ISTITUTO NAZIONALE DI FISICA NUCLEARE

Sezione di Catania

INFN/BE-83/6 29 Settembre 1983

E. Recami, W. A. Rodrigues Jr. and P. Smrz:

SOME APPLICATIONS OF NON-HERMITIAN OPERATORS IN QUANTUM MECHANICS AND QUANTUM FIELD THEORY

Servizio Documentazione dei Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare Sezione di Catania

> INFN/BE-83/6 29 Settembre 1983

SOME APPLICATIONS OF NON-HERMITIAN OPERATORS IN QUANTUM MECHANICS AND QUANTUM FIELD THEORY (\star)

E. Recami⁽⁺⁾, W.A. Rodrigues Jr.^(o) and P. Smrz^(x) Istituto Dipartimentale di Fisica dell'Università di Catania

ABSTRACT

Due to the possibility of rephrasing it in terms of Lie-admissible algebras, some work done in the past in collaboration with A. Agodi, M. Baldo and V. S. Olkhovsky is here reported. Such work led to the introduction of non-Hermitian operators in (classical and relativistic) quantum theory. We deal in particular with: (i) the association of unstable states (decaying "Resonances") with the eigenvectors of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians; (ii) the problem of the four-position operators for relativistic spin-zero particles.

^(*) To appear in the "Proceedings of the First Workshop on Hadronic Mechanics", Cam bridge, Mass., Aug. 1983. Work partially supported by INFN, CNR, MPI and IILA.

⁽⁺⁾ Also: INFN, Sezione di Catania, and CSFN e SdM, Catania.

⁽o) On leave from Instituto de Matematica, UNICAMP, Campinas, Brazil.

⁽x) On leave of absence from the Departement of Mathematics, University of Newcastle, Newcastle, N. S. W., Australia.

PART 1 - UNSTABLE STATES AND NON-HERMITIAN HAMILTONIANS.

1.1. - INTRODUCTION

This first Part is based on work done in collaboration with A. Agodi and M. Baldo(1).

In quantum mechanics the "resonance" peaks are generally described as corresponding to unstable states (remember e.g. Schwinger's⁽²⁾ approach). The present attempt proceeds as follows: (i) singling out <u>one</u> state $|\phi\rangle$ in the state space; (ii) finding out the effect of the (internal, virtual) state $|\phi\rangle$ on the transition-amplitude; (iii) finding, in particular, the necessary conditions for $|\phi\rangle$ to be connected with a Resonance in the cross-section. In this way we shall associate the "resonant states" with the eigenvectors of a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian (for simplicity, a "<u>quasi</u> self-adjoint" Hamiltonian), such eigenvectors being shown to decay in time correctly. We shall adopt the formalism introduced by Akhieser and Gladsman⁽³⁾, by Lifshitz, by Galinsky and Migdal⁽⁴⁾ and by Agodi et al.⁽⁵⁾.

Chosen a state $|\phi\rangle$, let us define the projectors

$$P \equiv |\phi\rangle < \phi |; \qquad Q \equiv 1 - P.$$
 (1)

1.2. - PRELIMINARY CASE: TIME-DEPENDENT DESCRIPTION OF POTENTIAL SCATTERING

Let us preliminarly consider the time-dependent description of potential scatter ing. Quantity V be the potential operator. In the limiting case of plane-waves, the scattering amplitude writes

$$T(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') = \langle \mathbf{k}' | V | \mathbf{k} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{k}' | VG(\mathbf{E}^{+}) V | \mathbf{k} \rangle$$
(2a)

with

$$G(E^+) = (E^+ - H)^{-1}; \quad E^- = E^+_{-1}i\varepsilon.$$
 (2b)

Chosen the exploring vector $|\phi\rangle$ and using definitions (1), we have

$$H = H + H;$$
 (3a)

$$\overset{0}{H} \equiv QHQ; \qquad \overset{1}{H} \equiv PHP + PHQ + QHP.$$
 (3b)

