

LNF-73/61  
11 Ottobre 1973

A. Bramon and M. Greco: A FINITE DISPERSION RELATIONS  
APPROACH TO  $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$  DECAY. -

Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati del CNEN  
Servizio Documentazione

LNF-73/60  
9 Ottobre 1973

A. Bramon<sup>(x)</sup> and M. Greco: QUARK MODEL PREDICTIONS FOR RADIATIVE DECAYS OF MESONS. -

ABSTRACT. -

From simple quark model arguments and assuming a quadratic  $\eta - \eta'$  mixing we predict the radiative decays of the vector and pseudoscalar mesons, in good agreement with experiments. Our results strongly favour the new determination of the  $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  partial width.

---

(x) - On leave of absence from Departamento de Fisica Fundamental, Universidad Autonoma de Barcelona, and GIFT.

2.

A great deal of theoretical work has been dedicated for long time to the problem of understanding the radiative decays of mesons. Unitary-symmetry ideas, combined with the vector meson dominance hypothesis, have provided the most popular framework for such a study<sup>(1)</sup>. In order to achieve a more quantitative agreement with experiments, further assumptions however had to be included, with a consequent reduction of predictive power, the inclusion of SU(3) breaking effects having been the main tendency<sup>(2)</sup>. A different approach was recently proposed<sup>(3)</sup>, based on the application of the naive quark model in relating all different coupling constants involved in the decays. The lack of knowledge of the relative strange-non strange quark content of the  $\eta$  particle poses the only problem to face. In the assumption of  $\eta - \eta'$  mixing, the solution to the problem is simply shifted to the determination of the  $\eta - \eta'$  mixing angle  $\theta_p$ .

Two new experimental results have been recently added to the so far known picture of meson decays: the absence of splitting of the  $A_2$  meson<sup>(4)</sup>, and a new determination, via the Primakoff effect, of the  $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  partial width<sup>(5)</sup>. It is our claim that those new sources of information allow the determination of the mixing angle  $\theta_p$ , leading also to a final clarification of the whole picture of the radiative meson decays.

In the present paper, within the framework of the naive quark model, and assuming a  $\eta - \eta'$  mixing angle according to the quadratic Gell-Mann-Okubo mass formula, we relate successfully together different radiative decays of mesons with the same number of photons appearing in the final state. We are able then to predict the  $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  partial width in two distinct ways, favouring the new determination of this rate. We also predict the radiative partial widths of the  $\eta'$  meson, leading to  $\Gamma(\eta' \rightarrow \text{all}) \approx 400$  keV. Furthermore we

discuss the absolute links of one- and two-photon radiative decays with purely strong decays, according to extended vector meson dominance, along the lines described in ref. (3).

In the simplest quark model, by assuming  $\eta - \eta'$  mixing one has:

$$(1) \quad \eta \sim \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2}} (p\bar{p} + n\bar{n}) - \sqrt{1-\alpha^2} \lambda \bar{\lambda}, \quad \eta' \sim \frac{\sqrt{1-\alpha^2}}{\sqrt{2}} (p\bar{p} + n\bar{n}) + \alpha \lambda \bar{\lambda},$$

where  $\alpha = \sin(\theta_0 - \theta_p)$ ,  $\theta_p$  is the usual mixing angle and  $\theta_0$  is the "ideal" mixing angle, with  $\text{tg} \theta_0 = 1/\sqrt{2}$ . The experimental informations now available enable us to estimate the mixing parameter  $\alpha$ . In fact, from the absence of the splitting of  $A_2$ , which was a considerable source of troubles for the quark model, one can deduce<sup>(4)</sup>:

$$(2) \quad \frac{g_{A_2}^2 \eta \pi}{g_f^2 \pi_0 \pi_0} = \alpha^2 = 0.42 \pm 0.10.$$

Furthermore, by comparing the  $\eta$  and  $\eta'$  production cross sections in the reactions  $\pi N \rightarrow \eta (\eta') N, \Delta^x$  at high energies, using the quark model relation

$$(3) \quad \frac{\sigma(\eta)}{\sigma(\eta')} = \frac{\alpha^2}{1-\alpha^2} = \text{tg}^2(\theta_0 - \theta_p)$$

one finds<sup>(6)</sup>:

$$(4) \quad \alpha^2 = 0.52 \pm 0.09, \quad \alpha^2 = 0.58 \pm 0.12,$$

4.

