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## Abstract

The classical Cover results on linear separability of points in $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{d}}$ are a milestone in neural network theory. Nevertheless they are not valid for digital input networks because in this case the points are not in general position being vertices of a d dimensional hypercube. I show here that for large $d$ all Cover findings can be extended to this case. I also show that for $\left.\mathrm{n}<\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{d}+1)^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)$ the number of linear separations of n random hypercube vertices tends to that of n points in general position.

Feed-forward neural networks have frequently solicited studies on geometrical properties of their input space.

The values of the $d$ input neurons can be thought as coordinates of $d$ dimensional space $R^{d}$ and then the set of all possible inputs is a subset of $R^{d}$ (the pattern space). In the frequent case of digital inputs ( 0,1 or $\pm 1$ ) the pattern space shrinks to the set of the vertices of the $d$ dimensional hypercube $Q^{d} \subset R^{d}$.

The seminal Cover paper ${ }^{1}$ [1] showed many interesting properties for sets of n points in general position in $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{d}}$. The points are in general position if any $k$-tuple ( $k \leq d+1$ ) of them is linearly independent.

Cover showed that the probability $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{d})$ that n random points in general position in $R^{d}$ are linearly separable is ${ }^{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(n, d)=\frac{\text { number of linear separations }}{\text { total number of separations }}=\frac{2 \sum_{k=0}^{d}\binom{n-1}{k}}{2^{n}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]From this formula Cover derive all of his interesting results directly applicable to one layer feed-forward neural networks (perceptrons). The more important are (all for $\mathrm{d} \rightarrow \infty$ ):

- the probability of linear separability of $n$ random points falls to 0 when $\mathrm{n}>2(\mathrm{~d}+1)$

$$
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{~d}) \rightarrow \Phi(-\mathrm{x}) \quad \text { for } \mathrm{d} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { and } \mathrm{n}=2(\mathrm{~d}+1)+\mathrm{x} \sqrt{2(\mathrm{~d}+1)}
$$

where $\Phi(-x)$ is the cumulative normal distribution;

- the perceptron "capacity" is $2(\mathrm{~d}+1)$ i.e. two random patterns per weight;
- the probability of "non ambiguous generalization" $\rightarrow 0$ if $n<2(d+1)$ where n is the number of patterns already "learned" by the network.

If the pattern space is the set of vertices of $Q^{d}$ (a very common situation in neural networks) (1) and all subsequent results are no more valid. This happens because the points are usually not in general position ${ }^{1}$.

In what follows I show that for the identically defined probability $H(n, d)$ that n random vertices of $\mathrm{Q}^{\mathrm{d}}$ are linearly separable holds the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{~d}) \rightarrow \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{~d}) \quad \text { when } \mathrm{d} \rightarrow \infty \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

that extends (1) and related results to subsets of vertices of $Q^{d}$ when $d \rightarrow \infty$ (the demonstration is similar to that used by Füredi in [4]).

Let $C_{g p}(n, d)$ and $C(n, d)$ be the number of linear separations of a set $\Pi_{n}$ of $n$ points in $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{d}}$ respectively with and without the hypothesis of general position. Füredi [4] obtains the following bounds from the geometrical theorem of Winder [5]

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{g p}(n, d)-\sum_{k=2}^{d+1} a_{k}\left(\Pi_{n}, d\right) \leq C(n, d) \leq C_{g p}(n, d) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{k}\left(\Pi_{n}, d\right)$ is the number of linear dependent $k$-tuples of points of the set $\Pi_{n}$.
To pass from (3) to the probabilities of (1) and (2) we have to average the quantities $C(n, d)$ and $a_{k}\left(\Pi_{n}, d\right)$ over all the possible $\Pi_{n}$ and then to divide by the number of possible partitions i.e. $2^{n}$. With the hypothesis that the $n$ points are vertices of $Q^{d}$ we have $\binom{2^{d}}{n}$ possible choices for the set $\Pi_{n}$ so (3) gives

