

COMITATO NAZIONALE PER L'ENERGIA NUCLEARE
Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati

LNF-72/47
30 Maggio 1972

S. Ferrara, A.F. Grillo and G. Parisi: NON EQUIVALENCE
BETWEEN CONFORMAL COVARIANT WILSON EXPANSION
IN EUCLIDEAN AND MINKOWSKI SPACE. -

Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati del CNEN
Servizio Documentazione

LNF-72/47
30 Maggio 1972

S. Ferrara, A.F. Grillo and G. Parisi: NON EQUIVALENCE BETWEEN CONFORMAL COVARIANT WILSON EXPANSION IN EUCLIDEAN AND MINKOWSKI SPACE. -

In recent time a lot of work has been done to understand the short and light-like distances behaviour of operator products in field theories⁽¹⁾.

The original Wilson's idea of broken scale invariance at short distances has been later clarified and extended to the whole light-cone⁽²⁾. In this connection the role of conformal symmetry has also been investigated and it has been recognized that this stronger symmetry puts additional constraints on the underlying theory⁽³⁾, and in particular severely limits the operator form of Wilson expansion, this fact being deeply related to the uniqueness of the 3-points conformal covariant Wightman functions⁽⁴⁾.

This last observation has been used by many authors to obtain an improved perturbation theory and to write down bootstrap equations for the anomalous dimensions⁽⁵⁾.

In this note we emphasize that a conformal covariant Wilson expansion cannot be done in Euclidean space in the usual sense and we find the correct conformal covariant prescription which has to be used in order to obtain the operator product expansion in the pseudo-euclidean space-time.

We remind that, in space-time, the conformal covariant contribution (of a scalar for simplicity) to the bilocal operator $A(x)B(0)$ is of the form⁽⁶⁾

2.

$$\begin{aligned}
 A(x)B(0) &= \left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^{\frac{l_A + l_B - 1}{2}} \int_0^1 du f(u) {}_0F_1(1-1, -\frac{x^2}{2} \square) O(ux) = \\
 (1) \quad &= \left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(l_A + l_B - 1)} \int_0^1 du f(u) Z^{2-1} J_{l-2}(Z) O(ux)
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(u) &= u^{1/2(l_A - l_B + l_C) - 1} (1-u)^{1/2(l_B - l_A + l_C) - 1} \\
 (2) \quad Z &= (u(1-u)x^2 \square)^{1/2}
 \end{aligned}$$

The generalization to general tensor operators is straightforward.

Note that A, B and O are assumed to be conformal irreducible scalar operators in the sense that

$$(3) \quad [A(0), K_\lambda] = [B(0), K_\lambda] = [O(0), K_\lambda] = 0 .$$

The simplest way to derive this formula is to use the "Vertex Graph Identity"(7) and the selection rule for conformal invariant two point functions, which reads in the Euclidean space

$$(4) \quad \langle 0 | O(t) O'(t') | 0 \rangle = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad t \neq t'$$

and

$$(5) \quad \langle 0 | A(x) B(y) O(z) | 0 \rangle = \int d^3 t \langle 0 | A(x) B(y) O^x(t) | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | O(t) O(z) | 0 \rangle$$

where we have

$$(6) \quad \langle 0 | O(t) O(z) | 0 \rangle = \left[-(t-z)^2 + i\epsilon \right]^{-1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (7) \quad \langle 0 | A(x) B(y) O(t) | 0 \rangle &= \left[-(x-y)^2 + i\epsilon \right]^{\frac{l_A - l_B}{2}} \left[-(x-t)^2 + i\epsilon \right]^{\frac{l_B - l_A}{2}} x \\
 &\times \left[-(y-t)^2 + i\epsilon \right]^{\frac{l_A - l_B}{2}}
 \end{aligned}$$

$O^x(t)$ is a so-called "shadow" operator, namely a conventional operator of dimension $l_x = 4-1$.

Using Eq. (4) eq.(5)) can be translated in operator language by

$$(8) \quad A(x)B(y) = \int d^4t \langle 0 | A(x)B(y)O^x(t) | 0 \rangle O(t)$$

which gives the contribution of a scalar operator $O(t)$ to the product $A(x)B(y)$.

