ISTITUTO NAZIONALE DI FISICA NUCLEARE

Sezione di Napoli

<u>INFN/TC-00/2</u>0 29 Settembre 2000

CAVITY LONGITUDINAL LOSS FACTOR MEASUREMENTS BY MEANS OF A BEAM TEST FACILITY

A. Palmieri¹, L. Tecchio¹, G. V. Lamanna², V. Variale², A.V. Aleksandrov³, P.V.Logatchov³, V.G. Vaccaro⁴, M.R. Masullo⁵

¹⁾ INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Legnaro, via Romea 4, I-35020 Legnaro (PD),Italy ²⁾INFN sez. di Bari, Via E. Orabona 4, I-70126 Bari, .Italy

> ³⁾Budker Inst. of Nuc. Phy., 11 Lavrentiev Prospekt, Novosibirsk, 630090, Russian Federation, Russia

⁴⁾ INFN sez. di Napoli, Dip. di Scienze Fisiche Univ. di Napoli "Federico II", Via Cinthia (Monte S.Angelo) I-80126 Napoli, Italy

⁵⁾INFN sez. di Napoli, Via Cinthia (Monte S.Angelo) I-80126 Napoli, Italy

Abstract

A new method for the measurement of Loss Factor for a RF cavity is presented. The method consists of measuring the above quantity by means of the detection both of the RF voltage induced by an electron bunch in the device under test and the bunch charge. The device to be ivestigated is a copper reentrant T-shaped cavity. The experimental results and their comparison with analytical and numerical results are presented.

PACS.: 29.27.Bd 5

Submitted to Physical Rev. Special Topics- Accel. and Beams

Published by **SIS-Pubblicazioni** Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati

1 INTRODUCTION

Improvement of beam cooling technique such as laser cooling allows the achievement of very cold ion beams inside storage rings. Moreover with the appropriate cooling force, ordered ion structures, the so-called Coulomb Crystals¹⁾, can be obtained. One of the most important requirements, that an ion ring devoted to such a purpose should fulfill, is to avoid every kind of coherent instabilities that may cause beam losses²⁾. One of these instabilities is related to beam-environment interaction by means of the Longitudinal Coupling Impedance (LCI) and of the Loss Factor (LF)³⁾. Therefore a precise knowledge of such a quantity allows a more accurate estimation of instability growth rate and, in turn, of the cooling rate needed.

Usually CI and LF measurements are performed in a laboratory using short current pulses propagating on a wire inside the accelerator element under test (*coaxial wire method*)⁴, but this method is questionable for two reasons: a) the electromagnetic properties of an empty chamber differ from a chamber with a wire inside and b) coaxial wire method is not straightforward to use for velocities $\beta < 1$ as in the case of cooled ion beams.

The main feature of our experiment is the indirect measurement of LF with an electron beam whose energy varies in the range $18\div65$ keV ($0.37 \le \beta \le 0.69$). The device under test is an RF reentrant T-shaped copper cavity.

In this article we will compare experimental results with those coming from a theoretical formulation. In fact, an analytical method for the LF calculation has been developed for any particle velocity and for some relevant accelerator structures⁵.

2 THE EXPERIMENTAL METHOD: A DESCRIPTION.

Let us consider a resonant RF cavity inserted on a vacuum chamber and excited by a charged particle beam, passing through the cavity, whose current is supposed to be frequency modulated. The energy lost by the beam due to the field induced by the beam itself can be described in terms of the Loss Factor (LF) k:

$$k = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{+\infty} Z_r(\omega) d\omega$$
⁽¹⁾

with Z_r the real part of the longitudinal coupling impedance (see Appendix). In the neighborhood a cavity resonant frequency ω_n , the interval of integration is reduced to a small region around the resonance, leading to the following formulation for k, as it is shown in Appendix:

$$k_{ln} = \frac{\omega_n R_n}{2Q_n} \tag{2}$$

where R_n is the cavity shunt resistance and Q_n is the quality factor of the n-th mode.

It can be shown (see Appendix) that, for a bunch of charge q and spectral density $|F(\omega)|$, the LF is related to the energy stored in the n-th mode W_n after the bunch passage by means of the relation:

$$W_n = q^2 k_{ln} \left| F(\omega_n) \right|^2 \tag{3}$$

For a Gaussian particle distribution we can write $|F(\omega_n)| = exp(-\omega_n^2 \sigma^2/2)$ where σ is the rms temporal bunch width.

