

LNF-73/64
7 Novembre 1973

A. Renieri: INCOHERENT BEAM-BEAM EFFECT:
A COMPUTER SIMULATION.

(Performed during the PEP Summer Study,
Stanford-Berkeley, August 1973).

A. Renieri: INCOHERENT BEAM-BEAM EFFECT: A COMPUTER SIMULATION^(x)

(Performed during the PEP Summer Study, Stanford-Berkeley, August 1973)

1. - INTRODUCTION. -

In this paper we investigate, by means of a computer simulation, the incoherent beam-beam effect under the following conditions:

- a) Weak beam against strong beam (i. e. single particle against strong beam);
- b) Head-on collision;
- c) Strong beam shorter than β value at crossing point;
- d) No noise and no damping.

From b) and c) conditions it follows that only the (x, z) transverse modes are affected by beam-beam interaction.

In order to have a charge distribution function of the strong beam, which can be easily handled in the analytical computation of the space-charge forces, we have chosen a distribution function of the form:

$$f(x, z) = \frac{\sigma_x \sigma_z}{\pi^2} \frac{1}{x^2 + \sigma_x^2} \frac{1}{z^2 + \sigma_z^2} \quad (1)$$

which is indeed unphysical, because it has very long tails.

The computer program simulates the space charge forces from the strong beam as a δ -function-like kick given to the weak beam particle, this^{is} allowed by c) condition (point-like strong beam). So we can put the motion equations of the weak beam particle in the form of turn by turn recurrence equations.

(x) - Work supported by U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

2.

2. - MOTION EQUATIONS. -

We assume that the machine is perfect, so that the transverse modes recurrence equations are:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ x' \\ z \\ z' \end{pmatrix}_{n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \mu_x & , & \beta_x \sin \mu_x & , & 0 & , & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{\beta_x} \sin \mu_x & , & \cos \mu_x & , & 0 & , & 0 \\ 0 & , & 0 & , & \cos \mu_z & , & \beta_z \sin \mu_z \\ 0 & , & 0 & , & -\frac{1}{\beta_z} \sin \mu_z & , & \cos \mu_z \end{pmatrix} .$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ x' \\ z \\ z' \end{pmatrix}_n + \begin{pmatrix} \beta_x \theta_x(x, z) \sin \mu_x \\ \theta_x(x, z) \cos \mu_x \\ \beta_z \theta_z(x, z) \sin \mu_z \\ \theta_z(x, z) \cos \mu_z \end{pmatrix}_n , \quad (2)$$

where n labels the turn, β_x and β_z are the β values at the crossing point, μ_x and μ_z are the betatron phase differences between a crossing and the next one. $\theta_x(x, z)$ and $\theta_z(x, z)$ are the transverse kicks experienced by the (x, z) particle. $\theta_x(x, z)$ and $\theta_z(x, z)$ are given in App. A (eq. A. 2).

If we put

$$\beta_x \theta_x(x, z) = -4\pi \xi_x F_x(x, z) , \quad \beta_z \theta_z(x, z) = -4\pi \xi_z F_z(x, z) ,$$

where ξ_x and ξ_z are defined by the equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_x &= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \beta_x \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \theta_x(x, z) \right|_{x=z=0} \\ \xi_z &= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \beta_z \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \theta_z(x, z) \right|_{x=z=0} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

the equations (2) become :

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ x' \\ z \\ z' \end{pmatrix}_{n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \mu_x & , & \beta_x \sin \mu_x & , & 0 & , & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{\beta_x} \sin \mu_x & , & \cos \mu_x & , & 0 & , & 0 \\ 0 & , & 0 & , & \cos \mu_z & , & \beta_z \sin \mu_z \\ 0 & , & 0 & , & -\frac{1}{\beta_z} \sin \mu_z & , & \cos \mu_z \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ x' \\ z \\ z' \end{pmatrix}_n \quad (4)$$

$$-4\pi \begin{pmatrix} \xi_x F_x(x, z) \sin \mu_x \\ \frac{\xi_x}{\beta_x} F_x(x, z) \cos \mu_x \\ \xi_z F_z(x, z) \sin \mu_z \\ \frac{\xi_z}{\beta_z} F_z(x, z) \cos \mu_z \end{pmatrix}_n$$

From eq.(3) and eq.(A.2) (see App. A) we derive :

$$\xi_x = \frac{r_0 N}{\pi^2 \gamma} \frac{\beta_x}{\frac{\sigma_x}{\sigma_z} (\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_z^2)} \left(1 + \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\sigma_x (\sigma_x^2 - \sigma_z^2)}{\sigma_z (\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_z^2)} \right) \quad (5)$$

$$\xi_z = \frac{r_0 N}{\pi^2 \gamma} \frac{\beta_z}{\frac{\sigma_z}{\sigma_x} (\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_z^2)} \left(1 + \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\sigma_z (\sigma_z^2 - \sigma_x^2)}{\sigma_x (\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_z^2)} \right) ,$$

where r_0 is the particle classical radius, γ is the ratio between the total and the rest energy, N is the number of particles of the strong beam.