However this is not the right result in space-time, since equation (8) is definitely different from eq. (1); in fact, after some simple calculations, we get from eq. (8)

$$(9) \quad A(x)B(0) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_x^{1/2} {}^{l_A+1} B^{-1} \int_0^1 du f(u) Z^{2-1} K_{l-2}(Z) O(ux)$$

so we see that eq. (9) is different from eq. (1) for the replacement of the Bessel function J_{l-2} with K_{l-2} .

Note that

$$(10) \quad K_{l-2}(z) = \frac{J_{l-2}(z) - J_{2-l}(z)}{\sin \pi(l-2)}$$

and, for $l > 2$, formula (9) gives rise to unwanted "shadow" singularities of the form

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_x^{\frac{l_A+1}{2} B^{-1} - 2}$$

in the operator product expansion.

The origin of the shadow singularity lies in the fact that the singularity of eq. (6) is not, for $l > 2$, the naive one

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_x^{\frac{l_A+1}{2} B^{-1}}$$

because of the distribution character of eq. (6), as can be easily proven applying this distribution to a test function.

This implies that the conformal covariant Wilson expansion

4.

in the Euclidean space must have these "shadow" singularities to reproduce the three point function.

Note that the conformal covariant operator expansion derived by Bonora, Sartori and Tonin coincides with this last expression, so it is not correct when used in space-time⁽⁸⁾.

The situation is quite different in Minkowsky space; in fact in this case the operator product expansion is connected to Wightman functions better than to T-ordered vacuum expectation functions.

In fact Wightman functions do not have "shadow" singularities and therefore one can build up an operator expansion in the form of eq. (8) which, in this case, exactly reproduces the original expansion eq. (1).

For this purpose we note that the correct implementation of eq. (4) to space-time is

$$(11) \langle 0|T(A(x)B(y)O(z)|0\rangle = \int d^4t \langle 0|T(A(x)B(y)O^x(t)|0\rangle \langle 0|T(O^x(t)O(z))|0\rangle$$

which can be graphically represented as

$$(12) \quad \text{Diagram: } O \begin{array}{c} \swarrow \\ \searrow \end{array} \begin{matrix} B \\ A \end{matrix} = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ | \\ \text{---} \end{array} \begin{matrix} B \\ O \\ A \end{matrix}$$

Using now the general cutting formula⁽¹⁰⁾ we get

$$(13) \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: } O \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \searrow \end{array} \begin{matrix} B \\ A \end{matrix} = O \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \searrow \end{array} \begin{matrix} B \\ A \end{matrix} + O \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \searrow \end{array} \begin{matrix} B \\ A \end{matrix} \\ = \rightarrow (\begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \searrow \end{array} \begin{matrix} B \\ A \end{matrix} + \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \searrow \end{array} \begin{matrix} B \\ A \end{matrix}) \end{array}$$

where arrows mean taking only positive frequency part of the propagators.

However the 3-point function (13) coincides with the Wightman function for $(x-y)^2 < 0$, which can be also written as Fourier transform with respect to the point z as (we put $y=0$)

$$\begin{aligned}
 W(p, x) &= \text{disc} \int_p e^{ipz} \langle 0 | T(A(x) B(0) O(z)) | 0 \rangle dz = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1/2} A^{-1/2} B^{-1/2} \\
 (14) \quad &\text{disc} \int_0^1 du e^{iupx} f(u) \left[u(1-u) p^2 x^2 \right]^{2-1/2} (p^2)^{1-2} K_{1-2} \left[(u(1-u) p^2 x^2)^{1/2} \right] = \\
 &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1/2} A^{-1/2} B^{-1/2} \int_0^1 du e^{iupx} f(u) \left[u(1-u) p^2 x^2 \right]^{2-1/2} x \\
 &\quad x (p^2)^{1-2} J_{1-2} \left[(u(1-u) p^2 x^2)^{1/2} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

since, from the analitic structure of Bessel functions⁽⁶⁾: one easily recognizes that "shadow" singularities have no imaginary part in p so they do not give contribution to the Wightman functions.

