

COMITATO NAZIONALE PER L'ENERGIA NUCLEARE
Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati

LNF-73/1
8 Gennaio 1973

G. Parisi: ON RENORMALIZABILITY OF NOT
RENORMALIZABLE THEORIES. -

LNF-73/1
8 Gennaio 1973

G. Parisi: ON RENORMALIZABILITY OF NOT RENORMALIZABLE THEORIES. -

If the interaction is not renormalizable, the renormalization program fails in perturbation theory⁽¹⁾: self-energies and vertices are not the only primitively divergent subgraphs, but an infinite number of divergent subgraphs is present.

One needs a number of subtraction constants which is increasing with the order in the coupling constant. The theory is specified by an infinite number of renormalized coupling constants: no prediction can be done unless in a very weak coupling constant regime.

However there is the possibility that divergences are present only in perturbation theory, but absent in the full solution. This would be very natural if the Green functions were not C^∞ in the coupling constant g at $g = 0$,⁽²⁾ i.e. if terms like g^α or $g^2 \lg g^2$ were present. Contributions of this kind automatically produce spurious divergences when expanded in power of g . This type of divergences has nothing to do with possible real ultraviolet divergences in a not perturbative treatment.

In this letter we present an example which shares this property. We consider the unphysical case of a $g \phi^3$ theory in D dimensions⁽³⁾, where D is a not integer number greater than 6. The theory is superrenormalizable if $D < 6$, renormalizable if $D = 6$ and not re-

2.

normalizable if $D > 6$.

We study the sum of all the ladder diagrams for the scattering amplitude in a particular kinematical situation. Although each diagram in perturbation theory is divergent and not well defined for rational dimensions greater than 6, we are able to give a meaning to the sum of all the diagrams: contributions of the form g^{8-D} appear in the sum.

The typical function we meet is:

$$(1) \quad H(g, D) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1^{-t}}{1 + g^2 t \frac{6-D}{2}} dt = \sum_0^{\infty} N g^{2N} \left(1 - N \frac{6-D}{2}\right)$$

The expansion of the function in power of g is clearly divergent for $D > 6$. No meaning can be given to the series for rational D (there exists always an N such that

$$\Gamma \left[1 - N \frac{D-6}{2} \right]$$

is divergent). Each term of the series is well defined for irrational D : the series is not convergent but can be formally summed. The final answer is finite also for rational dimensions.

We compute the sum of the following diagrams:

$$(2) \quad \text{I} + \text{II} + \text{III} + \text{IV} + \dots$$

when all the internal mass are set to zero and two of the external momentum are also zero.

We write therefore

$$(3) \quad A(0, 0, p, -p) = A(p^2) = \sum_0^{\infty} N g^{2(N+1)} A_N(p^2)$$

where

$$(4) \quad A_0(p^2) = \frac{1}{(p)^2}; \quad A_{N+1}(p^2) = \int \frac{A_N(k^2)}{(k^2)^2(k+p)^2} d^D k$$

We recall that all the integrals in not integer dimension space can be evaluated reducing them to Gaussian integrals and using the formula⁽³⁾

$$(5) \quad \int \exp(-a k^2) d^D k = \left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^{D/2}$$

A long but simple evaluation yields

$$(6) \quad A(p^2) = g^2 \sum_0^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(-\frac{2}{\epsilon})\Gamma(-\frac{1}{\epsilon})\Gamma(-1+\epsilon)\Gamma(\frac{1}{\epsilon}+2)\Gamma(1-N\epsilon)}{\Gamma(N-\frac{2}{\epsilon})\Gamma(N-\frac{1}{\epsilon})\Gamma(\frac{1}{\epsilon}+2+N)\Gamma(2+N)\Gamma(-1+N\epsilon+\epsilon)} \cdot \left[g^2 (p^2)^\epsilon \pi^{D/2} \epsilon^4 \right]^N; \quad \epsilon = \frac{D-6}{2}$$

Letting the integral representations

$$(7) \quad \Gamma(z) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{z-1} dt; \quad \frac{1}{\Gamma(z)} = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_C (-\alpha)^{-z} e^{-\alpha} d\alpha$$

in (6) and exchanging the sum with the integral we find:

$$(8) \quad A(p^2) = \frac{ig^2 \Gamma(-\frac{2}{\epsilon})\Gamma(-\frac{1}{\epsilon})\Gamma(-1+\epsilon)\Gamma(\frac{1}{\epsilon}+2)\Gamma(1-N\epsilon)}{(2\pi)^5} \int_0^{\infty} dt \int_C d\alpha_1 \int_C d\alpha_2 \int_C d\alpha_3 \int_C d\alpha_4 \int_C d\alpha_5 (-\alpha_1)^{\frac{2}{\epsilon}} (-\alpha_2)^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}} (-\alpha_3)^{-2-\frac{1}{\epsilon}} \cdot (-\alpha_4)^{-2} (-\alpha_5)^{1-\epsilon} \frac{1}{g^2 (p^2)^\epsilon \pi^{\frac{D}{2}} \epsilon^4 t^{-\epsilon}} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4 (-\alpha_5)^\epsilon}{g^2 (p^2)^\epsilon \pi^{\frac{D}{2}} \epsilon^4 t^{-\epsilon}}} \cdot \exp -(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + t)$$

4.

It is clear that convergence troubles can come only from the t integration. This integration produces terms not analytic in the coupling constant.

Unfortunately the first gamma functions are divergent when D goes to integer values, so no meaning can be given to our result if the dimension is integer.

This computation suggests that standard renormalizability criteria are true only in perturbation theory, and not relevant in the full theory. A not renormalizable theory is simply a theory which does not admit a Taylor expansion in the coupling constant. Of course we have looked only to a particular case but we feel that similar mechanisms may be operating in realistic situations.

It is a pleasure to thank Prof. K. Symanzik for useful suggestions.

REFERENCES

- (1) - F.J. Dyson, Phys. Rev. 75, 486 (1949).
- (2) - P.J. Redmond and J.L. Uretski, Phys. Rev. Letters 1, 147 (1958).
- (3) - G. 't Hooft and M.J.G. Veltman, Nucl. Phys. B 44, 189 (1972); C.G. Bollini and J.J. Giambiagi, Phys. Letters 40 B, 566 (1972); K.G. Wilson, Phys. Rev. Letters 28, 548 (1972).