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#### Abstract

I apply the theory of random walks to prove dimensional recurrence formulas for return probabilities and Green's functions of cubic lattices. I use these formulas to compute the average number of distinct sites visited by the random walker on an "almost 1 dimensional" lattice.


(To be published in Physics Letters A).

## 1. Introduction.

Many problems in solid state physics, statistical physics and particle physics can be "latticized": then the propagation of lattice "excitations" can be described by "lattice Green's functions" or "position-space propagators" (applications to statistical physics can be found, e.g. in the classical papers by Dyson [1], while a review of solid-state physics applications is e.g. [2]). The propagation of lattice excitations is a kind of diffusion process, and it is well-known that it can be modeled by discrete random walks on the lattice: this equivalence has been used in the past to compute propagators by Montecarlo methods (see e.g. Kuti [3] and Montvay [4]).

Here I study simple random walks on cubic D-dimensional lattices and using elementary combinatorial arguments I show dimensional recurrence formulas relating the Green's function (propagator) for the D-dimensional lattice to the Green's function for the (D-1)-dimensional lattice.

In section 2 of this Letter I review some known results about the Green's functions for simple random walks on a cubic lattice: I use a formalism akin to that used in [5] and | cast the results in a combinatorial setting; in section 3 | prove the recurrence formulas. I apply the recurrence formulas to find the average number of distinct sites visited by a random walker on an "almost 1-dimensional" lattice in section 5, and section 4 contains a discussion of the results.

## 2. Green's functions for simple random-walks on a cubic lattice.

Take a cubic D -dimensional lattice with unit lattice spacing and let $\mathbf{r}=\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{D}}\right)$ be a lattice vector, that is a vector that points to a lattice site (and therefore the $x_{i}$ 's are integer coordinates when measured in units of lattice spacings). Also, let $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{j})$ be the probability for the random walker to step from its current site r to $\mathrm{r}+\mathrm{j}$, let 0 be
the random walker's starting point and let $P_{D}(r, n)$ be the probability of reaching $r$ after $n$ steps (not necessarily for the first time). Then the $P_{D}(r, n)$ 's are related to the single-step probabilities $p(j)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{D}(r, n+1)=\sum_{j} p(j) P_{D}(r-j, n) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $\sum_{j}$ denotes the sum over all lattice points $j$ ) with the initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{D}(r, 0)=\delta_{r, 0} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This recurrence equation can be solved using standard Fourier methods after introducing the functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(t)=\sum_{j} p(j) \exp (i \quad j \cdot t) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$(\lambda(t)$ is also called the "structure function" of the random walk) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{n}(t)=\sum_{r} P_{D}(r, n) \exp (i r \cdot t) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (1) and (1.1) become:

$$
\begin{gather*}
L_{n+1}(t)=\lambda(t) L_{n}(t)  \tag{4}\\
L_{0}(t)=1 \tag{4.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{n}(t)=[\lambda(t)]^{n} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{D}(r, n)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{D}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}[\lambda(t)]^{n} \exp (-i r \cdot t) d t . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore the generating function for the return probabilities $P_{D}(r, n)$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{D}(r, z) & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{D}(r, n) z^{n}= \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{D}} \int_{\pi}^{\pi}[\lambda(t)]^{n} \exp (-i r \cdot t) d t\right) z^{n} \\
& =\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{D}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\exp (-i r \cdot t)}{1-z \lambda(t)} d t . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

In a simple random-walk the $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{j})$ 's are non-zero only if j is a unit lattice vector, i.e. one of the vectors $\pm \mathbf{e}_{i}$, where $\mathbf{e}_{1}=(1,0,0, \ldots, 0), \mathbf{e}_{2}=(0,1,0, \ldots, 0), \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{D}=$ $(0,0,0, \ldots, 1)$; then the structure function becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(t)=\sum_{k=1}^{D}\left[p\left(+\mathbf{e}_{k}\right) \exp \left(-i t_{k}\right)+p\left(-\mathbf{e}_{k}\right) \exp \left(i t_{k}\right)\right], \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{D}\right)$. Furthermore if one also assumes that there is no net drift motion, then $\mathrm{p}\left(+\mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)=\mathrm{p}\left(-\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{k}}\right) \equiv \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}$ and (8) and (7) become respectively

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(t)=2 \sum_{k=1}^{D} p_{k} \cos \left(t_{k}\right), \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{D}(r, z)=\frac{1}{\pi^{D}} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{D} \cos \left(x_{k} t_{k}\right) d t_{k}}{1-2 z \sum_{k=1}^{D} p_{k} \cos t_{k}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{D}\right)$ as before, and (10) is seen to be the usual expression for the scalar boson propagator in position space on a cubic $D$-dimensional lattice; if $p_{i}=\frac{1}{2 D}$
for all i's, $z$ is related to the usual "hopping parameter" $K, K=\frac{z}{2 D}$, while the mass of the "hopping particle" is given by $\mathrm{M}^{2}=2 \mathrm{D}(1-\mathrm{z})$ [6].