depending on the prescription used for accounting the  $\eta$  and  $\eta'$  mass differences. Eqs. (2) and (4) have to be compared with the values  $\alpha^2 = 0.5$  and  $\alpha^2 = 0.73$  obtained from a quadratic or linear Gell-Mann-Okubo mass formula, respectively. The quadratic mixing seems therefore to be favoured. We will take this attitude in the following. This corresponds to a  $\eta - \eta'$  mixing angle  $\theta_p \simeq -10^\circ$ , and to the simple relations:

$$(5) \quad \eta \sim \frac{1}{2} (p\bar{p} + n\bar{n}) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda \bar{\lambda}, \quad \eta' \sim \frac{1}{2} (p\bar{p} + n\bar{n}) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda \bar{\lambda}.$$

We work out now the consequences of the above assumptions by considering first the radiative decays of mesons with only one photon in the final state. The quark model predictions can be simply stated in the following relations<sup>(3)</sup>:

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} g_{\rho \pi^0 \gamma} &= \frac{1}{3} g_{\omega \pi^0 \gamma} = \frac{1}{3} g, \\ g_{\rho \eta \gamma} &= -g_{\rho \eta' \gamma} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} g, \\ g_{\omega \eta \gamma} &= -g_{\omega \eta' \gamma} = \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} g, \\ g_{\phi \eta \gamma} &= g_{\phi \eta' \gamma} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} g. \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding predictions for the decay widths are shown in Table 1 and compared with the experimental data<sup>(4)</sup>.

The two body decay widths have been obtained by using the well known expressions:

TABLE I

The theoretical errors quoted come from the experimental inputs alone. For the process  $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$  see the main text.

Process	Predictions	Experimental data
$\Gamma(\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma)$ (MeV)	$0.89 \pm 0.07$ (Input)	$0.89 \pm 0.07$
$\Gamma(\omega \rightarrow \eta \gamma)$ (keV)	$7.3 \pm 0.5$	$8.9 \pm 40^{(7)}, < 55^{(12)}$
$\Gamma(\rho \rightarrow \pi \gamma)$ (keV)	$83 \pm 6$	$< 730$
$\Gamma(\rho \rightarrow \eta \gamma)$ (keV)	$55 \pm 4$	—
$\Gamma(\varphi \rightarrow \eta \gamma)$ (keV)	$175 \pm 13$	$306 \pm 80^{(8)}$ $110 \pm 30^{(9)}$
$\Gamma(\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma)$ (eV)	$41 \pm 16$	$49 \pm 8^{(5)}$ $130 \pm 29^{(4)}$
$\Gamma(\eta' \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma)$ (keV)	$118 \pm 9$	$\Gamma(\eta' \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma) / \Gamma(\eta' \rightarrow \text{all}) = 26.2 \pm 3.5$
$\Gamma(\eta' \rightarrow \omega \gamma)$ (keV)	$11 \pm 1$	—

6.

$$(7) \quad \Gamma(V \rightarrow P \gamma) = \frac{1}{96\pi} g_{VP\gamma}^2 \frac{(m_V^2 - m_P^2)^3}{m_V^3},$$

$$\Gamma(P \rightarrow V \gamma) = \frac{1}{32\pi} g_{PV\gamma}^2 \frac{(m_P^2 - m_V^2)^3}{m_P^2}.$$

The  $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$  decay width has been evaluated by using finite dispersion relations implemented by duality and finite energy sum rules, as discussed in detail in refs. (3) and (10). This method gives an important modification to the simple pole model  $\eta \rightarrow \rho \gamma$  by about a factor of three. The large error reflects both the uncertainties arising from the experimental inputs and those associated with the choice of the cut-off parameter in the use of the finite dispersion relations. From the known branching ratio<sup>(4)</sup>

$\Gamma(\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma) / \Gamma(\eta \rightarrow \gamma \gamma) \simeq 0.13$  we can predict  $\Gamma(\eta \rightarrow \gamma \gamma) = (310 \pm 120) \text{ eV}$ , which strongly favours the new determination<sup>(5)</sup> of the  $\eta \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$  partial width.