ξ_x and ξ_z are related to the linear ΔQ_x , ΔQ_z shifts by the equations :

4.

$$\cos(\mu_x + 2\pi \Delta Q_x) = \cos \mu_x - 2\pi \xi_x \sin \mu_x$$

$$\cos(\mu_z + 2\pi \Delta Q_z) = \cos \mu_z - 2\pi \xi_z \sin \mu_z .$$

In what follows we will use the action-phase dynamic variables,

$$I^x, \phi^x; I^z, \phi^z$$

defined by the equations :

$$x = \sqrt{I^x} \cos \phi^x ,$$

$$z = \sqrt{I^z} \cos \phi^z ,$$

$$x' = - \frac{\sqrt{I^x}}{\beta_x} \sin \phi^x ,$$

$$z' = - \frac{\sqrt{I^z}}{\beta_z} \sin \phi^z .$$

Then the eq. (4) become :

$$\begin{pmatrix} I^x \\ \phi^x \\ I^z \\ \phi^z \end{pmatrix}_{n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} I^x \\ \phi^x \\ I^z \\ \phi^z \end{pmatrix}_n + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mu_x \\ 0 \\ \mu_z \end{pmatrix} +$$

(6)

$$+ \begin{pmatrix} 8\pi \xi_x F_x (2\pi \xi_x F_x + \sqrt{I^x} \sin \phi^x) \\ \operatorname{arctg} \left(\frac{4\pi \xi_x F_x \cos \phi^x}{\sqrt{I^x} + 4\pi \xi_x F_x \sin \phi^x} \right) \\ 8\pi \xi_z F_z (2\pi \xi_z F_z + \sqrt{I^z} \sin \phi^z) \\ \operatorname{arctg} \left(\frac{4\pi \xi_z F_z \cos \phi^z}{\sqrt{I^z} + 4\pi \xi_z F_z \sin \phi^z} \right) \end{pmatrix}_n$$

where we have put :

$$F_x = F_x(\sqrt{I^x} \cos \phi^x, \sqrt{I^z} \cos \phi^z)$$

$$F_z = F_z(\sqrt{I^x} \cos \phi^x, \sqrt{I^z} \cos \phi^z).$$

In this paper we will investigate the particular case :

$$\beta_x = \beta_z = \beta$$

$$\mu_x = \mu_z = \mu \quad \left(\frac{\mu}{2\pi} = 0.05 \right) \quad (7)$$

$$\sigma_x = \sigma_z = \sigma \quad (\text{circular beam})$$

In this conditions we have, from eq. (5):

$$\xi = \xi_x = \xi_z = \frac{r_0 N \beta}{2\pi^2 \gamma \sigma^2}$$

Note : All computations have been carried out with at least 14 digits.

3. - CLOSED ORBITS. -

Firstly we compute the closed orbits that arise from eq.(6), under the conditions (7). For closed orbit we mean the orbit which satisfies the eq.

$$I_{n+N}^x = I_n^x \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, N$$

$$\phi_{n+N}^x = \phi_n^x$$

$$I_{n+N}^z = I_n^z$$

$$\phi_{n+N}^z = \phi_n^z, \quad (8)$$

this is a closed orbit of order N. The problem is symmetric in x and z, and so, for small N, we have :

$$I_n^x = I_n^z, \quad \phi_n^x = \phi_n^z.$$

6.

The closed orbits corresponding to

$$\xi = 0.035, 0.051, 0.15, 0.25$$

are plotted in Fig. 1, 2, 3, 4. We can see that if we increase ξ , the number and the "density" of the closed orbits increase.