Let us consider now an external measurement line connected to the cavity. The energy balance for the n-th mode gives us the following relation, valid for a mode slowly decaying with respect to the beam transit time:

$$\frac{dW_n}{dt} = -\frac{W_n}{\tau_n} = -(P_{in} + P_{ext})$$
(4)

where $\tau = Q_{Ln}/\omega_n$ is the decay time of the n-th mode, P_{in} the power dissipated inside the cavity, Pext the power radiated in the measurement line. Q_{Ln} is the "loaded quality factor" which takes into account the power flowing towards the measurement line; it turns out to be:

$$Q_{Ln} = \omega_n \frac{W_n}{P_{in} + P_{ext}}$$

The peak voltage U_{RF} induced in the measurement line with impedance R is $U_{RF} = \sqrt{2RP_{ext}}$. Using now Eqs. (3) and (4) we get :

$$U_{RF}(q) = \sqrt{\frac{2Rk_{ln}\alpha_n |F(\omega_n)|^2}{(1+\alpha_n)\tau_n}} q = r_n q$$
(5)

where we have introduced the coupling coefficient α_n defined as $\alpha_n = \frac{P_{ext}}{P_{in}}$

The expression (5) shows a linear dependence between the RF voltage and the beam charge.

It is very important to point out that this linear relationship holds as far as the time bunch length keeps constant. If it is not the case, the Eq. (5) must be modified in order to take into account bunch lengthening due to space charge forces and laser instability. If we assume that space charge effects are a first-order correction with respect to the "unperturbed" bunch duration σ_0 , we obtain:

$$\sigma = \sigma_0 + aq \tag{6}$$

where the angular coefficient a takes into account the way in which bunch duration is modified by the space charge.

Therefore, by substituting into Eq. (5), we get:

$$U_{RF}(q) = \sqrt{\frac{2k_{ln}\alpha_n \exp(-\omega_n^2 \sigma_0^2)R}{(1+\alpha_n)\tau_n}} q \exp\left[-\frac{\omega_n^2}{2}aq(2\sigma_0 + aq)\right] =$$
$$= r_n q \exp\left[-\frac{\omega_n^2}{2}aq(2\sigma_0 + aq)\right]$$
(7)

This equation tells us that the dependence of the induced RF voltage on the charge q can be described by means of two parts: a first one, linear, containing in the coefficient r_n the loss factor and so the "interaction" beam – cavity; a second one, exponential, due to the effect of space charge on the bunch length and on the time spent in the cavity.

The LF can be extracted from r_n as follows:

$$k_{ln} = r_n^2 \frac{(1+\alpha_n)\tau_n}{2R\alpha_n |F(\omega_n)|^2}$$
(8)

The relations (7) and (8) gives us the base for the setting up of the experimental measurement method.

The induced RF voltage in the cavity can be measured as function of the incoming beam charge by varying its value. At the same time and separately the beam charge has to be measured. In this way, an experimental relation between the two quantities can be then found. By means of Eq.(7), the data (q, U_{RF}) are interpolated varying the two parameters, r_n and a; the LF can be then calculated from the coefficient rn, (see Eq.(8)), once α_n , and τ_n have been measured. Therefore we get the loss factor for a given resonant mode frequency and for a fixed beam energy.

Changing the beam energy, the couple of data (q, U_{RF}) are measured again as before and a new value of LF can be found. The same has to be done to study the behavior of k_n as function of the frequency.

3 EXPERIMENTAL APPARATUS AND TECHNIQUE

From the above discussion it is clear that, as far as Eq. (7) holds, by measuring several times, independently, the induced RF voltage in the cavity and the amount of beam charge passing through the cavity, it is possible to interpolate the data and to extract the required LF from the coefficient rn.

The experimental setup is shown in Fig.1:



FIG. 1:The experimental setup for the longitudinal Loss Factor measurement.

A bunched electron beam is emitted by a GaAs photocathode excited by a frequency doubled Nd:YLF laser. The measured rms pulse duration of photon bunch is

 $\sigma_{0photon} = (70\pm10)$ ps. The photocathode is installed in a Pierce type electron gun. A voltage applied between anode and cathode accelerates the bunch. By varying the anode-cathode voltage it is possible to perform measurements for different values of the particle energy and therefore of the velocity, β .