The same method of finite dispersion relations has been applied to the  $\eta' \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$  decay. In this case however the corrections to the simple pole model for  $\eta' \rightarrow \rho \gamma$  are negligible, due to the fact that the invariant mass of the two pions is essentially equal to  $m_\rho^2$ . From the absolute value  $\Gamma(\eta' \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma) \simeq 118 \text{ keV}$  and the experimental knowledge of the branching ratio  $\Gamma(\eta' \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma) / \Gamma(\eta' \rightarrow \text{all})$  we can predict  $\Gamma(\eta' \rightarrow \text{all}) = (450 \pm 70) \text{ keV}$ .

We consider now the radiative decays of mesons with two photons in the final state, namely  $P \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ . The quark model predictions for the coupling constants are given by<sup>(3)</sup>:

$$(8) \quad g_{\eta\gamma\gamma} = g_{\pi_0\gamma\gamma} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (\cos \theta_p - 2\sqrt{2} \sin \theta_p),$$

$$(8) \quad g_{\eta' \gamma \gamma} = -g_{\pi^0 \gamma \gamma} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (\sin \theta_p + 2 \sqrt{2} \cos \theta_p).$$

These relations have been derived by expressing the quark structure of the photon in terms of the usual mixture of  $\rho$ ,  $\omega$  and  $\varphi$ -like mesons.

From eqs. (8) and using the expression:

$$(9) \quad \Gamma(P \rightarrow \gamma \gamma) = g_{P \gamma \gamma}^2 \frac{m_P^3}{64 \pi},$$

we can easily evaluate the  $2\gamma$  decay widths of the pseudoscalar mesons. The results are given in Table 2<sup>(x)</sup>.

The new prediction of the  $\eta \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$  decay width is consistent with that obtained above and agrees astonishingly well with the recent experimental determination. This fact gives strong support to the quadratic  $\eta$ - $\eta'$  mass formula.

Using the known branching ratio  $\Gamma(\eta' \rightarrow \gamma \gamma) / \Gamma(\eta' \rightarrow \text{all}) = (1.9 \pm 0.3)\%$  we obtain an independent estimate of the total  $\eta'$  decay width  $\Gamma(\eta' \rightarrow \text{all}) = 335 \pm 65$  keV, which is again consistent with the previous one.

TABLE 2

Theoretical errors come from the experimental inputs alone.

Process	Predictions	Experimental data
$\Gamma(\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma)$ (eV)	7.8 $\pm$ .9 (Input)	7.8 $\pm$ .9
$\Gamma(\eta \rightarrow \gamma \gamma)$ (keV)	0.375 $\pm$ 0.042	0.374 $\pm$ 0.060 <sup>(5)</sup> 1.00 $\pm$ 0.22 <sup>(4)</sup>
$\Gamma(\eta' \rightarrow \gamma \gamma)$ (keV)	6.35 $\pm$ 0.73	$\Gamma(\eta' \rightarrow \gamma \gamma) / \Gamma(\eta' \rightarrow \text{all}) = (1.9 \pm 0.3)\%$ <sup>(4)</sup> " = (1.71 $\pm$ 0.33)% <sup>(14)</sup>

(x) - It has to be noticed that the hypothesis of the  $\eta$  meson being a pure member of the pseudoscalar octet, without mixing, would lead<sup>(13)</sup> to  $\Gamma(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = (1/3)(m_\eta/m_{\pi^0})^3 \Gamma(\pi^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma) \simeq 175$  keV.



All the results obtained above are based on a straightforward correlation among the processes  $V(P) \rightarrow P(V)\gamma$  and  $P \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  alone, via quark model and the additional assumption of a quadratic  $\eta - \eta'$  mixing. They give a quite satisfactory description of the radiative meson decays.

It should be noted that a value  $\Gamma(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) \approx 0.350$  keV rules practically out the  $\eta - \eta'$  linear mixing. In fact, using the value  $\theta_p^{\text{Lin}} \approx -23^\circ$ , and allowing the ratio singlet to octet to be a free parameter fitted by the  $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  partial width, one gets a value of for  $\Gamma(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$  which is an order of magnitude smaller than the experimental results. The ratio  $\Gamma(\eta' \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma)/\Gamma(\eta' \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$ , obtained through the same procedure, is also about a factor of two smaller than that observed experimentally.

As well known, one can relate together all the processes discussed above using VMD. The chain  $\omega \rightarrow 3\pi$ ,  $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$  and  $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  is a typical example. There is however only qualitative agreement between theory and experiments. The situation is sensibly improved by extending the VMD hypothesis to include additional hadronic vector states coupled to the photon, as discussed in detail in ref. (3)<sup>(x)</sup>.