1 The d dimensional hypercube is a highly symmetric figure where for example no 2 d points in general position exist or where all points with a given number of 1 's lay on just one hyperplane.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{~d})-\frac{2 \sum_{\Pi_{\mathrm{n}}} \sum_{\mathrm{k}=2}^{\mathrm{d}+1} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}\left(\Pi_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{~d}\right)}{2^{\mathrm{n}}\binom{2^{\mathrm{d}}}{\mathrm{n}}} \leq \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{~d}) \leq \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{~d}) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quantity
$\frac{\sum_{\Pi_{n}} a_{k}\left(\Pi_{n}, d\right)}{\binom{2^{d}}{n}\binom{n}{k}}$
is, by definition, the probability that k points out of the n are not in general position. Since the points are vertices of $Q^{d}$ this probability is bounded by the probability that a $(\mathrm{d}+1) \mathrm{x}(\mathrm{d}+1)$ random $\pm 1$ matrix is singular and this probability is known [6] to go as $O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d+1}}\right)$ when $\mathrm{d} \rightarrow \infty$ so we have

$$
\frac{\sum_{a_{k}}\left(\Pi_{n}, d\right)}{\binom{\Pi_{n}}{n}} \leq\binom{ n}{k} o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d+1}}\right) \quad \text { when } d \rightarrow \infty
$$

with this relation, observing that all quantities are positive, (4) gives

$$
P(n, d)-O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d+1}}\right) \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{d+1}\binom{n}{k}}{2^{n-1}} \leq H(n, d) \leq P(n, d)
$$

and being the fraction limited between 0 and 1 for every n this proves (2).

A similar argument can be used to study the number of linear separations of vertices of an hypercube ${ }^{1}$. It is intuitive that for n random hypercube vertices two different cases exist. If $n \ll d$ hypercube symmetries are irrelevant and the number of linear separations will equal that of $n$ points in general position while if $\mathrm{n} \approx 2^{\mathrm{d}}$ symmetries play a crucial role diminishing the number of linear separations. In what follows I prove a condition that n has to satisfy (in the large d limit) to remain in the case where hypercube symmetries are marginal.

[^1]Starting from (3) we obtain

$$
1-\frac{\sum_{\Pi_{n}} \sum_{k=2}^{d+1} a_{k}\left(\Pi_{n}, d\right)}{C_{g p}(n, d)\binom{2^{d}}{n}} \leq \frac{\langle C(n, d)>}{C_{g p^{(n, d)}}} \leq 1
$$

where $\langle\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{d})\rangle$ is the average value of $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{d})$. Using the definition of $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{gp}}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{d})$ (1) and (5)

$$
1-O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d+1}}\right) \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{d+1}\binom{n}{k}}{\sum_{k=0}^{d}\binom{n-1}{k}} \leq \frac{<C(n, d)>}{C_{g p}(n, d)} \leq 1
$$

and from the asymptotic properties of this fraction for $n>2(\mathrm{~d}+1)$ and $\mathrm{d} \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$
1-\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{\mathrm{n}}{(\mathrm{~d}+1)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right) \leq \frac{\langle\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{~d})\rangle}{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{gp}}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{~d})} \leq 1
$$

that proves that if $\mathrm{n}<\mathrm{O}\left((\mathrm{d}+1)^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)$ the average number of separating hyperplanes of $n$ vertices of $Q^{d}$ tends to $C_{g p}(n, d)$.

All this shows that as long as $\mathrm{n}<\mathrm{O}\left((\mathrm{d}+1)^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)$ while $\mathrm{d} \rightarrow \infty$ hypercube symmetries are not important for the average number of separating hyperplancs. From this follows that the probability of linear separability around $n=2(d+1)$ is not altered by hypercube symmetries. Both these properties derive from the result that the probability of a dxd binary matrix being singular goes as $O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}\right)$ when $\mathrm{d} \rightarrow \infty$.

A final word of caution about the hypothesis of randomness in the choice of the n points that underlies all these results. In real life cases the patterns are highly correlated among themselves and these results do not apply directly.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ For some more recent works with a similar approach see e. g. [2] and [3].
    2 This is the probability that exists an hyperplane separating a random partition of the n points in two sets. The n points are supposed to be in general position in $R^{d}$. For more precise definitions see [1].

[^1]:    1 In the past a lot of effort has been dedicated to this problem i.e. to count the number of thresholding functions (sec e.g. [5]).

