LNF - 69/4
23 Gennaio 1969

C. Bacci, R. Baldini-Celio, V. N. Eponeshnikov, C. Mencuccini,
A. Reale, G. Salvini, M. Spinetti and A. Zallo:
TOTAL ETA-NUCLEON CROSS SECTION BY PHOTOPRODUCTION OF ETA MESONS IN COMPLEX NUCLEI.

C. Bacci[(x)], R. Baldini-Celio, V. N. Eponeshnikov[(o)], C. Mencucci-
ni, A. Reale, G. Salvini[(x)], M. Spinetti and A. Zallo: TOTAL ETA-
-NUCLEON CROSS SECTION BY PHOTOPRODUCTION OF ETA ME-
SONS IN COMPLEX NUCLEI.

In this paper we report the results of an experiment perfor-
med at Frascati with the 1. 1 GeV electronsynchrotron, on the photo
production of η mesons in complex nuclei.

The reaction studied was

(1) γ + nucleus $\rightarrow$ η + residual nucleus,

for nuclei of different atomic weight A by measuring the differential
cross section $(d\sigma(A))/d\Omega^*$ for this process. The measurements were
made at a total energy in the center of mass $E^* = (1600 \pm 35)$ MeV and
at an angle of the outgoing η around 90⁰ in the center of mass system
(c. m. s.) of the γ-ray and target nucleon. Under these conditions the
momentum transfer in reaction (1) is sufficiently high to virtually e-
xclude coherent η production. Due to the interaction of the produced
η with nucleons inside the nucleus, the dependence of $(d\sigma(A))/d\Omega^*$

(x) - Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Roma.
(o) - Present adress: Polytechnical Institute of Tomsk (USSR).

on A is strictly related to the total cross section for the process:

(2) $\qquad\qquad$ η + nucleon $\rightarrow$ all channels.

The average η momentum in the η-nucleon c. m. s. for reaction (2) is, in our case, P_{η}^{*} = (250 $\pm$ 50) MeV/c. The aim of the present experiment is the measurement of the interaction cross section of process (2). We assume no change in momentum of the η when going outside from inside the nuclear matter. This approximation does not alter our conclusions. On the other side we do not know yet the depth of the η-Nucleus potential.

Our indirect method of measuring reaction (2) is practically the only one available considering the decay time[1] of the eta. As the incident photon has a long interaction length in nuclear matter, and as the mean decay length of the η is greater than a nuclear diameter, it follows that, for nuclei with A $\gtrsim$ 12, $(d\sigma(A))/(d\Omega^{*})$ x 1/A is constant if the η-nucleon interaction were small, and $(d\sigma(A)/d\Omega^{*})/$ /A $\propto$ A$^{-1/3}$ where the interaction large. The latter would infer that detected η's would have been produced close to the nuclear surface.

We have measured the dependence of the photoproduction cross section on the mass number A for H, D, Li, C, Al, Cu, Ag, and Pb.

The experimental arrangement (Fig. 1) is the same as that described in a previous paper[2]. It is based on the detection of the two photons from the $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ decay mode by use of two total absorp tion Cerenkov counters. The recoil nucleon was not observed. The methods used to separate reaction (1) from double π^{0} photoproduction and other backgrounds are the same as those described in ref. (2).

We have verified that for our kinematical conditions we may neglect: a) the interaction among the nucleons during the photoproduc tion step[3]; b) the contribution of coherent production ($\leq$ 2%)[4]; and c) the influence of the exclusion principle for final state nucleons in reactions (1) and (2).

The detection efficiency for the η has been calculated by the Mon te Carlo method, assuming different models for the Fermi motion of the nucleons[5]. The results were found to be practically model independent.

We estimated also that two step processes such as

γ + Nucleus $\rightarrow$ π + Nucleus $\rightarrow$ η + Nucleus

give very small contributions to process (1).

Our results are given in Fig. 2, where the quantity $(d\sigma(A)/ d\Omega^{*})$/A is plotted as a function of the quantity ln A$^{1/3}$. We have assumed[6]

FIG. 1 - Experimental arrangement. C_1, C_2, C_3 and C_4 are two pairs of lead-glass Cherenkov counters; S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4 are veto scintillation counters. Each photon detector covers a typical laboratory solid angle of 12 msr.

4.

$r_o = 1,3 \times 10^{-13}$ cm in the expression for the nuclear radius $R = r_o A^{1/3}$.