A Faraday Cup (FC), put at the end of the measurement line, is used to collect and measure the bunch charge q passing through the cavity.

The beam transport to the device under test, DUT (RF cavity in our case) and then to the FC is accomplished by using a magnetic lens system.

Varying the laser intensity by means of polaroid filters the photoemitted current changes; in correspondence of this, beam charge intensity varies from $(1.2\pm0.06)\cdot10^7$ electrons (minimum photoemitted current) to $(4.2\pm0.21)\cdot10^8$ electrons (maximum photoemitted current). Following our assumption (Eq. 6), the rms "unperturbed" electron bunch duration σ_0 is equal to $\sigma_{0photon}$

Since the proposed experimental technique is valid only around cavity resonances, as a first step we must measure the cavity resonance frequencies and relative loaded quality factors without beam flowing. For our experiment we chose two TM resonant frequencies, whose measured values, corresponding loaded quality factors and relative decay time are shown in Table 1.

n	f _n [GHz]	Q_{Ln}	$\alpha_{\rm n}$	τ_{n} [ns]
1	0.8567	1178	0.2	438
2	2.361	595	0.5	80

TAB. 1: Loaded Q's, coupling factors and decay times for the two resonant frequencies

The induced RF voltage in the cavity and the charge collected on the FC are measured with two separated lines at the same time as shown in Fig.2. For this purpose high voltage (HV) and laser triggers are synchronized by means of a pulse generator with a repetition rate of 0.5 Hz.



FIG. 2: Scheme for the simultaneous determination of U_{RF} and U_{FC} .

In order to properly reconstruct the RF signal from the cavity, we need to acquire its entire frequency band. Looking at Table 1 it is clear that the filters usually installed inside Spectrum Analyzer (maximum bandwidth of 3MHz) are not sufficient. For this reason we had to properly customize a 2nd IF output on our Spectrum by inserting a 30 MHz bandwidth filter. In this way we were able to acquire the entire RF signal inside the cavity at the working frequencies. The output signal amplified by an RF amplifier is then read out on a LE CROY oscilloscope.

The output signal $u'_{RF}(t)$, measured on the oscilloscope, is:

$$u'_{RF}(t) = U_{RF} \exp(-\Gamma'_{n} t) \left[\cos(\overline{\omega}'_{n} t) - \frac{\Gamma'_{n}}{\overline{\omega}'_{n}} \sin(\overline{\omega}'_{n} t) \right]$$
(9)
with $\overline{\omega}'_{n} = 2\pi f_{0} \left(1 - \frac{1}{4Q_{n}^{2}} \right)$ and $\Gamma'_{n} = \frac{\pi f_{0}}{Q_{n}}.$

From this equation, U_{RF} can be obtained and introduced in Eq. (7).

The charge q can be calculated by measuring the voltage UFC induced on FC by the electron bunch through the relation $q = C_{FC}U_{FC}$, where $C_{FC} = 667$ pF is the capacitance of the Faraday Cup.

The coupling α_n appearing in Eq. (7) can be determined from the measurement of the reflection coefficient ρ_n through the relation⁶⁾

$$\alpha_{n} = \frac{1 - |\rho_{n}|}{1 + |\rho_{n}|} \quad \text{if } \alpha_{n} < 1$$

$$\alpha_{n} = \frac{1 + |\rho_{n}|}{1 - |\rho_{n}|} \quad \text{if } \alpha_{n} \ge 1$$
(10)

For both working frequencies, the reflection coefficients have been measured according to the scheme shown in Fig.3. α_n values are reported in Table 1.



FIG.3: Scheme for the reflection coefficient measurement

As final step we need to know the frequency response of the U_{RF} measurement line without beam flowing according to the scheme shown in Fig.4, in order to take into account cable attenuation and amplification.



FIG.4: Scheme of the frequency response measure line

The frequency response is given by:

$$H(f) = \frac{V_{out}(f)}{V_{in}(f)}$$

For each resonant frequency the "true" value of r_n is related to the measured one by means of the relation $r_n^{true} = \frac{r_n^{measured}}{H(f_n)}$.