By introducing the scattering states $|\psi\rangle$ due to H

$$\psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{(+)} > = \left[1 + \frac{1}{\mathbf{E}^{+} - \mathbf{H}} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{H} \end{pmatrix} \right] |\mathbf{k}\rangle , \qquad (4)$$

we obtain

$$S(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') \equiv \langle \psi_{\mathbf{k}'}^{(-)} | \psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{(+)} \rangle = \langle \psi_{\mathbf{k}'}^{(-)} | \psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{(+)} \rangle - 2\pi \mathbf{i} \cdot \delta(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{k}'} - \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{k}}) \cdot \langle \psi_{\mathbf{k}'}^{(-)} | \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{k}} - \nabla \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{k}'} \rangle + \left[\psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{(+)} \right] \cdot \left[\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{k}} - \nabla \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{k}'} \right] \cdot \left[\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{k}'} - \nabla \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{k}$$

where the first addendum in the r.h.s. of eq.(5) (let us call it A) is the contribution coming from processes developing entirely in the subspace onto which Q projects, whilst the second addendum (B) is contributed by processes going through the exploring state $| \not D >$ onto which P projects. In other words, the processes with $| \not D >$ as intermediate state correspond to the term

- 3 -

$$\left[\delta\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{k}'}-\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{k}}\right]^{-1}\cdot\mathbf{B}=-2\pi\mathbf{i} \quad \frac{\langle\psi_{\mathbf{k}'}^{0(-)}|\mathbf{H}|\phi\rangle \langle\phi|\mathbf{H}|\psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{0(+)}\rangle}{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(+)}-\langle\phi|\mathbf{H}|\phi\rangle-\langle\phi|\mathbf{W}^{0}(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{k}}^{+})|\phi\rangle}; \quad (6a)$$

$$W^{(z)} \equiv PHQ \frac{1}{z - QHQ} QHP.$$
 (6b)

Our problem is: under what conditions one (or more) Resonances are actually associated with the chosen $\mid \phi >$?

Let us notice, in particular, that if $E_{\not p} \equiv \langle \phi | H | \phi \rangle - Re \langle \phi | W^{\not p}(E^+) | \phi \rangle$ and $\Gamma_{\not p} = Im \langle \phi | W^{\not p}(E^+) | \phi \rangle$ are smooth functions of E, then B gets just the "Breit and Wigner" form:

$$B \simeq -2\pi i \frac{\langle \psi_{\mathbf{k}'}^{(-)} | HPH | \psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{(+)} \rangle}{E - E_{\beta} + i\Gamma_{\beta}}$$

1.3. - CASE OF CENTRAL POTENTIAL AND SPIN-FREE PARTICLES

Let us choose the angular-momentum representation. If $|\phi\rangle$ is assumed to be in particular invariant under O(3), then both terms in which S was split are diagonal. If $\delta_{\mathcal{L}}^{0}$ are the phase-shifts due to QHQ and μ is the reduced mass, then

$$S_{\ell}(k) \equiv \exp\left[2i\delta_{\ell}(k)\right] = \exp\left[2i\delta_{\ell}(k)\right] \cdot F_{\ell}(k)$$
 (7a)

with

$$F_{\ell}(k) = 1 - \frac{2\pi i \mu}{\pi^{2} k} \frac{\left| < \phi \right| H \left| \psi_{k\ell m}^{(+)} > \right|^{2}}{E^{+} - <\phi \left| H \right| \phi > - <\phi \left| W^{\phi}(E^{+}) \right| \phi >$$
(7b)

Let us observe that the phase-shift of $F_{\ell}(k)$ crosses the value $\frac{1}{2}\pi$ (with positive slope) when:

$$F_{Q}(k) = -1$$
. (8)