FIG. 2 - The quantity $(d\sigma(A)/d\Omega^*)/A$ (the differential cross section for photoproduction of η in a nucleus at $\theta^*_\eta = 90^\circ$ in the γ-Nucleon c.m.s.) as a function of $A^{1/3}$. The full line is the best-fit curve for equation (4). The dashed line is the expected behaviour if we consider only the contribution of the process $\eta + \text{Nucleon} \to \pi + \text{nucleon}$ as deduced form process (12) by detailed balance. The dot-dashed line represents the expected behaviour for $\lambda_{eff} \ll 10^{-13}$ cm.

The absolute value of $d\sigma(A)/d\Omega^*$ depends on the apparatus which, in our case, is moderately insensitive to the scattering of the η's inside nuclear matter. This point is made clearer in the following.

The points for H and D were taken from our previous results[2,7,8], but the photon energy was corrected to correspond to the same average c.m.s. energy of the photon-nucleon interaction in the nucleus.

The data of Fig. 2 show that the quantity $(d\sigma(A)/d\Omega^*)/A$ decreases rapidly with increasing A. According to what we said this results indicates a strong interaction of the η in nuclear matter.

We will now attempt to put this conclusions in a more quantitative form. One can write

(3)
$$\left(\frac{d\sigma(A)}{d\Omega^*}\right)/A = \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega^*}\right)_N f_A$$

where $(d\sigma/d\Omega^*)_N$ is the eta photoproduction cross section on free nucleons.

Measurements in Deuterium indicate that the η photoproduction cross section at 90° c.m., on neutrons and protons are approximately equal[7], so our results should be quite independent of the relative distribution of protons and neutrons inside the nucleus. f_A is the fraction of the η's which survive absorption or scattering inside the nucleus and are detected by the apparatus. f_A is directly related to the mean free path of the eta in the nuclear matter and is the function of direct interest in our study.

We assume f_A as follows[9]

(4)
$$f_A = \frac{1}{V} \int_V e^{-l'/\lambda_{eff}} dr$$

where V is the volume of the nucleus; l' is the path of the outgoing η in the residual nucleus and λ_{eff} is the mean free path of the eta for those interactions which cause the eta to be undetected by our experimental apparatus. The best fit of $(d\sigma/d\Omega^*)_N \cdot f_A$ to our experimental values is shown in Fig. 2.

Some of the curves for this function for different values of λ_{eff} are shown also in the same figure.

The resultant best-fit value for λ_{eff} is:

$$(5) \qquad \lambda_{eff} = 1^{+0.9}_{-0.5} \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm.}$$

given the small value of λ_{eff} and the fact that f_A is insensitive to λ_{eff} for $\lambda_{eff} \lesssim 10^{-13}$ cm we are lead to the conclusion that $\lambda_{eff} \lesssim 1.9 \times \times 10^{-13}$ cm.

From λ_{eff} we can define a cross section σ_{eff} by:

$$(6) \qquad \sigma_{eff} = 1/\rho \, \lambda_{eff}$$

Thus σ_{eff} is the cross section per nucleon for those η-nucleon interactions which cause the eta to be undetected in our experimental apparatus; ρ is the nuclear density which is assumed to be uniform.

From (5) and (6) we obtain

$$(7) \qquad \sigma_{eff} = 90^{+90}_{-40} \text{ mb}$$

a χ^2 analysis of our data gives $\sigma_{eff} \gtrsim 50$ mb, with a confidence level $\sim 85\%$.

This value of σ_{eff} is close to the geometrical cross section of the nucleon and it is indicative of a strong η-nucleon interaction at our momentum.

In an attempt to describe the interactions that give rise to σ_{eff}, let us examine the nuclear processes due to which the η is not detected by our apparatus. We will parametrize σ_{eff} in the following way:

$$(8) \qquad \sigma_{eff} = \sigma_r + K\sigma_{sc}$$

where: K is the fraction $(0 \lesssim K \lesssim 1)$ of the produced η's which escape detection due to the process of η-nucleon elastic scattering inside

the nucleus whose cross section is σ_{sc}; σ_r is the sum of all ine-lastic reaction cross sections as for instance

(9) $\qquad\qquad \eta + \text{nucleon} \longrightarrow \pi + \text{nucleon}$

(10) $\qquad\qquad \eta + \text{nucleon} \longrightarrow \pi + \pi + \text{nucleon}$