Now I turn to the problem of explicitly computing the $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{D}}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{n})$ 's. Assume the randomwalker to be in $\mathbf{r}=\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{D}}\right)$ at the n -th step; then the number $\mathrm{k}_{ \pm \mathrm{i}}$ of steps taken in the direction $\pm \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{i}}$ must satisfy the constraint $\mathrm{k}_{+\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{k}_{-\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$. On the other hand there are $\frac{n!}{k_{+1}!k_{-1}!\ldots k_{+} D!k-D!}$ such sequences of $n$ steps with the constraint $k_{+1}+k_{-1}+\ldots+k_{+} D+k_{-D}=n$, and each of them has a probability $\prod_{k=1}^{D} p_{i}^{k+i+k-i}$ of actually occurring (the pi's are the single step probabilities defined above). Then the probability that the random-walker be in $r$ at the $n$-th step is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{D}(r, n)=\sum_{D} \quad n!\prod_{i=1}^{D} \frac{p_{i}^{k_{+i}+k_{-i}}}{k_{+i}!k_{-i}!} . \\
& \sum_{i=1} k_{+i}+k_{-i}=n ; k_{+i-k-i=x_{i} ; k_{ \pm} \geq 0}
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{D}(r, n)=\sum_{2 \sum_{i=1}^{D} k_{i=n}+\sum_{i=1}^{D} x_{i} ; k_{i} \geq \max \left(0, x_{i}\right)}^{n!\prod_{i=1}^{D} \frac{p_{i}^{2 k_{i}-x_{i}}}{k_{i}!\left(k_{i}-x_{i}\right)!} .} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that the constraint $2 \sum_{i=1}^{D} k_{i}=n+\sum_{i=1}^{D} x_{i}$ forces $n+\sum_{i=1}^{D} x_{i} \quad$ to be even, therefore $n$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{D} x_{i}$ must have the same parity: if this is not the case then $P_{D}(r, n)=0$. In the specially important case $r=0, n$ must be even and (12) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{D}(0,2 n)=\sum_{D}(2 n)!\prod_{i=1}^{D} \frac{p_{i}^{2 k_{i}}}{\left(k_{i}!\right)^{2}} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the single step probabilities $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}$ are all equal and the number of random walkers is conserved (i.e. $\sum_{i=1}^{D} p_{i}=\frac{1}{2}$ ) then $p_{i}=\frac{1}{2 D}$, and (13) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{D}(0,2 n)=(2 D)^{-2 n} \sum_{\sum_{i=1}^{D} k_{i}=n} \frac{(2 n)!}{\prod_{i=1}^{D}\left(k_{i}!\right)^{2}} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $D=1$ or $D=2$, the sum (14) can be easily evaluated to yield

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{1}(0,2 n)=\frac{1}{2^{2 n}}\binom{2 n}{n},  \tag{15}\\
& P_{2}(0,2 n)=\frac{1}{4^{2 n}}\binom{2 n}{n}^{2}, \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

and if $D=1$ it is also easy to find the nonzero probabilities for even ( $r=2 x$ ) and odd ( $\mathrm{r}=2 \mathrm{x}-1$ ) lattice points:

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{1}(2 x, 2 n) & =\frac{1}{2^{2 n}}\binom{2 n}{n+x},  \tag{17}\\
P_{1}(2 x-1,2 n+1) & =\frac{1}{2^{2 n+1}}\binom{2 n+1}{n+x} . \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

If D>2 and/or the pi's are not all equal, the P's have more complicated expressions, but there is a simple gaussian approximation (see, e.g. [5]), which is valid when $n p_{i} \gg x_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{D}(r, n) \approx \frac{2}{(4 \pi n)^{D / 2}}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{D} p_{i}\right]^{-1 / 2} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 n} \sum_{i=1}^{D} \frac{x_{i}^{2}}{2 p_{i}}\right\}, \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where it is understood that $r$ and $n$ have the same parity, and that $P_{D}(r, n)=0$ if

$$
\sum_{i}^{D} x_{i}>n .
$$

I remark here that for the 1-dimensional simple random walk there is an exact expression for the Green function in closed form [7]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{1}(k, z)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-z^{2}}}\left[\frac{1}{z} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1-z^{2}}{z^{2}}}\right]^{|k|} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. The dimensional recurrence formulas.