The conditions for a Resonance to appear are particularly transparent for $\ell = 0$:

$$F_{o}(k) = \frac{E - E_{\phi}(k) - i\lambda_{o}(k)}{E - E_{\phi}(k) + i\lambda_{o}(k)}, \qquad (9a)$$

when

$$\lambda_{0}(k) = -Im < \beta |W^{\beta}(E^{+})| \beta > = |<\beta|H| \psi_{k00}^{(+)} > |^{2}$$
(9b)

is positive-definite. Namely, the condition $F_{o}(k) = -1$ yields

$$|1 - S_{0}(k)|^{2} = 4\cos^{2}\frac{\delta}{\delta_{0}}, \qquad (8')$$

with the supplementary conditions $\lambda_0(\mathbf{k}) \neq 0$; $\cos \delta_0 \neq 0$. When $\cos \delta_0 \approx 1$ the scattering due to QHQ is negligible, i. e. the scattering proceeds entirely via the intermediate for mation of the (quasi-bound) state $|\phi\rangle$; and the possible resonant effects are really related to $|\phi\rangle$. Of course $\cos \delta_0 \approx 1$ when, at the resonance $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_{\phi}$; $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{k}) = -1$, it is $|\psi_{\mathbf{k}\ell\mathbf{m}}^{(\pm)}\rangle \approx |\mathbf{k}\ell\mathbf{m}\rangle$.

Notice that with every fixed $|\phi\rangle$ <u>a series</u> of Resonances (also for different values of ℓ) may be a priori associated, if they are not destroyed by the δ_0 behaviour.

1.4. - RESONANCE DEFINITION

It is essential to recognize that the "resonance condition" F (k) = -1 may be $written^{(1)}$

$$1 - \alpha(\mathbf{k}, \ell) < \beta_{\ell} | \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{E}^{+}) | \beta_{\ell} > = 0$$
(10a)

with

$$\alpha(\mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\ell}) \equiv \frac{\mathrm{i}\pi\mu}{\hbar^{2}\mathbf{k}} \Big| < \beta_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \Big| \operatorname{H} \Big| \psi_{\mathbf{k}\boldsymbol{\ell}\mathbf{m}}^{0(+)} > \Big|^{2}$$

Let us now study the more general equation

$$\begin{cases} 1 - \lambda < \phi_{\ell} | G(z) | \phi_{\ell} > = 0 , \\ \text{with } z, \lambda \text{ complex numbers.} \end{cases}$$
(11)

- 5 -

Of course, a Resonance will appear at $\sim {\rm Re}\,z\,$ if $z\,$ is near the real axis and if

$$\lambda \simeq \alpha(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{\ell})$$
,

both satisfying eq. (11).

If we introduce now the non-Hermitian Hamiltonian-operator

$$\mathcal{H} \equiv H_{+} \lambda P$$
; $\lambda \text{ complex}$, (12)

whose "resolvent operator" is

$$\mathscr{G}(z) \equiv \frac{1}{z - \mathscr{H}} , \qquad (12')$$

then eq. (11) becomes

$$\frac{\langle \phi_{\ell} | G(z) | \phi_{\ell} \rangle}{\langle \phi_{\ell} | \mathscr{G}(z) | \phi_{\ell} \rangle} ; \qquad (13)$$

in other words, studying the (necessary) conditions for Resonance-appearing is just equivalent to find out the poles in the diagonal elements of the "resolvent" G-matrix, i.e. the eigenvalues of the <u>quasi self-adjoint</u> operator \mathcal{H} . Notice that, since

$$\mathcal{G} = \mathbf{G} + \mathbf{G} \quad \frac{\lambda \mathbf{P}}{1 - \lambda < \dot{p}_{\ell} |\mathbf{G}| \dot{p}_{\ell} > \mathbf{G}} , \qquad (\mathrm{Im} \, \lambda > 0)$$

the difference between the spectra of H and \mathscr{H} is just the presence of complex eigenvalues (corresponding to the solution of our "condition-equation" (13)).

Therefore, in our framework the "resonant (decaying) state" $|\psi\rangle$ is expected to be an eigenvector of \mathcal{H} (notice that it does <u>not</u> coincide with the state $|\phi\rangle$ which is not unstable!), corresponding to the complex energy \mathscr{E} .

