

ISTITUTO NAZIONALE DI FISICA NUCLEARE
Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati

LNF-81/41

G. Parisi: RANDOMNESS AS A SOURCE OF MASSLESS
PARTICLES

Estratto da:

Unification of the Fundamental Particle
Interactions (1980), Ed. by S. Ferrara,
J. Ellis and P. Van Nieuwenhuizen
(Plenum, 1981), pag. 583

Reprinted from:

UNIFICATION OF THE FUNDAMENTAL PARTICLE INTERACTIONS (1980)

Edited by Sergio Ferrara, John Ellis and Peter Van Nieuwenhuizen

Book available from: Plenum Publishing Corporation
233 Spring Street, N.Y. 10013

RANDOMNESS AS A SOURCE OF MASSLESS PARTICLES

Giorgio Parisi

INFN

Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Italy

It is well known that massless particles arise naturally from symmetry principles, e.g. Goldstone bosons, gauge fields, γ_5 invariance, In this talk I speculate that maybe there is a new unforeseen mechanism which could generate massless particles.

Let us construct a very simple method to illustrate this mechanism. We assume that space-time can be divided into flat regions (which will be called points) connected by regions where the structure of space-time is very twisted (bridges or links). We suppose that the points and the links can be organized in such a way as to form a lattice (or an amorphous solid): the links should connect only points which are not too far separated. A macroscopically flat space-time structure can be introduced.

Let us consider a quantum field theory on this space: a self-interacting scalar field ϕ . We assume that the field ϕ will be practically constant inside each point: we denote by ϕ_i the value of the field at the point i ; the net effect of the bridges is to couple the fields defined on different bonds. The final Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_i \left(\frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi_i^2 + g \phi_i^4 + \sum_{\mathbf{R}} J_{i\mathbf{R}} (\phi_i - \phi_{\mathbf{R}})^2 \right) \quad (1)$$

Equation (1) is the starting point of this analysis; the reader should note that in the derivation of Eq. (1) he (she) is completely free to substitute for the gravitational interaction I have used, any other interaction he (she) may prefer.

It is evident that if all the J_{ik} are positive and m^2 is negative the symmetry (Z_2) $\phi \leftrightarrow -\phi$ is spontaneously broken, but Goldstone bosons are not present as the symmetry group is discrete. Let us consider a more complex case in which the J_{ik} take randomly the values ± 1 .

Normally we would think that the distribution of the J_{ik} is influenced by their interaction with the field ϕ_i . Let us assume that this effect is very small and can be neglected; we can visualize the J_{ik} as semi-classical macroscopic variables which evolve according to the internal (ergodic) dynamics and are not influenced by the interaction with a quantum field.

We consider now the mean value of the propagators:

$$\begin{aligned}
 G^{(1)}(i) &= \frac{\sum_{\mathbf{R}} \langle \phi_{i+\mathbf{R}} \phi_{\mathbf{R}} \rangle}{\sum_{\mathbf{R}} 1} \\
 G^{(2)}(i) &= \frac{\sum_{\mathbf{R}} \langle \phi_{i+\mathbf{R}} \phi_{\mathbf{R}} \rangle^2}{\sum_{\mathbf{R}} 1}
 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

The sum over k is needed because the system is not translationally invariant. Although $\langle \phi_{i+k} \phi_k \rangle$ depends on the particular realization of the J_{ik} , it is believed that with probability one in the infinite volume limit the mean propagators will be equal for all the choices of the J_{ik} made according to the same probability law (central limit theorem).

The invariance of the model under the local gauge transformation ($\phi_i \rightarrow -\phi_i$, $J_{ik} \rightarrow -J_{ik}$) implies that $G^{(1)}(i) = 0$, $i \neq 0$. The only information is contained in the $G^{(2)}(i)$.

It is not clear to me if this model is in contradiction with well-known facts, e.g. energy conservation is only a statistical law; my aim is to point out that when m^2 is negative, one finds in a rather unexpected way that the $G^{(2)}(i)$ is long range, i.e. its Fourier transform $\tilde{G}^{(2)}(K^2)$ contains an infinite number of poles with an accumulation point at zero:

$$\tilde{G}^{(2)}(K^2) \approx \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_n}{(K^2 + \mu_n^2)} : \begin{array}{l} \mu_n \rightarrow 0 \\ \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \end{array} \tag{3}$$

We have succeeded in generating in a natural way an infinite number of particles from only one field. The reader expert in solid state physics will find this note rather uninteresting: our construction reproduces the definition of a quenched spin glass¹. Equation (3) has been suggested in Ref. 2 and it is based on the approach of Ref. 3. The arguments leading to Eq. (3) are rather involved and they will not be reproduced here: unfortunately a simple clear-cut physical explanation of the phenomenon is still lacking.

The content of this paper can be summarized as follows: in recent years, solid state physicists have started to study systems with quenched disorder: they have discovered that in particular cases long range correlations may be present (i.e. massless particles in the field theory language). I think that physicists working in the theory of elementary particles should be aware of this effect and study if and how it can be incorporated into a realistic theory.

I am very happy to thank the Academia Sinica and in particular the Institute of Theoretical Physics for their warm hospitality during the writing of this note.

REFERENCES

1. S.F. Edwards and P.W. Anderson, J. Phys. F5 (1975) 965; a good survey of the present theoretical situation can be found in the proceedings of the 1980 Les Houches Winter Advanced Study Institute on "Common Trends in Particle and Condensed Matter Physics", to be published in Phys. Rep. C (1980).
2. D.J. Thouless, J.R.L. de Almeida and J.M. Kosterlitz, Birmingham University preprint "Stability and Susceptibility in Parisi's solution ..." (1980).
3. G. Parisi, Phys. Rev. Letters 43 (1979) 1754 and J. Phys. A13 (1980) 984.