

COMITATO NAZIONALE PER L'ENERGIA NUCLEARE  
Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati

LNF - 70/34  
16 Luglio 1970

S. Ferrara and G. Rossi: A GEOMETRICAL INTERPRETATION  
OF THE SCALE INVARIANCE. -

LNF - 70/34

Nota interna : n. 486

16 Luglio 1970

S. Ferrara and G. Rossi<sup>(x)</sup>: A GEOMETRICAL INTERPRETATION OF THE SCALE INVARIANCE. -

1. The structure functions are defined as functions on a homogeneous space with respect to the spinor Lorentz group and they are expanded in terms of irreducible components. The dominance of a single irreducible representation is assumed in such a way that a scaling law for the original structure functions is obtained.

2. In this last year strong interest has been devoted to the investigation of the scaling law for the inelastic form factors which is experimentally observed in the inelastic e-p scattering<sup>(1)</sup>. In spite of the fact that many models, like vector dominance<sup>(2)</sup> and parton models<sup>(3)</sup>, can reproduce this scaling law, it seems at present that this behaviour is a general feature of the theory in the sense that it may depend only on the structure of the singularities of the commutators of the hadronic electromagnetic current<sup>(4)</sup> and it is quite independent on the specific model which describes this current.

In this note we give a possible geometrical interpretation of such a behaviour starting from the observation that an external Lorentz group acts in a natural way on the functions of two complex variables leading to some interesting consequences.

Let us consider a function  $W(z_1, z_2)$  defined on the complex affine plane  $(z_1, z_2)$ . This space is an homogeneous space<sup>(5)</sup> with re-

---

(x) Istituto di Fisica dell'Università, Roma

2.

spect to the spinor Lorentz group  $SL(2, C)$  and in fact it is equivalent to the quotient space  $SL(2, C)/Z$  where  $Z$  is the two-dimensional group of matrices  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . A representation of  $SL(2, C)$  is then defined on these functions as follows<sup>(5)</sup>:

$$(1) \quad T_g W(z_1, z_2) = W(\alpha z_1 + \beta z_2, \gamma z_1 + \delta z_2)$$

where  $g = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$   $\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma = 1$  is an element of  $SL(2, C)$ .

We observe that the homogeneous functions<sup>(6)</sup> of degree  $(n_1-1, n_2-1)$  play a special role in this space, in fact they form an irreducible subspace for the representation (1). Then an irreducible representation of the spinor Lorentz group is uniquely fixed by the pairs of complex numbers  $(n_1, n_2)$  whose difference is an integer and we shall call  $D_x$  ( $x = (n_1, n_2)$ ) the irreducible space labelled by  $(n_1, n_2)$ . Let us recall some basic properties of the functions belonging to  $D_x$ . From the homogeneity property, if we put

$$(2) \quad f(z) = W(z, 1)$$

we have

$$(3) \quad W(z_1, z_2) = z_2^{n_1-1} \bar{z}_2^{n_2-1} f\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}\right)$$

$$(4) \quad W(1, z) = z^{n_1-1} \bar{z}^{n_2-1} f(z^{-1})$$

Furthermore the following asymptotic behaviours hold

$$(5a) \quad \lim_{\begin{array}{l} |z_1| \rightarrow \infty \\ |z_2| \rightarrow \infty \end{array}} \frac{z_1}{z_2} = \text{const.} \quad W(z_1, z_2) = z_2^{n_1-1} \bar{z}_2^{n_2-1} f\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}\right)$$

or putting  $\hat{f}(z) = W(1, z)$

$$(5b) \quad \lim_{\begin{array}{l} |z_1| \rightarrow \infty \\ |z_2| \rightarrow \infty \\ |\frac{z_1}{z_2}| = \text{const.} \end{array}} W(z_1, z_2) = z_1^{n_1-1} \bar{z}_1^{n_2-1} \hat{f}\left(\frac{z_2}{z_1}\right)$$

$$(6) \quad \lim_{\frac{z_1}{z_2} \rightarrow \infty} W(z_1, z_2) \sim z_1^{n_1-1} z_2^{-n_2-1} W(1, 0)$$

$$(7) \quad \lim_{\frac{z_2}{z_1} \rightarrow \infty} W(z_1, z_2) \sim z_2^{n_1-1} z_1^{-n_2-1} W(0, 1)$$