Under this assumption, the main result is represented by the following relations among the coupling constants:

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} g_{\omega \pi^0 \gamma} &= g_{\rho \omega \pi} \frac{e}{f_\rho} (1 + \lambda), \\ g_{\pi^0 \gamma \gamma} &= g_{\rho \omega \pi} \frac{2e^2}{3f_\rho^2} (1 + 2\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\lambda$  accounts for the small correction induced by the additional

---

(x) - The applications of these ideas to  $e^+e^-$  annihilation, photoproduction and electron-nucleon scattering are discussed in ref. (11).

continuum to the simple VMD. Using as input the value  $\Gamma(\omega \rightarrow 3\pi) = 9.4$  MeV and fitting  $\lambda \approx -0.15$  one gets  $\Gamma(\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma) \simeq 1$  MeV and  $\Gamma(\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) \simeq 8$  eV in excellent agreement with experiments. It is needless to say that the entire set of radiative meson decays we have so far considered can be related altogether by this procedure and deduced by the strong  $\omega \rightarrow 3\pi$  decay alone.

## REFERENCES. -

- (1) - See for example A. Baracca and A. Bramón, *Nuovo Cimento* 69A, 613 (1970).
- (2) - For a recent discussion of radiative meson decays in the framework of the broken SU(3) symmetry see M. Gourdin; talk given at the Internat. Conf. on Meson Resonances and Related Electromagnetic Phenomenon, Bologna (1971); and CERN preprint TH-1320 (1971).
- (3) - A. Bramon and M. Greco, *Nuovo Cimento* 14A, 323 (1973).
- (4) - Particle Data Group: *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 45, n. 2, Part. II (1973).
- (5) - A. Browman, J. Dewire, B. Gittelman, K. Hanson, E. Loh and R. Lewis, contribution n. 81 to the Intern. Symp. on Electron and Photon Interactions at High Energies, Bonn (1973); and R. Talman, Invited talk to the same conference. The new determination gives  $\Gamma(\eta \rightarrow \gamma \gamma) = 0.374 \pm 0.060$  keV to be compared with the old value  $\Gamma(\eta \rightarrow \gamma \gamma) = 1.00 \pm 0.22$  keV<sup>(4)</sup>.
- (6) - M. Bardadin-Otwinowska, T. Hofmokl, W. Wojcik, A. Wroblewski, D. Zieminska, L. Michejda, S. Otwinowski, R. Sosnowski and M. Szeptycka, *Phys. Rev.* D4, 2711 (1971). See also E. Bracci, J. P. Droulez, E. Flaminio, J. D. Hansen and D. R. O. Morrison, CERN/HERA 72-1 (1972).
- (7) - W. D. Apel, J. Auslander, H. Müller, G. Sigurdsson, H. M. Staudenmaier, E. Bertolucci, I. Mannelli, G. Pierazzini, P. Rehak, A. Scribano, F. Sergiampietri and H. L. Vincelli, *Phys. Letters* 41B, 234 (1972).
- (8) - M. Basile, P. Dalpiaz, P. L. Frabetti, T. Massam, F. L. Navarra, M. A. Scheegans and A. Zichichi, *Nuclear Phys.* 44B, 605 (1972).
- (9) - D. Benaksas, G. Cosme, B. Jean-Marie, S. Jullian, F. Laplanche, J. Lefrancois, A. D. Liberman, G. Parroux, J. P. Repellin and G. Sauvage, *Phys. Letters* 42B, 511 (1972).

- (10) - A. Bramon and M. Greco, Frascati preprint LNF-73/61 (1973).  
and to be published.
- (11) - A. Bramon, E. Etim and M. Greco, Phys. Letters 41B, 609  
(1972); M. Greco, CERN preprint TH-1617 and Nuclear Phys.,  
to be published.
- (12) - A. Zichichi, Proceedings of the 16th International Conference on  
High-Energy Physics, Batavia, 6-13 September, 1972.
- (13) - N. Cabibbo and R. Gatto, Nuovo Cimento 21, 882 (1961).
- (14) - P. Dalpiaz, P.L. Frabetti, T. Massam, F.L. Navarra and A. Zichichi,  
Phys. Letters 42B, 377 (1972).