The single step probabilities are often chosen to be $p_{i}=\frac{1}{2 D}$ : the resulting random-waik is "isotropic". Now let $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{p}$ for $\mathrm{i}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{D}-1$ and $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{D}}=\alpha \mathrm{p}$, then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}=\frac{1}{2(\mathrm{D}-1+\alpha)} \quad \text { for } \mathrm{i}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{D}-1, \text { and } \quad \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{D}}=\frac{\alpha}{2(\mathrm{D}-1+\alpha)}, \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

(I assume the normalization condition $\sum_{i=1}^{D} p_{i}=\frac{1}{2}$ which means that the number of randow walkers is conserved) and when $\alpha$ changes from 1 to 0 , it interpolates continuously between the D-dimensional and the (D-1)-dimensional case.

Now denote with $P_{D}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{n} ; \alpha)$ the return probability computed from (12) with single step probabilities given by (21) (and therefore $P_{D}(r, n ; 1)=P_{D}(r, n)$, and $P_{D}(r, n ; 0)$ $\left.=P_{D-1}(r, n)\right)$, and let $\mathbf{r}=0, k \equiv k_{D}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{D}(0,2 n ; \alpha)=\sum_{\sum_{i=1}^{D} k_{i}=n} \frac{(2 n)!}{\prod_{i=1}^{D}\left(k_{i}!\right)^{2}} \frac{\alpha^{2 k D}}{[2(D-1+\alpha)]^{2 n}} ; \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

this can be rearranged to give

$$
\begin{gather*}
{[2(D-1+\alpha)]^{2 n} P_{D}(0,2 n ; \alpha)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\alpha^{2 k}}{(k!)^{2}} \sum_{\sum_{i=1}^{D-1} k_{i}=n-k} \frac{(2 n)!}{\prod_{i=1}^{D-1}(k i!)^{2}}=} \\
=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\alpha^{2 k}}{(k!)^{2}} \frac{(2 n)!}{(2 n-2 k)!}[2(D-1)]^{2 n-2 k} P_{D-1}(0,2 n-2 k) . \tag{23}
\end{gather*}
$$

In particular, if $\alpha=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
[2 D]^{2 n} P_{D}(0,2 n)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(2 n)!}{(2 n-2 k)!(k!)^{2}}[2(D-1)]^{2 n-2 k} P_{D-1}(0,2 n-2 k) . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote with $G_{D}(\mathbf{r}, z ; \alpha)$ the Green's function for the lattice defined by the single-step probabilities (21) (so that $G_{D}(r, z ; 1)=G_{D}(r, z)$, and $G_{D}(r, z ; 0)=G_{D-1}(r, z)$ ): if one multiplies (23) times $z^{2 n}$ and sums over $n$ one obtains
$G_{D}(0,2(D-1+\alpha) z ; \alpha)=$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\alpha^{2 k}}{(k!)^{2}} \frac{(2 n)!}{(2 n-2 k)!}[2(D-1)]^{2 n-2 k} P_{D-1}(0,2 n-2 k) z^{2 n}= \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{2 k}}{(k!)^{2}} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{(2 n)!}{(2 n-2 k)!}[2(D-1)]^{2 n-2 k} P_{D-1}(0,2 n-2 k) z^{2 n} \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(2 n)!}{(2 n-2 k)!} z^{2 n}=z^{2 k} \frac{d^{2 k}}{d z^{2 k}}\left(z^{2 n}\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

(25) becomes:

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{D}(0,2(D-1+\alpha) z ; \alpha)= \\
& \quad=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{2 k}}{(k!)^{2}} z^{2 k} \frac{d^{2 k}}{d z^{2 k}}\left[z^{2 k} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty}[2(D-1)]^{2 n-2 k} P_{D-1}(0,2 n-2 k) z^{2 n-2 k}\right]= \\
& \quad=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha z)^{2 k}}{(k!)^{2}} \frac{d^{2 k}}{d z^{2 k}}\left[z^{2 k} G_{D-1}(0,2(D-1) z)\right] \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

and, once again, if $\alpha=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{D}(0,2 D z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{2 k}}{(k!)^{2}} \frac{d^{2 k}}{d z^{2 k}}\left[z^{2 k} G_{D-1}(0,2(D-1) z)\right] \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\mathbf{r} \neq \mathbf{0}$ one obtains, by similar manipulations, the following results:
a. if $r$ is even (i.e. $\sum_{i=1}^{D} x_{i}=2 L$ ) then