Let us now consider an arbitrary function  $W(z_1, z_2)$  which belongs to the space where the representation (1) acts; if this function satisfies some regularity conditions (at least it is  $L^2$  with respect to the invariant measure on the homogeneous space<sup>(5)</sup>) it can be expanded in terms of irreducible components, i.e. of functions which transform irreducibly under (1). The result of the theory of the harmonic analysis on the homogeneous spaces with respect to  $SL(2, C)$  gives in our case the following expansion formula :

$$(8) \quad W(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\rho W(z_1, z_2; n, \rho)$$

where<sup>(5)</sup>  $n_1 = \frac{1}{2}(n + i\rho)$ ,  $n_2 = \frac{1}{2}(-n + i\rho)$  and  $W(z_1, z_2; n, \rho)$  is called the Mellin transform of  $W(z_1, z_2)$  and it is defined by the equation :

$$(9) \quad W(z_1, z_2; n, \rho) = \frac{i}{2} \iint W(Sz_1, Sz_2) S^{-n_1} \bar{S}^{-n_2} dS d\bar{S}$$

From (9) it follows immediatly that

- a)  $W(z_1, z_2; n, \rho)$  is homogeneous in  $z_1, z_2$  of degree  $n_1-1, n_2-1$ .
- b) The action of the representation (1) on  $W(z_1, z_2)$  induce the action of the irreducible representation  $(n_1, n_2)$  on  $W(z_1, z_2; n, \rho)$ .
- c) A sum rule, which is the analog of the Plancherel theorem, holds for  $W(z_1, z_2)$ :

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} \int |W(z_1, z_2)|^2 dz_1 d\bar{z}_1 dz_2 d\bar{z}_2 &= \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\rho |W(z, 1; n, \rho)|^2 dz d\bar{z}. \end{aligned}$$

Formula (9) can be used in order to analytically continue the Mellin transform for any complex value of  $\rho$  so equation (8) holds also

4.

for functions which are not  $L^2$  and we can write :

$$(11) \quad W(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_C d\rho W(z_1, z_2; n, \rho)$$

where  $C$  is a suitable path in the complex  $\rho$  plane.

Let us now consider the Mellin transform of an homogeneous function  $W(z_1, z_2) \in D_{\tilde{x}} (\tilde{x} = (\tilde{n}, \tilde{\rho}))$ , then it is immediate to derive :

$$(12) \quad W(z_1, z_2, x) = (2\pi)^2 W(z_1, z_2) \mathcal{S}_{MM} \mathcal{S}(\rho - \tilde{\rho})$$

If we want to relate the homogeneity property to a structure of singularities of pole type it is convenient to introduce the following integral transform :

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} W^I(z_1, z_2; n, \rho) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_i^\infty d\rho' \frac{W(z_1, z_2; n, \rho')}{\rho' - \rho - i\varepsilon} = \\ &= \frac{i}{2} \int dS d\bar{S} \left(\frac{\bar{S}}{S}\right)^{n/2} W(Sz_1, Sz_2) |S|^{i\rho} \theta(\lg |\lambda|) \end{aligned}$$

( $\theta$  is the step function). We observe that :

$$(14) \quad W(z_1, z_2; n, \rho) = W^I(z_1, z_2; n, \rho + i\varepsilon) - W^I(z_1, z_2; n, \rho - i\varepsilon)$$

Inserting in (11) we have :

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} W(z_1, z_2) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left( \int_C d\rho W^I(z_1, z_2; n, \rho + i\varepsilon) - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_C d\rho W^I(z_1, z_2; n, \rho - i\varepsilon) \right) \end{aligned}$$

If we now assume the function  $W^I(z_1, z_2; n, \rho)$  to be meromorphic in  $\rho$  with poles at  $(\rho, n) = (\rho_j, n_j)$ , we obtain :

$$(16) \quad W(z_1, z_2) = \sum_j W_j(z_1, z_2)$$

i. e. the function  $W(z_1, z_2)$  is a sum of a set of homogeneous functions  $W_j(z_1, z_2)$  of degree  $(\frac{1}{2}(n_j + i\rho_j), \frac{1}{2}(-n_j + i\rho_j))$ . If we make the minimal hypothesis of the one pole dominance, then  $W(z_1, z_2)$  is homogeneous of degree  $(\frac{1}{2}(n_o + i\rho_o), \frac{1}{2}(-n_o + i\rho_o))$  where  $(n_o, \rho_o)$  is the irreducible

representation which dominates the integral (11).

