

ISTITUTO NAZIONALE DI FISICA NUCLEARE

Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati

LNF - 79/8(R)

22 Gennaio 1979

A. Turrin: OPTIMUM ROTATION ANGLE OF A
SIBERIAN SNAKE.

LNF-79/8(R)
22 Gennaio 1979

A. Turrin: OPTIMUM ROTATION ANGLE OF A SIBERIAN SNAKE.

Several arrangements of magnets with alternate transverse horizontal and vertical fields have been proposed⁽¹⁻³⁾ as Siberian Snake configurations, and many have already been analyzed^(2, 4, 5). Recently, Steffen⁽³⁾ proposed a "snake" configuration with small orbit displacement, which has the following properties:

- a) the set of vertical fields exhibits symmetry, and
- b) the set of horizontal fields exhibits antisymmetry about its central point.

In Ref. (4) it was shown that such an arrangement does not make available a sufficiently wide energy range (in which depolarization can be prevented) under fixed geometry conditions. More importantly, in Ref. (5) it was shown that this configuration, when rotated by $\pi/2$ about the y (velocity) axis, can be used as a very efficient fixed-geometry snake. Since enquiries have been received about these conclusions, it was decided to calculate the effective precession wave number, ν , of the polarization vector as a function of the beam energy, E , for the Steffen's magnetic arrangement rotated by any angle, φ , around the y -axis. Thus, the purpose of this report is to present this generalized expression of $|\cos(\pi\nu)|_{\text{extr}}^{(\varphi)}$. The resulting final formula indicates that the $\pi/2$ -rotated⁽⁵⁾ configuration constitutes the best choice.

Using 2-component spinor algebra⁽²⁾, we find for the element m_{11} of the transfer matrix through the "snake" insertion

$$\text{Re}(m_{11}) = c(4) c(2) [c(4) + s^2(4)] [1 + 2s^2(4)] , \quad (1a)$$

and

$$\text{Im}(m_{11}) = (1/2)s^2(8)s(1)[1 + 2s^2(4)] \cos \varphi , \quad (1b)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c(k) &\equiv \cos(\phi/k), & (k = 1; 2; 4; 8) \\ s(k) &\equiv \sin(\phi/k), \end{aligned} \quad (2a)$$

and

$$\phi = \pi E/E_0. \quad (2b)$$

Here, E_0 is the reference energy. $\varphi = 0$ corresponds to the snake configuration as originally proposed by Steffen⁽³⁾; $\varphi = \pi/2$ refers to the same arrangement, except that the V(H) magnets are replaced by H(V) magnets in it⁽⁵⁾.

(To facilitate comparison with our previous work^(4, 5), we note that the r.h.s. of Eq. (1a), the r.h.s. of A as given in Ref. (4) and the r.h.s. of Eq. (2) of Ref. (5) are three identical expressions. Besides, the r.h.s. of Eq. (1b), with $\varphi = 0$, is identical to the expression of B as given in Ref. (4). For $\varphi = \pi/2$, we have $m_{11}(= m_{22}) = (1/2)\text{Tr}$, so that Eq. (3b) of Ref. (5) holds).

Since, at any φ , we have $m_{22} = m_{11}^*$, $|\cos(\pi\nu)|_{\text{extr}}^{(\varphi)}$ is given by the equation

$$|\cos(\pi\nu)|_{\text{extr}}^{(\varphi)} = \sqrt{\text{Re}^2(m_{11}) + \text{Im}^2(m_{11})}. \quad (3)$$

Inspection of Eqs. (1) - (3) above readily reveals that at $\varphi = \pi/2$ the "snake" spoils the interaction which takes place in the main bending arcs.

Thus, in order to utilize fully the capability of any "snake", the following condition must be satisfied:

"symmetry of the horizontal fields pattern, and antisymmetry of the vertical fields pattern about the snake's midpoint".

References.

- (1) - Ya. S. Derbenev and A. M. Kondratenko, Proceedings of the 10-th Intern. Conf. on High-Energy Accelerators, Protvino (Moscow), July (1977), Vol. 2, p. 70.
- (2) - B. W. Montague, LEP-70/76 (1978).
- (3) - K. Steffen, DESY PET-78/11 (1978).
- (4) - A. Turrin, LNF-78/54 (1978).
- (5) - A. Turrin, LNF-78/59 (1978).