1. 5. - APPLICATIONS

Let us confine ourselves to the case & = 0, and rewrite the non-Hermitian (quasi self-adjoint) Hamiltonian as

$$\mathscr{H} \equiv H_{+} i \alpha_{k} | \phi \ge \le \phi |$$
; $\alpha_{k} \equiv -i \alpha(k, 0)$ (14a)

when

$$\nabla_{\not p} \equiv i \alpha_k \left| \not p \ge \langle \not p \right| \tag{14b}$$

is anti-Hermitian. We shall therefore write

$$(H - \mathscr{E}) | \Psi \rangle = - \nabla_{\not p} | \Psi \rangle \equiv - | \not p \rangle i \alpha_{k}^{} \langle \not p | \Psi \rangle , \qquad (15)$$

which immediately yields for the eigenvalues the "dispersion-type relation" $\begin{bmatrix} \mathscr{E} = \mathscr{E}_{n} \end{bmatrix}$:

$$1 + i \leq \beta \left| \frac{1}{H - \mathscr{E}} \right| \beta > \alpha_{k} = 0 , \qquad (16)$$

and for the eigenvectors the explicit expression

$$\left| \Psi \right\rangle = - \langle \phi \left| \Psi \right\rangle i \alpha_{k} \frac{1}{H - \mathscr{E}} \left| \phi \right\rangle , \qquad (17)$$

where $\langle \beta | \Psi \rangle$ is a normalization constant. Notice that to solve eq. (16) we do not need knowing a_k , i.e. the scattering states due to QHQ, since fortunately <u>at the resonances</u> it is $\begin{bmatrix} E \equiv E_R \end{bmatrix}$:

$$\alpha_{\mathbf{k}} \propto \left| < \beta \right| \mathbf{H} \left| \psi_{\mathbf{k}00}^{(+)} > \right|^{2} = \left| < \beta \right| \psi_{\mathbf{E}}^{(+)} > - < \beta |\mathbf{k}00 > \right|^{-2}.$$

Notice moreover that the present approach, a priori, allows distinguishing between true resonances and other effects.

In Ref.(1) the application was considered to the case of scattering by a spherical--well potential $U(r) = U_0 \Theta(a - r)$, and as exploring states the class was adopted of the normalized Laurentian wave-packets (good for low energies):

$$\langle k00 | \rangle = \sqrt{2b} \frac{1}{k^2 + b^2} \iff \langle \mathbf{r} \rangle \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{b}{2\pi}} \frac{\exp[-br]}{r}$$

By integration, for low entering energies $(k^2 << 2 m U_0)$ one gets one equation, whose real and imaginary parts forward a system of two equations. The latters individuate $|\phi\rangle$, i.e. the parameter b, for which a series of (true) Resonances arises. These Resonances are expected to appear for $[k^2 = 2mE; K^2 = 2m(E+V_0)]$:

$$\cos Ka = 0 \implies Ka = (n + \frac{1}{2})\pi$$
.

The system of equations is rather complicated (even when the resonance width is $\gamma < k_0$). But the first equation does not contain γ and yields b. For instance, for n = 0 one gets a unique solution (ab ≈ 0.69).

1. 6. - DECAY OF THE UNSTABLE STATE

We are more interested in the decay in time of the unstable state $|\psi>$:

$$<\psi | \psi_{t} > \equiv <\psi | U_{t} | \psi > \equiv <\psi | \exp \left[-i\mathcal{O}t\right] | \psi > .$$
(18)

If we assume, as usual, $\mathcal{O} = H$, then

$$\langle \psi | \psi_{t} \rangle \simeq \int_{0}^{\infty} dE |\langle \psi | \psi_{E}^{(+)} \rangle |^{2} \exp \left[-iEt\right]$$
 (19)

since the bound-states do not contribute for large t. Moreover, let us remember that

$$|\psi\rangle = -i\alpha_k < \phi |\psi\rangle \frac{1}{H - \mathscr{E}} |\phi\rangle.$$

Therefore

$$\left\| < \psi_{\mathrm{E}}^{(+)} \right| \psi > \left|^{2} = \frac{\left| \alpha_{\mathrm{k}} \right|^{2}}{\left(\operatorname{Re} \mathscr{E} - \mathrm{E} \right)^{2} - \left(\operatorname{Im} \mathscr{E} \right)^{2}} C; \quad C \equiv \left| < \psi_{\mathrm{E}}^{(+)} \right| \mathbb{P} \left| \psi > \right|^{2}.$$

