoproduced in carbon has been measured, in a region of low momentum transfer to the nucleus (40-80 MeV/c).

A drawing of the experimental apparatus is shown in Fig. 1. The 000 MeV bremsstrahlung beam of the

---

(*) On leave of absence from the University of Illinois, Urbana, Ill.
Frascati Electrosynchrotron was collimated to a 2 cm diameter spot on a carbon target of 5 cm in length (8.8 g/cm²). Positive muons emitted at 10⁶ in the momentum interval from roughly 300 to 400 MeV/c were first deflected by a double focusing magnetic spectrometer to traverse one of three momentum defining scintillation counters α, β and γ. The muons then produced a four-fold coincidence in scintillators $A_M B_M C_M$ and $D_M$, and gave Čerenkov light in the water+plastic counter Č. Pions, on the contrary, were slowed down to about the Čerenkov threshold by copper absorbers. However, for this method of separation to be effective, the spectrometer should accept a small momentum interval, which, in turn, would produce a low counting rate. To avoid this, two appropriately shaped copper wedges were placed at the focal plane of the magnet to monochromatize the particles. Counters $A_M B_M$ and $C_M$ were 1 cm thick, while $D_M$, which was used also in pulse height analysis, was 3 cm thick.

At 10⁶ on the opposite side of the photon beam, a range telescope of 19 counters detected the negative muons. These were required to traverse at least the four counters $A_T B_T C_T$ and to be in coincidence with the positive muons on the magnet side. The first counter $A_T$, which defined the solid angle, was followed by the counter $Ω_T$ which was half as wide, so as to give a reasonably large angular acceptance without loss in angular resolution. Spaced between these five counters a thick absorber reduced the electron and pion background. To reduce this background still further, use is to be made of the remaining portion of the telescope, made up of 14 scintillators measuring 30×30×1 cm, and separated by carbon layers of 2.3 cm in thickness (4.9 g/cm²). Whenever a coincidence occurred between the outputs of ($A_M$, $B_M$, $C_M$, $D_M$) and ($A_T$, $B_T$, $C_T$, 1), two hodoscopes of 14 indicator lights each were activated. Both hodoscopes were connected with the 14 counters in such a way that the first showed the counters traversed by the incoming muon, and the second those traversed by the muon decay electron, thus allowing us to verify that the electron originated at the end point of the muon.

All the information necessary to identify each event was recorded on a four-trace oscilloscope, triggered by the master eight-fold coincidence. On the first trace, which had a slow sweep speed, a pulse from the electron gave the time interval between the muon arrival and its decay, thus allowing us to verify its correct exponential time distribution. The other three traces had high sweep speeds. On the second we displayed pulses from counters α, β and γ, which determined the $μ^+$ momentum. On the third a pulse from $C_T$ and a pulse from $C_M$ permitted us to establish the coincidence between the two muons with a much higher resolving time than with electronic circuits and allowed a simultaneous measure of the background of accidentals. Finally, on the fourth trace we displayed pulses from $D_M Č$ and $Ω_T$. Fig. 2 shows a photograph of a good event and Fig. 3 the block diagram of the electronics.

Owing to loss of muons by scattering out of the telescope, a complete analysis of our data must include a Monte Carlo calculation of our detection efficiency. This is under way, and we present in this note only an alternative analysis in which results from the hodoscopes are not taken into account. Our present procedure is therefore equivalent to integrating...
over the $\mu^-$ energy from the 458 MeV minimum required to trigger the four counters to the maximum imposed by the conservation of energy.

Fig. 4 shows the distribution of the time intervals separating the pulses $C_T$ and $C_M$. Its sharp peak, due to pairs of particles produced in a single elementary act, rises above a background of accidentals showing the expected effect of bunching produced by the synchrotron radio-frequency. After subtracting this background, we must correct our data for pairs of pions (plus their decay muons) and for pairs of electrons. To this end, we compared the combined $\bar{C}$ and $D_M$ pulse height distribution of our events with the corresponding distributions for electrons and pions. The distribution for the latter was taken with the magnet located at 30°, where counts from electrons or electromagnetic muons are negligible, and the distribution for electrons was taken at 10° with a lead converter increasing the number of electrons in the primary beam. Roughly speaking, pions plus their decay muons give a broad spectrum in $\bar{C}$, while electrons give a broad spectrum in $D_M$.

on the contrary, the distribution for electromagnetic muons, obtained at 30° by simulating them with pions of appropriate momentum, shows well defined peaks in both these counters (see Fig. 5). More precisely, we divided our events into three groups: (1) events with $D_M$ pulse height smaller than 4 mm, (2) events with $D_M$ at least 4 mm but $\bar{C}$ smaller than 4 mm, (3) events with both $D_M$ and $\bar{C}$ at least 4 mm, and could show that 98.4% of the electromagnetic muons are in this last group. In it we had 1695 events, collected at a rate of about one every 6 minutes. From the other two groups we find that 42 of these events are electrons and 252 are pions plus decay muons.

**TABLE I**

Ratios of the experimental to the theoretical cross-sections for the three momentum channels of the $\mu^-$ and for the two angular channels of the $\mu^-$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\bar{C}$</th>
<th>$\bar{C}_M$</th>
<th>329</th>
<th>360</th>
<th>393 MeV/c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9.3°</td>
<td>0.88±0.13</td>
<td>0.90±0.09</td>
<td>1.04±0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.7°</td>
<td>0.99±0.15</td>
<td>1.15±0.10</td>
<td>1.11±0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I shows our results, in the form of ratios of the absolute experimental cross-sections to the theoretical. To obtain the latter, the Bethe-Heitler 3) proton cross-sections were first corrected for the
carbon form factors \(^4\) and for inelastic processes according to the formula \(^5\) \[ I_C = \frac{Q}{e^2} F(Z^2 F^2 + Z(1 - F^2)). \] They were then integrated over the \(\mu^+\) and \(\mu^-\) solid angles and over the bremsstrahlung spectrum. Folded into the integrals were the resolution curves of the spectrometer, as obtained by extrapolating \(\pi\) particle calibration measurements \(^2\) to our well-below-saturation field setting. Not included in the calculation were radiative corrections (estimated by Bjorken et al.\(^5\) to be less than 1.5\%) and nuclear recoil effects. The errors quoted in the table are statistical: we estimate other uncertainties in the experimental cross-sections (coming mainly from the beam calibration and the bremsstrahlung spectrum) to be less than 2\%.

Differences from unity in the six ratios shown are hardly significant: the overall average gives 1.00 \(\pm\) 0.05. Assuming this error, and taking into account the range of variation of the four-momentum transfer \(q\) to the virtual muon (135-185 MeV/c), we conclude that no anomalous behaviour is observed, within 5\% accuracy, down to a distance \(h/q = 1.2 \times 10^{-13}\) cm. For those who believe in cutoff theories, we may, of course, push this value further, and set, with 95\% confidence, a limit of \(2 \times 10^{-14}\) cm for a cutoff in the virtual muon propagator \(^6\). Accordingly, we confirm the well known result \(^1\) obtained at CERN. Finally, the total energy of the two muons in their centre of mass ranged from 240 to 290 MeV, and we exclude from this interval muon-muon interaction effects larger than 5\%. Effects due to possible leptonic decays of the \(o^0\) and \(\eta^0\) particles \(^7\), or to the \(\sigma^0\) meson conjectured by Schwinger \(^8\), may be observed at higher energies. We are planning an experiment to explore these higher masses, and ranges of higher momentum transfer.

\begin{itemize}
  \item \(\ast\) Inelastic corrections given by the second term are of the order of 3\%.
\end{itemize}
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