$$
\begin{align*}
& {[2(D-1+\alpha)]^{2 n} P_{D}(r, 2 n ; \alpha)=} \\
& \quad=\sum_{k=x}^{n-L+x} \frac{\alpha^{2 k-x}}{k!(k-x)!} \frac{(2 n)!}{(2 n-2 k+x)!}[2(D-1)]^{2 n-2 k+x} P_{D-1}\left(r^{\prime}, 2 n-2 k+x\right) ; \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

and
b. if $r$ is odd (i.e. $\sum_{i=1}^{D} x_{i}=2 L-1$ ) then

$$
[2(D-1+\alpha)]^{2 n+1} P_{D}(r, 2 n+1 ; \alpha)=
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\sum_{k=x}^{n-L+x+1} \frac{\alpha^{2 k-x}}{k!(k-x)!} \frac{(2 n+1)!}{(2 n+1-2 k+x)!}[2(D-1)]^{2 n+1-2 k+x} P_{D-1}\left(r^{\prime}, 2 n+1-2 k+x\right), \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{D}\right)$ is a $D$-dimensional lattice vector as before, $r^{\prime}=$ $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{D-1}\right)$ is a ( $\mathrm{D}-1$ )-dimensional lattice vector, and $x \equiv\left|x_{D}\right|$. The Green's functions are related by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{D}(r, 2(D-1+\alpha) z ; \alpha)=\sum_{k=x}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha z)^{2 k-x}}{k!(k-x)!} \frac{d^{2 k-x}}{d z^{2 k-x}}\left[z^{2 k-x} G_{D-1}\left(r^{\prime}, 2(D-1) z\right)\right], \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

which generalizes (27) and, if $\alpha=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{D}(r, 2 D z)=\sum_{k=x}^{\infty} \frac{z^{2 k-x}}{k!(k-x)!} \frac{d^{2 k-x}}{d z^{2 k-x}}\left[z^{2 k-x} G_{D-1}\left(r^{\prime}, 2(D-1) z\right)\right], \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

which generalizes (28).

## 4. An application to the average number of distinct sites visited by a random walker.

The average number of distinct lattice sites visited by a random walker is an important statistics, relevant to trapping problems (see e.g. [5] and [8]): if $S_{n}$ denotes the number of distict sites visited after n steps on a lattice without traps, and if c denotes the concentration of traps, then it is easy to see that the probability that the random walker survives trapping after $n$ steps is (with the assumptions that traps are perfect absorbers and that the origin may also be a trap):

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}=\left\langle(1-c)^{S_{n}}\right\rangle \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the average is over all walks of $n$ steps on the lattice without traps, and over all trap configurations. The calculation of these survival probabilities is a difficult mathematical problem which has attracted the attention of many researchers [8,9]; however it has been argued [8] that for very small concentrations the Rosenstock approximation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n} \approx(1-c)^{<S_{n}>} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a sufficiently good approximation of (33), and therefore one may turn to the $<\mathrm{S}_{n}>$ 's to estimate the survival probabilities.

Montroll and Weiss [10] showed that the generating function of the $\left\langle S_{n}>\right.$ 's is related to the Green's function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{D}(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\langle S_{n}\right\rangle z^{n}=\frac{1}{(1-z)^{2} G_{D}(0, z)} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, using (20):

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{1}(z)= & \frac{1}{1-z} \sqrt{\frac{1+z}{1-z}}=1+2 z+\frac{5}{2} z^{2}+3 z^{3}+\frac{27}{8} z^{4}+\frac{15}{4} z^{5}+\ldots= \\
& =1+2 z+2.5 z^{2}+3 z^{3}+3.375 z^{4}+3.75 z^{5}+\ldots \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, if one takes a simple random walk on a 2-dimensional lattice with single step probabilities

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{x}=\frac{1}{2(1+\alpha)}, \quad \text { and } \quad p_{y}=\frac{\alpha}{2(1+\alpha)} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

one can use (27) to find an approximation for the Green function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{2}(0, z ; \alpha)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-z^{2}}}-\frac{z^{2}}{\left(1-z^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} \alpha+\frac{z^{2}\left(8+z^{2}\right)}{4\left(1-z^{2}\right)^{5 / 2}} \alpha^{2}-\frac{z^{2}\left(12+12 z^{2}+z^{4}\right)}{4\left(1-z^{2}\right)^{7 / 2}} \alpha^{3}+\ldots \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then, from (35), after some tedious algebra, one obtains the generating function of the $\left\langle S_{n}\right\rangle$ 's for an anisotropic 2-dimensional walk:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{2}(z ; \alpha)=\frac{1}{1-z} \sqrt{\frac{1+z}{1-z}}+\frac{z^{2}}{(1-z)^{2} \sqrt{1-z^{2}}} \alpha+\frac{z^{2}\left(3 z^{2}-8\right)}{4(1-z)^{2}\left(1-z^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} \alpha^{2}+\ldots \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