Let us assume that the  $W_1$ ,  $W_2$  structure functions which appear in the inelastic e-p scattering are dominated in the high energy region in the sense described above, respectively by the singularities at the points  $(n_1, \rho_1)$   $(n_2, \rho_2)$  so we have<sup>(7)</sup>:

$$(17) \quad W_1(q^2, \nu) = \nu^{\frac{1}{2}(n_1+i\rho)-1} \bar{\nu}^{\frac{1}{2}(-n_1+i\rho)-1} f_1\left(\frac{q^2}{\nu}\right)$$

$$(18) \quad W_2(q^2, \nu) = \nu^{\frac{1}{2}(n_2+i\rho_2)-1} \bar{\nu}^{\frac{1}{2}(-n_2+i\rho_2)-1} f_2\left(\frac{q^2}{\nu}\right)$$

From the experimental evidence<sup>(1)</sup>  $\nu W_2$  and  $W_1$  are scale invariant so we are lead to assume  $\rho_1 = -2i$ ,  $\rho_2 = -i$  and we obtain:

$$(19) \quad W_1(\nu, q^2) = f_1\left(\frac{q^2}{\nu}\right); \quad \nu W_2(\nu, q^2) = f_2\left(\frac{q^2}{\nu}\right)$$

with the condition

$$(20) \quad \lim_{\frac{\nu}{q^2} \rightarrow \infty} f_2\left(\frac{q^2}{\nu}\right) = W_2(0, 1).$$

Equation (20) relates the asymptotic behaviour of the structure function  $W_2$  to its low energy behaviour. This theoretical prevision can in principle be checked looking at the contribution of the representations of the type  $(n, -i)$  to the form factor which describes the proton Compton scattering at energy  $\nu = 2M$ . These representations can be single out using the Mellin transform defined above and we have:

$$(21) \quad W_2^{n_1 n_2}(0, 1) = \int dS d\bar{S} W_2(0, S) S^{-n_1} \bar{S}^{-n_2} \quad \text{with } n_1 - n_2 = n \\ n_1 + n_2 = 1$$

Eq. (21) together with eq. (20) can be read as a sum rule which relates the high energy limit of the structure function  $W_2$  in the deep-inelastic region to the Compton scattering  $\gamma$ -p.

3. In this note a geometrical description of the scaling law for the structure functions has been proposed, which uses the irreducible representations of a spinor Lorentz group acting in the complex plane  $(\nu, q^2)$ . The scaling law, which is deeply connected with the irreducible components of these functions, can be derived assuming a meromorphic structure of a suitably defined integral transform. The experimentally observed high energy behaviours can be easily obtained and an intereg-

6.

ting consequence of these limits has been pointed and we think that it is possible to relate the assumed "representation dominance" with the analytic structure of the hadronic electromagnetic currents commutators.

We thank Prof. M. Cassandro for helpfull discussions.

REFERENCES AND FOOTNOTES. -

- (1) - S. D. Drell, Lectures given at the "Ettore Majorana Intern. Summer School", Erice, Italy (1969); SLAC-PUB-689 (1969).
- (2) - E. Etim, Private communication.
- (3) - S. D. Drell, D. J. Levy and T. M. Yan, Phys. Rev. Letters 22, 744 (1969).
- (4) - H. Leutwyler and J. Stern, Nuclear Phys. B20, 77 (1970).
- (5) - I. M. Gel'fand, M. I. Graev and N. Ya. Vilenkin, Generalized functions , Vol. 5, Chapt. VI.
- (6) - A function  $f(z_1, z_2)$  is called homogeneous of degree  $(\lambda, \mu)$  where  $\lambda, \mu$  are complex numbers differing by an integer, if for every complex number  $S \neq 0$  we have  $W(Sz_1, Sz_2) = S^\lambda \bar{S}^\mu W(z_1, z_2)$ . We require  $\lambda - \mu$  to be an integer since only then will

$$S^\lambda \bar{S}^\mu = |S|^{\lambda + \mu} \exp \{ i(\lambda - \mu) \arg S \}$$

be a single-valued function of  $S$ .

- (7) - We have put  $2M = 1$  so that the point  $0, 1$  in (20) correspond to  $Q^2 = 0$  and  $\nu = 2M$ .