4. - (I^X, I^Z) PHASE PLANE REPRESENTATION. -

If we start from some initial point

$$(I_0^X, \phi_0^X, I_0^Z, \phi_0^Z),$$

which does not belong to a closed orbit, and if we look at the (I^X, I^Z) phase plane, we find that the representative point fills a strip-like region (see Fig. 5). The area of the region covered by the representative point does not increase after the first $\sim 10^4$ turns. All the calculations are runned up to 5×10^4 turns.

If we look at the area of this strip, we find that it blows up when the starting point is near to a closed orbit.

In Fig. 6, 7, 8 and 9 we have plotted that area versus the starting invariant

$$I = I_0^X = I_0^Z \quad (\phi_0^X = \pi, \phi_0^Z = \pi + 0.02)$$

Fig. 6, $\xi = 0.035$ ($\Delta Q = 0.028$).

We observe two peaks corresponding to closed orbits 16 and 18. In this case it seems that there is no contribution from odd closed orbits.

Fig. 7, $\xi = 0.051$ ($\Delta Q = 0.035$).

The 16 and 18 peaks increase and a new closed orbit ($N = 14$) appears.

Fig. 8, $\xi = 0.15$ ($\Delta Q = 0.085$).

There are many resonances (odd too), which begin to overlap.

Fig. 9 , $\xi = 0.25$ ($\Delta Q = 0.12$).

There is no more the resonant structure, but merely a plateau after an I^* threshold value (in this case $I^* = 3.5 \sigma^2$).

If we increase ξ , I^* becomes smaller and the plateau increases too, e. g. for $\xi = 0.35$ ($\Delta Q = 0.15$) we obtain $I^* \approx 1.5 \sigma^2$.

5. - CONCLUSIONS. -

My personal feeling is that, for $\xi \approx 0.25$, we are in a sort of stochastic region, due to the overlap of the resonances. But this is a very preliminary conclusion, because we need to investigate inside the complete phase space (I^x, ϕ^x, I^z, ϕ^z). Only after this investigation we can be sure that the behaviour, we are talking about, is really stochastic. Furthermore I want to extend this kind of calculation to more general cases ($\sigma_x \neq \sigma_z, \mu_x \neq \mu_z$, crossing at angle and so on), and I want to use a gaussian strong beam charge distribution function, that is a more physical distribution. Indeed I have now the CTETA subroutine (from J. Augustin) for a fast and accurate calculation of the kick given by a gaussian beam to the colliding weak beam particle.

APPENDIX A. -

The kick given by the strong beam to the weak one is :

$$\theta_x(x, z) + i\theta_z(x, z) = \frac{2r_o N}{\gamma} \frac{\sigma_x \sigma_z}{\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx_o \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dz_o \quad (A.1)$$

$$\frac{1}{(x_o^2 + \sigma_x^2)(z_o^2 + \sigma_z^2)} \frac{1}{x - x_o - i(z - z_o)}$$

If we put :

$$S_{\pm} = \sigma_x^2 + z^2, \quad R_{\pm} = \sigma_z^2 - x^2 + (\sigma_x^2 + z^2)^2,$$

$$V_{\pm} = -\sigma_z^2 + x^2 + (\sigma_x^2 + z^2)^2, \quad D_{\pm} = R_{\pm}^2 + 4x^2 S_{\pm},$$

$$A_1 + iB_1 = \frac{\sigma_z}{\pi} \left(\frac{1}{2xS_+ - iR_+} + \frac{1}{2xS_- - iR_-} \right),$$

$$A_2 + iB_2 = \frac{2\sigma_z}{\pi} \left(\frac{1}{R_+ + 2ixS_+} + \frac{1}{R_- - 2ixS_-} \right),$$

$$A_3 + iB_3 = \frac{2}{\pi} \left(\frac{iS_+ V_+ - xR_+}{D_+} + \frac{iS_- V_- + xR_-}{D_-} \right),$$

$$A_4 + iB_4 = \frac{iS_+ V_+ - xR_+}{D_+} - \frac{iS_- V_- + xR_-}{D_-},$$

and if we perform the integration, eq. (A.1) becomes :

$$\theta_x(x, z) + i\theta_z(x, z) = \frac{r_o N}{\gamma} \left\{ (A_1 + iB_1) \ln \left(\frac{z^2 + \sigma_z^2}{x^2 + \sigma_x^2} \right) + \right. \\ \left. + (A_2 + iB_2) \operatorname{arctg} \left(\frac{x}{\sigma_x} \right) + (A_3 + iB_3) \operatorname{arctg} \left(\frac{z}{\sigma_z} \right) + A_4 + iB_4 \right\} \quad (A.2)$$