The integral (19) can be evaluated following Ref. (4). The expression C contains denominators that - analytically extended - produce <u>one</u> pole in $E = \mathscr{E}$. If in the strip Im $\mathscr{E} < ImE < 0$ no other singularities arise from the remaining factors, then we obtain the exponential-type decay

$$\langle \psi | \psi_{t} \rangle = (C + Dt) \exp \left[- (i E_{o} t + \gamma_{o} t) \right]$$
 (20)

with $E_0 \equiv \operatorname{Re}\mathscr{E}$; $\gamma_0 \equiv \operatorname{Im}\mathscr{E}$; C and D constants.

More interesting appears, however, the assumption

$$\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{H}$$
, (21)

since in this case our approach does surely possess a "Lie-admissible" structure⁽⁶⁾ (due to the fact that the time-evolution operator with \mathcal{H} is not unitary). In such a case one would simply get

$$\langle \psi | \psi_{t} \rangle = \overline{K} \exp \left[i E_{0} t + \gamma_{0} t \right]$$
 (22)

with $\overline{K} \equiv \langle \psi | \psi \rangle$. But in this case the whole approach ought to be carefully rephrased in "Lie-admissible" terms (otherwise, e.g., all states would seem to be decaying).

PART 2 - ON FOUR-POSITION OPERATORS IN Q.F.T.

2.1. - THE KLEIN-GORDON CASE: THREE-POSITION OPERATORS

The usual position-operators, being Hermitian, are known to possess real eigen values: i. e., they yield a <u>point-like</u> localization. J. M. Jauch showed, however, that a point-like localization would be in contrast with "unimodularity". In the relativistic case, moreover, phenomena so as the pair production forbid a localization with precision better than one Compton wave-length. The eigenvalues of a realistic position-ope rator \hat{z} are therefore expected to represent space <u>regions</u>, rather than points. This can be obtained only making recourse to non-Hermitian position-operators \hat{z} (a priori, one can make recourse either to non-normal operators with commuting components, or to normal operators with non-commuting components⁽⁷⁾). Following the spirit of Refs. (7), we are going to show that the mean values of the <u>Hermitian part</u> of \hat{z} will yield a mean (point-like) position⁽⁸⁾, while the mean values of the <u>anti-Hermitian</u> <u>part</u> of \hat{z} will yield the sizes of the localization region⁽⁹⁾.

- 8 -

Let us consider e.g. the case of relativistic spin-zero particles, in natural units and with the metric (+---). The position operator, i $\nabla_{\mathbf{p}}$, is known to be actually non---Hermitian, and may be in itself a good candidate for an <u>extended-type position</u> opera tor. To show this, we want to split⁽⁸⁾ it into its Hermitian and anti-Hermitian parts.

Consider, then, a vector space V of complex differentiable functions on a 3--dimensional phase-space equipped with an inner product defined by $\left[p_0 \equiv \sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m_0^2}\right]$:

$$(\Psi, \phi) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{p}}{\mathbf{p}_{0}} \Psi^{\star}(\mathbf{p}) \Phi(\mathbf{p}) .$$
 (23)

Let the functions in V further satisfy a condition

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{S_{R}} \frac{dS}{P_{0}} \Psi^{\star}(\mathbf{p}) \Psi(\mathbf{p}) = 0, \qquad (24)$$

where the integral is taken over the surface of a sphere of radius R. If $\mathscr{D}: V \rightarrow V$ is a differential operator of degree one, condition (24) allows a definition of the transpose \mathscr{D}^{T} by

$$(\mathscr{D}^{\perp}\Psi, \phi) = (\Psi, \mathscr{D}\phi) \quad \text{for all } \phi, \Psi \in V,$$
 (25)

where \mathscr{D} is changed into \mathscr{D}^{T} , or vice-versa, by means of integration by parts.