The measured values of $H(f_n)$ are shown in Table 2:

n	f _n [GHz]	$H(f_n)$
1	0.8567	2.068
2	2.361	1.312

TAB.	2:	Respo	nse o	f the o	a-URF	measure	line	at the	operating	freq	uencies

4 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND COMPARISON WITH THEORY

We have measured the values of q and $U_{RF}(q)$ for different values of beam energies and for two resonant frequencies. For each of the beam energies, the couples $(q-U_{RF}(q))$ have been interpolated according to the relation (7).

We can write Eq. (7) as:

$$y(x) = x \cdot exp(\alpha x^2 + \beta x + \gamma)$$
(11)

with x = q, y = U, $\alpha = -\frac{\omega_n^2 a^2}{2}$, $\beta = -\omega_n^2 a \sigma_0$, $\gamma = ln(r_n)$

Provided x>0 and y>0, we can linearize the Eq. (11) with respect to the fitting parameters obtaining:

$$Y = ln(y/x) = \alpha x^{2} + \beta x + \gamma$$
(12)

Therefore, by fitting with least square method the couples (Y, x) according to Eq. (12), we can obtain the best value for γ and then for r_n .

A comparison between experimental and theoretical data for each measured frequency is shown in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 as function of beam energy. The first theoretical curve is the result of a simulation performed by using a modified version of the URMEL code. The second is the result of the calculation of LF for our T-shaped lossy cavity with a new formulation of Mode Matching Technique⁷. The error bars, calculated by means of error propagation, are of 15% for the first frequency and 14% for the second one. In the evaluation of these errors we have considered the dependence of k_{ln} on α_n , τ_n , r_n and F(ω_n) as shown in Eq. (8).



FIG.5: Loss factor as a function of bunch energy; comparison between theoretical and experimental results at f=856.73 MHz.



FIG.6: Loss factor as a function of bunch energy; comparison between theoretical and experimental results at f=2361 MHz.

5 CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES

The experimental results and the theoretical evaluations turned out to be in good agreement. As a consequence, the proposed measurement method is reliable and useful for

possible future applications. In particular, under certain circumstances, it has been confirmed that non-relativistic beams are favored in the case of coherent instabilities due to beam-environment interaction. At the same time we have to point out that further improvements are possible, especially with regard to measurement implementation. In particular error bars can be reduced, in order to obtain more precise data. This can be achieved by using shorter and more stable laser pulses. In fact, for a fixed frequency and beam energy, in the case of laser intensity stability the effect of space charge forces can be easily recognized⁸. The laser pulse duration stability reduces the error in the measurement of $F(\omega_n)$. Moreover, the shorter is the laser pulse duration, the wider is the region in which a linear relation between U_{RF} and q is fulfilled. Consequently the estimate of r_n will be more accurate.

APPENDIX

THE LONGITUDINAL LOSS FACTOR.

The dynamics of a particle beam traveling inside an accelerator is affected by the e.m. fields induced (wake fields) by the beam itself in the interaction with the vacuum chamber. This interaction can be described by means of the wake functions (potentials) in time domain or the longitudinal coupling impedance in frequency domain. For a charged particle q_1 , traveling with constant velocity v ($v = \beta c$) along the axis z of an arbitrary shape vacuum chamber, the electromagnetic energy lost is given by

$$U(\mathbf{r}_1) = -\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}_1, z_1, t, t) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{z}} dz$$
(1A)

with $t=z_1/v$ and **F** the Lorentz force due the e.m. fields induced by the charge in the presence of discontinuities. The quantity *U* takes into account both the energy lost in the resistive walls and the energy from the diffracted fields.

Let us define the longitudinal loss factor $(LLF)^{9}$ as the energy lost by the charge q_1 per unit charge squared

$$k(\mathbf{r}_1) = \frac{U(\mathbf{r}_1)}{q_1^2}$$
(2A)

Consider now a second particle q, displaced apart from q_i ; its energy will change as a consequence of the interaction with the e.m. fields produced by the first particle by an amount

$$U_{21}(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r},\tau) = -\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r},z_1,z,t) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{z}} dz$$
(3A)

where $\tau = t - z_t/v$ is the time delay between the two particles.