I have expanded $\mathrm{S}_{2}(\mathrm{z} ; \alpha)$, and the results of the expansion are compared in the figure with data from a Montecarlo program for 2 -dimensional simple random-walks with $\alpha$ $=0.05$. The figure can be better understood recalling a Tauberian theorem ([11] and [5]) which relates the large $n$ behaviour of the $\left\langle S_{n}>\right.$ 's to the divergence near $z=1$ :
(39) shows that higher correction terms have an increasingly divergent behaviour near $\mathrm{z}=1$, so that higher order corrections become more and more important for large n's.


Figure: The average number of distinct sites visited by simple random-walks on 2 dimensional anisotropic lattices (with $\alpha=0.05$, see text) vs. the step number $n$. The data points have been joined with straight segments for greater clarity: the solid curve joins the data points obtained from a Montecarlo simulation ( $10^{5}$ random-walks have been generated), the dashed curve below the Montecarlo data shows $<S_{n}>$ for the 1dimensional simple random-walk, while the upper curves are obtained from (39), retaining terms respectively up to first order in $\alpha$ (dashed-dotted curve), to second order (short dashes) and to third order (dots).

## 5. Conclusions.

I have shown recurrence formulas for the probabilities $P_{D}(r, n)$ and the lattice Green's functions $G_{D}(r, z)$ : the concept of dimensional recurrence for cubic lattices is
a natural one, and in the past other authors have proven similar formulas which display recurrence in integral forms (see e.g. [7] and [12]). However, I think that the present approach has two merits: the first is that it yields useful approximations for anisotropic lattices (as shown in section 4). The other is that it points to a rather direct way of obtaining the Green's functions from microscopic bases. While integral methods (like those presented in $[12,13]$ ) have given a deep understanding of the boson propagators (10) at least for some lattices, they have not had the same success with propagators of fermionic fields on lattices [14]; on the other hand random-walk Montecarlo methods have been successfully used to compute propagators for lattice QCD [3,4,14], and one may hope to formalize these results and obtain analytical information from combinatorial approaches similar to the present one.

I wish to end this Letter with a remark on the structure of the recurrence formulas: for large n's $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{D}}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{n})$ is well approximated by the gaussian distribution (19): if the single-step probabilities are as in (20), this gaussian is "compressed" along the Dth axis and has a disk-like shape. Consider now the assignment $p_{i}=\alpha^{\prime} p$ for $\mathrm{i}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{D}-1$ and $p_{D}=p$, then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}=\frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{2\left(1+(\mathrm{D}-1) \alpha^{\prime}\right)} \quad \text { for } \mathrm{i}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{D}-1 \text {, and } \quad \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{D}}=\frac{1}{2\left(1+(\mathrm{D}-1) \alpha^{\prime}\right)} \text {, } \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and one can find recurrence formulas just as before. However it is not necessary to work them out explicitly, because the substitution $\alpha^{\prime} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\alpha}$ changes (32) into (20), and therefore the recurrence formula for Green's functions becomes:
$G^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\left(r, 2\left(1+(D-1) \alpha^{\prime}\right) z ; \alpha^{\prime}\right)=G_{D}(r, 2(D-1+\alpha) z ; \alpha)=$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\sum_{k=x}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha z)^{2 k-x}}{k!(k-x)!} \frac{d^{2 k-x}}{d z^{2 k-x}}\left[z^{2 k-x} G_{D-1}\left(r^{\prime}, 2(D-1) z\right)\right] \\
& =\sum_{k=x}^{\infty} \frac{(z / \alpha)^{2 k-x}}{k!(k-x)!} \frac{d^{2 k-x}}{d z^{2 k-x}}\left[z^{2 k-x} G_{D-1}\left(r^{\prime}, 2(D-1) z\right)\right] \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

where $G_{D}^{\prime}$ is the Green's function defined by (32) and $G_{D}$ is defined as in (30). Now $\alpha^{\prime}=1$ gives $G_{D}(r, 2 D z)$, while $G_{D}^{\prime}\left(r, 2\left(1+(D-1) \alpha^{\prime}\right) z ; \alpha^{\prime}\right)=G_{1}(x, 2 z)$ if $\alpha^{\prime}=0$. The gaussian (19) is now "elongated" along the D-th axis and if $\alpha^{\prime} \rightarrow 0$ it represents an "almost" one-dimensional diffusion process. The latter limit is important in some lattice formulations of gauge theories where space is "latticized" while time is "continuous" [14].
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