Moreover one still verifies that the Fourier transform of eq. (14) indeed coincides with the conformal covariant prescription given by eq. (1), so that we can write

$$(15) \quad \langle 0 | A(x) B(0) = \int d^4 t \langle 0 | A(x) B(0) O^x(t) | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | O(t)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle 0 | A(x) B(0) O^x(t) | 0 \rangle &= (-x^2)^{1/2(1_A^{-1} B^{-1})} \left\{ \left[-(x-t)^2 + i\varepsilon \right]^{1/2(1_B^{-1} A^{-1})} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left[-t^2 + i\varepsilon \right]^{1/2(1_A^{-1} B^{-1})} + \left[-(x-t)^2 + i\varepsilon (t_o - x_o) \right]^{1/2(1_B^{-1} A^{-1})} \left[-t^2 + i\varepsilon t_o \right]^{1/2(1_A^{-1} B^{-1})} \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

when $x^2 < 0$; analytic continuation can be then used to define the operator product in the whole space.

As a last point, note that the Wilson expansion in eq. (15) is indeed an operatorial statement, if it is intended to hold only for positive frequency (this is why eq. (15) is applied to the vacuum state).

This last statement is indeed a conformal invariant statement (under the algebra) as it is equivalent to consider a general Wightman function with all points space-like to each other.

6.

We then have shown that the expansion given by eq. (1) is the unique conformal covariant expansion on space-time which satisfy the right constraints due to unitarity in the sense that the corresponding (three-point) Wightman function is a discontinuity of a conformal covariant quantity.

We finally point out that the interest of having a correct Wilson expansion is connected to the program of calculating n-point conformal covariant functions by appropriate insertion of operator expansions.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. -

One of us (G. P.) is grateful to Prof. K. Symanzik for enlightening discussions.

REFERENCES. -

- (1) - K. Wilson, On Products of Quantum Fields Operators at short distances, Corneill Report, unpublished (1964); R. Brandt, Ann. Phys. 44, 221 (1967); C.G. Callan jr., Phys. Rev. D2, 1451 (1970); K. Symanzik, Comm. Math. Phys. 23, 49 (1971).
- (2) - W. Zimmerman in, Lectures on Elementary Particles and Quantum Field Theory, (MIT Press, Cambridge, 1971); R. Brandt and G. Preparata, Nuclear Phys. 27B, 541 (1971); Y. Frishman, Phys. Rev. Letters 25, 966 (1970).
- (3) - For a review see S. Ferrara, R. Gatto and A.F. Grillo, Frascati Preprint LNF-71/79 (1971); to appear on Springer Tracts.
- (4) - S. Ferrara and G. Parisi, Frascati Preprint LNF-72/1 (1972); Nuclear Phys., to be published; S. Ferrara, R. Gatto, A.F. Grillo and G. Parisi, Lettere Nuovo Cimento 4, 115 (1972).
- (5) - G. Parisi and L. Peliti, Lett. Nuovo Cimento 2, 627 (1971); A.A. Migdal, Phys. Rev. Letters 37B, 98 (1971); G. Mack and I. Todorov, Trieste Preprint IC/71/139 (1971); G. Mack and K. Symanzik, Desy Preprint 72/20 (1972).
- (6) - S. Ferrara, R. Gatto and A.F. Grillo, Nuovo Cimento 2, 1363 (1971) and we used the relation between the Bessel and the hypergeometric function.
- (7) - M. d'Eramo, G. Parisi and L. Peliti, Lett. Nuovo Cimento 2, 878 (1971).
- (8) - L. Bonora, R. Sartori and M. Tonin, Padova Preprint, Nuovo Cimento to be published.

- (9) - M. Veltman, Physica 29, 186 (1963).
- (10) - K. Symanzik, Private communication.
- (11) - Bateman Manuscript Project, Higher Transcendental Functions Vol. 2
A. Erdelyi Editor (McGraw Hill, New York, (1953)).