We define the longitudinal wake function as it follows

$$w(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_{1},\tau) = \frac{U_{21}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_{1},\tau)}{q_{1}q}$$
(4A)

Let us notice that if $\beta < 1$ and in the limit of zero distance between the two particles, then

$$k = w_z(0) \tag{5A}$$

In the frequency domain let us define the longitudinal coupling impedance as the Fourier transform of the longitudinal wake function

$$Z(r_1, r, \omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} w_z(r_1, r, \tau) e^{-j\omega\tau} d\tau$$
(6A)

For simplicity, we will consider only the case $\mathbf{r}_1 = \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{0}$, thus omitting the radial dependence. It is possible to relate k and $Z(\omega)$ in the following way

$$k = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{+\infty} Z_r(\omega) d\omega$$
 (7A)

Let us consider now a resonant cavity. In the neighborhood of a resonance frequency ω_n , the cavity behaves like a RLC parallel circuit for the particles; in this frame the longitudinal coupling impedance can be written as¹⁰

$$Z(\omega) = \frac{R_n}{1 + jQ_n \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n} - \frac{\omega_n}{\omega}\right)}$$
(8A)

where R_n and Q_n are the cavity shunt resistance and the unloaded quality factor of the n-th resonant mode. R_n is defined (see circuit theory) as the ratio of the accelerating voltage on the cavity axes to the power loss in the cavity walls.

If we are very close to the resonance ω_n , by combining Eqs. (7) and (8), it is possible to write the longitudinal LF for the n-th mode as:

$$k_n = \frac{\omega_n R_n}{2Q_n} \tag{9A}$$

Consider now, instead of a point charge exciting the cavity, a distribution of particles i(t) such that

$$q_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} i(\tau) d\tau \tag{10A}$$

For this distribution, the longitudinal wake function $W(\tau)$ is simply the convolution product of $w(\tau)$ and $i(\tau)$

$$W(\mathbf{r},\tau) = \frac{1}{q_1} i(\tau) * w(\mathbf{r},\tau)$$
(11A)

As a consequence the bunch loss factor *K* of this distribution is given by:

$$K(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{q_1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} W(\mathbf{r}, \tau) i(\tau) d\tau$$
(12A)

In analogy with Eq. (2), we can express the energy lost by a charge distribution $i(\tau)$ as:

$$U = q_1^2 K \tag{13A}$$

Therefore, recalling Eq. (12) and the definition of LCI we can write:

$$U = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{+\infty} Z_{R}(\omega) |I(\omega)|^{2} d\omega$$
 (14A)

Let us assume now a bunch with a spectral distribution $I(\omega) = q F(\omega)$ which is nearly constant around the resonance frequency ω_n . In this case the e.m. energy lost in the n-th mode can be expressed as a function of the loss factor, remembering Eq. (9).

$$U_{n} = q_{1}^{2} k_{n} \left| F(\omega_{n}) \right|^{2}$$
(15A)

6 REFERENCES

- (1) J.P. Schiffer, GSI, 89-10 (1989).
- (2) S. Cocher and I. Hoffman, Particle Accelerators 34, p. 189 (1990).
- (3) L.Palumbo, V.G. Vaccaro, A. Zobov in CERN Accelerator School, Fifth Advanced Accelerator Physics Course, 1993, (ed. by S. Turner, CERN, Geneva, 1995), p. 332.
- (4) M. Sands, A. Rees, SLAC, PEP-0095 (1974).
- (5) D. Davino, M.R. Masullo, A. Palmieri, V.G. Vaccaro, (INFN note 2000) (to be published).
- (6) T.P. Wangler, RF Linear Accelerators (John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1998), I, Chap. 5, p. 139.
- (7) Antonio Palmieri, Università degli Studi di Napoli "Federico II", Caratterizzazione teorica di una cavità rientrante e misura dei suoi parametri significativi con una Test Facility (tesi di laurea in Italian) (1998).
- (8) A.V. Aleksandrov et al., Rev. Sci. Instrum. 66 (5). (1995).
- (9) L. Palumbo, V.G Vaccaro, Advanced Accelerator Physics in CERN Accelerator School 1986 (ed. by S. Turner, CERN, Geneva, 1987), p. 360.
- (10) G. Dôme, RF Engineering for Particle Accelerators, in CERN Accelerator School 1991, (ed. by S. Turner, CERN, Geneva, 1992), p. 100.