This allows further to introduce a <u>dual representation</u> $(\mathscr{D}_1, \mathscr{D}_2)$ of a <u>single</u> operator $\mathscr{D}_1^T + \mathscr{D}_2$ by

$$(\mathscr{D}_{1}\psi, \phi) + (\psi, \mathscr{D}_{2}\phi) = (\psi, (\mathscr{D}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} + \mathscr{D}_{2})\phi).$$
(26)

With such a <u>dual</u> representation it is easy to split any operator into its Hermitian and anti-Hermitian (or skew-Hermitian) parts

$$(\Psi, \mathcal{D}\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \left[(\Psi, \mathcal{D}\phi) + (\mathcal{D}^{\star}\Psi, \phi) \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left[(\Psi, \mathcal{D}\phi) - (\mathcal{D}^{\star}\Psi, \phi) \right].$$
(27)

Here the pair

$$\frac{1}{2} (\mathscr{D}^{\star}, \mathscr{D}) \equiv \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathscr{D}}_{h}$$
(28a)

corresponding to $\frac{1}{2}(\mathscr{D} + \mathscr{D}^{\star T})$, represents the Hermitian part, while

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(-\mathscr{D}^{\mathbf{x}},\mathscr{D}\right) \equiv \widehat{\mathscr{D}}_{\mathbf{a}}$$
(28b)

represents the anti-Hermitian part.

D

Let us apply what precedes to the case of the Klein-Gordon position-operator $\hat{z} = i \nabla_{p}$. When

$$= i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j}$$
(29)

we have^(9,10)

$$\frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{D}^{\star}, \mathcal{D}) = \frac{1}{2}(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{j}}, i\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{j}}) \equiv \frac{i}{2}\frac{\partial(-)}{\partial p_{j}} \equiv \frac{i}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{j}}, \qquad (30a)$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(-\mathcal{D}^{\star},\mathcal{D}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\left(i\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{j}}, i\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{j}}\right) \equiv \frac{i}{2}\frac{\partial(+)}{\partial p_{j}}.$$
(30b)

And the corresponding single operators turn out to be

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathscr{D} + \mathscr{D}^{\star T}\right) = i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{j}} - \frac{i}{2} \frac{p_{j}}{p^{2} + m_{0}^{2}}$$
(31a)

and

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathcal{D} - \mathcal{D}^{\mathbf{X}T}\right) = \frac{i}{2} \frac{p_j}{\mathbf{p}^2 + m_0^2} \qquad (31b)$$

It is noteworthy^(10,9) that operator (31a) is nothing but the usual Newton-Wigner operator, while (31b) has been interpreted^(7,9) as yielding the sizes of the localization-region (an ellipsoid) by means of its average values over the considered <u>wave-packet</u>.

- 10 -

Let us underline that the previous treatment justifies from the mathematical point of view the formalism used in Refs. (8-10): We want to report it briefly here, due to its immediate legibility (its significance being now mathematically clarified by the preced ing approach). In Ref. (8) we split the operator \hat{z} as follows:

$$\hat{z} \equiv i \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial(+)}{\partial \mathbf{p}} , \qquad (32)$$

where $\psi \star \frac{\partial(+)}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \phi \equiv \psi \star \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \mathbf{p}} + \phi \frac{\partial \psi^{\star}}{\partial \mathbf{p}}$, and where we always referred to a suitable space of wave-packets^(10,9). Its Hermitian part^(9,10)

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} \equiv \frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}} , \qquad (33)$$

which was expected to yield an (ordinary) point-like localization, was derived also by writing explicitly

$$(\psi, \mathbf{x} \mathbf{\beta}) = i \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}}{P_0} \Psi(\mathbf{p}) \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{p})$$

and imposing Hermicity, i.e. the reality of the diagonal elements. The calculation yielded

$$\operatorname{Re}(\phi, \mathbf{x} \phi) = \frac{i}{2} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}}{p_0} \, \phi^{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{p}) \, \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \, \phi(\mathbf{p}) \, ,$$

just suggesting to adopt the Lorentz-invariant quantity (33) as Hermitian position operator. Then, integrating by parts (and due to the vanishing of the surface integral) we verified that (23) is equivalent to the ordinary Newton-Wigner operator N-W:

$$\frac{i}{2} \frac{\overleftrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \equiv i \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} - \frac{i}{2} \frac{\mathbf{p}}{\mathbf{p}^2 + m_0^2} \equiv N - W \quad . \tag{34}$$