From knowledge of the inverse reaction[10]

(11) $\qquad\qquad \pi^- + p \longrightarrow \eta + n$

one can establish, by detailed balance and isospin conservation, the value of the cross section for processes (9), at the same center-of--mass energy. In fact the following relation holds at our energies:

(12) $\quad \sigma(\eta N \longrightarrow \pi N) = (3/2)\sigma(\pi^- p \longrightarrow \eta n) \times \left(\dfrac{P^*_\pi}{P^*_\eta}\right)^2 \approx 15 \text{ mb.}$

This value, when compared with our result, $\sigma_{eff} \gtrsim 50$ mb, shows that we require contributions other than reaction (9) to explain our experimental results.

Let us examine these contributions under the hypothesis that all channels are dominated by an S wave interaction. This is sugge-sted by the analysis of the total and differential cross sections for reaction (11) and by the phase-shift analysis of π-nucleon scattering[11]. In this hypothesis we find a value K = 0.6.

Taking into account the unitarity condition for an S wave and the relation (8), one arrives to the following conclusions, which are illustrated in Fig. 3:


FIG. 3 - Relation between σ_r, the reaction cross section and σ_{sc}, the elastic cross sec tion, for the η-nucleon interaction.
Line A is the boundary imposed by unitarity if only S wave is present in the η-nucleon in teraction.
The straight line B is obtained using the lo-wer limit σ_{eff} = 50 mb, and relation (8), with K = 0.6. The dot-dashed vertical line is the value of σ_r due to η + nucleon $\longrightarrow \pi$ + nu-cleon, as deduced from reaction $\pi^- + p \longrightarrow$
$\longrightarrow \eta + n$[10].

a) the most important contribution to σ_r comes from pro-cess(9); as 15 mb is very near the maximum value allowed by unita-rity ($\sim$ 19 mb);

b) when considering our experimental uncertainties the assum-
ption of an S wave is not inconsistent with the unitarity limit and with
the value σ_r = 15 mb, if $\sigma_{sc} \simeq$ 50 mb.

This value of σ_{sc} is somewhat higher than the value which can
be deduced[11] in the analysis of process (11).

If, contrary to the conclusions of this analysis[11], P and D
waves contribute to process (2), then some other reaction channels,
like channel (10), could contribute.

In conclusion we find a large η-nucleon interaction cross sec-
tion σ at an η-nucleon c.m.s. momentum of 250 MeV/c. This cross
section may be expressed by the relation $\sigma = \sigma_r + \sigma_{sc} \gtrsim$ 65 mb.

8.

REFERENCES -

(1) - G. Bellettini, C. Bemporad, P. L. Braccini and L. Foà: Phys.
      Letters 18, 333 (1965).
(2) - C. Bacci, R. Baldini-Celio, C. Mencuccini, A. Reale, M. Spi
      netti and A. Zallo: Phys. Rev. Lett. 20, 571 (1968).
(3) - M. L. Goldberger and K. M. Watson: Collision Theory, (Wiley,
      New York, 1965), p. 687.
(4) - M. Lax and H. Feshbach: Phys. Rev. 81, 189 (1951); S. M. Ber
      man: Nuovo Cimento 21, 1020 (1961).
(5) - A. Wattenberg, Hand. d. Physik 40, 450 (1957).
(6) - R. Herman and R. Hofstadter: High Energy Electron Scattering,
      (Stanford University Press, Stanford, 1960), p. 62.
(7) - C. Bacci, R. Baldini-Celio, C. Mencuccini, A. Reale, M. Spi
      netti and A. Zallo: To be published.
(8) - C. Bacci, G. Penso, G. Salvini, C. Mencuccini and V. Silve-
      strini: Phys. Rev. Letters 16, 157 (1966).
(9) - S. Fernbach, R. Serber and T. B. Taylor: Phys. Rev. 75, 1352
      (1949).
(10) - W. B. Richards, C. B. Chiu, R. D. Eandi, A. C. Helmoltz, R. W.
      Kenney, N. J. Moyer, J. A. Poirier, R. J. Cence, V. Z. Peter-
      son, N. K. Sehgal and V. J. Stenger: Phys. Rev. Lett. 16, 1221
      (1966).
(11) - A. T. Davies and R. G. Moorhouse, Nuovo Cimento, 52A, 1112
      (1967); F. Uchiyama-Campbell and R. K. Logan: Phys. Rev. 149,
      1220 (1966).