

ISTITUTO NAZIONALE DI FISICA NUCLEARE

Sezione di Trieste

INFN/AE-92/10

9 marzo 1992

G. Calucci

CASIMIR EFFECT FOR MOVING BODIES

CASIMIR EFFECT FOR MOVING BODIES

Giorgio Calucci

Dipartimento di Fisica teorica dell'Università di Trieste, Trieste I 34014
INFN, Sezione di Trieste, Italy

Abstract

The usual presentation of the Casimir effect refers to the presence of forces between uncharged macroscopic bodies due to the vacuum fluctuations. If the macroscopic bodies are put in relative motion, the boundary conditions are continuously changed and this should lead to an emission of quanta out of the vacuum. The rate of emission is estimated in the simplest possible geometrical and kinematical situations, the effect is found to be easily calculable but very small because the macroscopic bodies are always extremely slow with respect to the speed of light. It is however possible that a resonant effect might enhance the process.

1. Introduction

The Casimir effect shows the appealing feature of relating forces acting on macroscopic bodies to typical features of quantum field theory [1]. Although this point of view can be an oversimplification, because the microscopic structure of the conductors is essential in establishing the boundary condition for the e.m. field, it can be kept at least for simple configurations and for low frequencies.

Within this description we can study also a complementary aspect, viz. the effect of a macroscopic motion on the quantum state. To be definite we can consider a plane capacitor with zero point e.m. field inside and then let one of the plates to be moved with respect the other and inquire how the old vacuum is seen in this new condition. Since there will be some mismatch between the vacua some photons shall be found. The macroscopic motions is certainly extremely low with respect to the speed of light, which is the typical speed of the quantum system, so the adiabatic approximation should be fully justified and effective.

The e.m. field shows some complications due to the gauge and polarization degrees of freedom, it may be useful, therefore, to start with a simplified model where these additional aspects are absent and the space is one dimensional and then to turn to the real problem. At the end a short comparison with previous treatments is presented.

2. A toy model

2.1 General features and steady motion

This model is given by a massless-and-spinless field ϕ satisfying the wave equation

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \right) \phi = 0 \quad (2.1)$$

with the boundary conditions

$$\phi(t, 0) = \phi(t, \ell) = 0 \quad (2.2)$$

The Lagrangian, the canonically conjugate momentum, the Hamiltonian are respectively:

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\ell [\dot{\phi}^2 - (\partial_z \phi)^2] dz$$

$$\varpi = \frac{\delta L}{\delta \phi} = \dot{\phi} \quad (2.3)$$

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\ell [\varpi^2 + (\partial_z \phi)^2] dz,$$

The standard quantisation condition is

$$[\phi(t, z), \dot{\phi}(t, z')] = i \delta(z - z') \quad (2.4)$$

The task is now to study the problem on the segment $[0, \ell]$ by considering ℓ a time dependent variable. In this way the field variables acquire a new time dependence through the boundary conditions (eq. 2) and the Hamiltonian gets a further dependence through the integration limit.

The boundary conditions (eq. 2.2) suggest the representation

$$\phi = \sqrt{\frac{2}{l}} \sum_n q_n \sin \pi n z / l, \quad \omega = \sqrt{\frac{2}{l}} \sum_n p_n \sin \pi n z / l \quad (2.5)$$

with the inversion formulae:

$$q_m = \sqrt{\frac{2}{l}} \int_0^l \phi(z) \sin \pi m z / l \, dz$$

$$p_m = \sqrt{\frac{2}{l}} \int_0^l \omega(z) \sin \pi m z / l \, dz. \quad (2.5')$$

The relations (2.5) and (2.5') allows to calculate the explicit time variation of the mode operators q_n and p_n ..

$$\dot{q}_m = \dot{l} \frac{\partial q_m}{\partial l}, \quad \dot{p}_m = \dot{l} \frac{\partial p_m}{\partial l} \quad (2.6)$$

Taking into account the different dependences on l we write

$$\frac{\partial q_m}{\partial l} = -\frac{1}{2l} q_m - \frac{\pi m z}{l^2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{l}} \int_0^l \phi(z) \cos \pi m z / l \, dz + \sqrt{\frac{2}{l}} \phi(l) \sin \pi m$$

The third term is vanishing, precisely owing to the boundary conditions and some calculations, quite standard although lengthy, allow to get the expression:

$$\frac{\partial q_m}{\partial l} = \frac{1}{l} \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{2mn}{m^2 - n^2} (-1)^{m+n} q_m, \quad (2.7)$$

together with the completely analogous one for p .