We were left with the anti-Hermitian part

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \frac{\overline{\partial(+)}}{\partial \mathbf{p}}$$
(35)

whose average values over the considered state (wave-packet) were regarded as yield $ing^{(7,9)}$ the sizes of an ellipsoidal localization-region.

After this digression (eqs. (32)-(35)), let us go back to our present formalism (represented by eqs. (23)-(31)).

In general, the extended-type position operator \hat{z} will give

$$\langle \psi | \hat{z} | \psi \rangle = (\vec{a} + \Delta \vec{a}) + i (\vec{\beta} + \Delta \vec{\beta}),$$
 (36)

where $\Delta \vec{\alpha}$ and $\Delta \vec{\beta}$ are the mean-errors encountered when measuring the point-like position and the sizes of the localization-region, respectively. It is interesting to evalua te the commutators [i, j = 1, 2, 3]:

- 11 -

$$\left[\frac{i}{2} \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial p^{i}}, \frac{1}{2} \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}(+)}{\partial p^{j}}\right] = \frac{i}{2p_{o}^{2}} \left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{2p_{i}p_{j}}{p_{o}^{2}}\right), \qquad (37)$$

wherefrom the noticeable "uncertainty correlations" follow:

$$\Delta \alpha_{i} \Delta \beta_{j} \ge \frac{1}{4} \left| < \frac{1}{p_{o}^{2}} \left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{2p_{i}p_{j}}{p_{o}^{2}} \right) >_{\psi} \right|$$

$$(38)$$

2. 2. - FOUR-POSITION OPERATORS

It is tempting to propose as four-position operator the quantity $\hat{z}^{\mu} = \hat{x}^{\mu} + i\hat{y}^{\mu}$, whose Hermitian (Lorentz-covariant) part can be written:

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}^{\mu} \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial \mathbf{p}_{\mu}} , \qquad (39)$$

to be associated with its corresponding "operator" in four-momentum space:

$$\hat{p}^{\mu} \equiv + \frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\mu}}.$$
(40)

Let us recall the proportionality between the 4-momentum operator and the 4-cur rent density operator in the chronotopical space, and underline then the canonical correspondence (in the 4-position and 4-momentum spaces, respectively) between the "operators" (cf. Sect. 2.1)

(a)
$$m_0 \hat{\varrho} \equiv \hat{p}_0 = \frac{i}{2} \frac{\vec{\partial}}{\partial t}$$
; (c) $\hat{t} = -\frac{i}{2} \frac{\vec{\partial}}{\partial p_0}$;
(b) $m_0 \hat{j} \equiv \hat{p} = -\frac{i}{2} \frac{\vec{\partial}}{\partial r}$; (d) $\hat{x} = \frac{i}{2} \frac{\vec{\partial}}{\partial p}$, (41)

where the four-position "operator" (41c, d) can be regarded as a 4-current density operator in the energy-impulse space⁽⁹⁾. Analogous considerations can be carried on for the anti-Hermitian parts⁽⁹⁾.

2.3. - ON THE TIME-OPERATOR

Let us fix our attention only on the operator for time in the case of (non-relativistic) quantum mechanics. Time, as well as 3-position, sometimes is a parameter, but sometimes is an observable to be represented by an operator. We have shown els<u>e</u> where that in Q. M. the "operator" (41c) - cf. Sect. 2.1 - can be replaced with the "operator"

$$\hat{t} = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial E}$$
(42)

provided that a suitable, subsidiary boundary-condition is imposed on the considered wave-packets⁽¹⁰⁾.

In Q. M., however, the wave-packet space is a space of functions defined only over the interval $0 \le \le \infty$, and not over the whole E-axis. As a consequnce, \hat{t} is Hermitian (and symmetric) but <u>not</u> self-adjoint, and does not allow the identity resolution. In Q. M., therefore, one has to use non-selfadjoint operators⁽¹¹⁾ even for the observable Time. However, even if \hat{t} does not admit true eigenfunctions, nevertheless one succeeds in calculating the <u>average values</u> of \hat{t} over our wave-packets. And this is enough to evaluate the packet time-coordinate, the flight-times, the interaction-durations, the (mean) life-times of metastable states, and so on^(8-10, 12).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Part 1 is based on work done in collaboration with A. Agodi and M. Baldo; the Part 2 mainly on work done in collaboration with V.S. Olkhovsky. The authors are grateful to Professors F. Catara, M. Di Toro and S. Lo Nigro for their kind collaboration. E. R. thanks moreover Prof. R. M. Santilli for a kind invitation to these Workshops. At last, W.A.R. acknowledges a grant CNR/IILA (Istituto Italo-Latino Americano), and P.S. a contribution from INFN, Sezione di Catania.

REFERENCES

- (1) A. Agodi, M. Baldo and E. Recami, Annals of Phys. 77, 157 (1973).
- (2) See e.g. J.S.Schwinger, Annals of Phys. 9, 169 (1960).
- (3) See e.g. N.I. Akhieser and I. M. Gladsman, Theorie der Linearen Operatoren in Hilbert Raum (Akademia Verlag, Berlin, 1954).
- (4) V. M. Galitsky and A. B. Migdal, Soviet Phys. -JETP 34, 96 (1958).
- (5) A. Agodi and E. Eberle, Nuovo Cimento <u>18</u>, 718 (1960); A. Agodi, in Herceg Novi Lectures (1966), and in "Theory of Nuclear Structure" (Trieste Lectures, 1969), p. 879; A. Agodi, F. Catara and M. Di Toro, Annals of Phys. 49, 445 (1968).
- (6) See e.g. R.M. Santilli, Hadronic Journal 2, 1460 (1979).
- (7) See e.g. A. J. Kalnay, Boletin del IMAF (Cordoba) 2, 11 (1966); A. J. Kalnay and B. P. Toledo, Nuovo Cimento A48, 997 (1967); J. A. Gallardo, A. J. Kalnay, B. A. Stec and B. P. Toledo, Nuovo Cimento A48, 1008 (1967); A49, 393 (1967); J. A. Gallardo, A. J. Kalnay and S. H. Risenberg, Phys. Rev. <u>158</u>, 1484 (1967). See also A. Einstein, reprinted in "Einstein: A Centennial Volume", ed. by. A. P. French (Harvard Univ. Press, Cambridge, Mass., 1979).
- (8) E. Recami, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei (Roma) <u>49</u>, 77 (1970). See also M. Baldo and E. Recami, Lett. Nuovo Cimento <u>2</u>, 613 (1969); A. O. Barut and R. Raczka, Theo ry of Group Representations and Applications, 2nd rev. edition (Polish Scient. Pub., Warsaw, 1980), p. 581 folls.
- (9) V. S. Olkhovsky and E. Recami, Lett. Nuovo Cimento 4, 1165 (1970).
- (10) E. Recami, in "The Uncertainty Principle and Foundations of Quantum Mechanics", ed. by W. C. Price and S. S. Chissick (J. Wiley, London, 1977), p. 21; V. S. Olkhov sky, E. Recami and A. J. Gerasimchuk, Nuovo Cimento A22, 263 (1974).
- (11) J. Von Newmann, Mathematischen Grundladen der Quantum Mechanik (Hizzel, Leipzig, 1932).
- (12) See also V.S. Olkhovsky and G.A. Prokopets, Yadernaya Fisika <u>30</u>, 95 (1979);
 V.S. Olkhovsky, L.S. Sokolov and A.K. Zaichenko, Soviet J. Nuclear Phys. <u>9</u>, 114 (1969);
 V.S. Olkhovsky and E. Recami, Nuovo Cimento <u>A53</u>, 610 (1938);
 A63, 814 (1969).