In the mode representation we have for the Hamiltonian the representation

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_n \left[p_m^2 + (\pi m / l)^2 q_m^2 \right] \quad (2.8)$$

and for the derivative the expression

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial l} = \sum_n \left[p_m \frac{\partial p_m}{\partial l} + \omega_m^2 q_m \frac{\partial q_m}{\partial l} \right] - \frac{2}{l} \sum_m \omega_m^2 \frac{q_m^2}{2}, \quad \omega_m = \frac{\pi m}{l}$$

Wich is reduced to the very simple form

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial \ell} = -\frac{1}{\ell} \left[\sum_n (-)^m \omega_n q_n \right]^2 \quad (2.9)$$

With the introduction of the absorption and emission operators:

$$q_n = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2\omega_n}} (c_n - c_n^\dagger) \quad , \quad p_n = \sqrt{\frac{\omega_n}{2}} (c_n + c_n^\dagger)$$

It results also

$$H = \sum_n \omega_n \left(c_n^\dagger c_n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad (2.10)$$

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial \ell} = \frac{1}{2\ell} \left[\sum_n (-)^m \sqrt{\omega_n} (c_n - c_n^\dagger) \right]^2$$

The operators c^\dagger creates states whose energy is time dependent; this is the very idea of the adiabatic treatment [2]: the states follow the external parameters in their evolution but transitions are induced if the evolution is not infinitely slow.

According to the usual formalism for the adiabatic approximation in the case of discrete spectra we consider a state $|\Psi\rangle$ evolving with the Schrödinger equation

$$i \frac{d}{dt} |\Psi\rangle = H |\Psi\rangle$$

and a set of "adiabatic" eigenstates

$$H |k\rangle = E_k(\ell) |k\rangle$$

For the projection coefficient

$$\gamma_k(\ell) = \exp \left[i \int_{t_0}^{\ell} E_k(\ell) d\tau \right] \langle k | \Psi \rangle$$

we have the equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} \gamma_k(\ell) = i \sum_{j \neq k} e^{i\Phi} \frac{1}{E_j - E_k} \langle k | \frac{\partial H}{\partial \ell} | j \rangle \gamma_j(\ell) \quad (2.11)$$

with

$$\Phi = \int_{t_0}^{\ell} (E_k - E_j) d\tau. \quad (2.11')$$

Taking as initial condition at $t = 0$, $l = l_0$ the vacuum state: $|\Psi\rangle = |0\rangle$ the only different state reached at first order is the two-particle state, the corresponding γ_0 coefficient is

$$\gamma_2(l) = \frac{1}{2^{3/2}} \int_{l_0}^l e^{i\Phi} \gamma_0(l') dl'/l' \quad \gamma_0(l_0) = 1 \quad (2.12)$$

$$\Phi = i\pi(m_1 + m_2) \int_{l_0}^l \frac{1}{l'} \frac{dl'}{l'} \quad (2.12')$$

When the transition probability is small also the correction to γ_0 is small so we take, to this order $\gamma_0 = \text{const} = 1$, if furthermore the speed of the external parameter is constant $\dot{l} = u$ one can calculate explicitly the expression (2.12-12') and the transition probability from the vacuum to the two-particle state is obtained

$$|\gamma_2|^2 = \frac{u^2}{4\pi^2} \frac{m_1 m_2}{(m_1 + m_2)^4} 2 \left[1 - \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{u} (m_1 + m_2) \ln \frac{l}{l_0} \right] \right]. \quad (2.13)$$

Eq. (2.13) ends the investigation of the model, in the case of steady motion of one boundary; the factor u^2 in front says that for any realistic situation the transition probability will be very small, so the use of the first approximation is fully justified.

2.2 Vibrations and resonance

It may be interesting to investigate the field configuration where one of the boundaries is vibrating so that $l = a + b \cos \Omega t$

In this case the phase appearing in the adiabatic formulae eq.(2.11-11') takes a more complicated aspect:

$$e^{i\Phi} = \exp \left[i\pi \frac{m_1 + m_2}{s} t \right] \cdot \left[\frac{a + s + b e^{-i\Omega t}}{a + s + b e^{i\Omega t}} \right]^{-\frac{\pi}{\Omega} \frac{m_1 + m_2}{s}} \quad (2.14)$$

$$s = (a^2 + b^2)^{1/2}$$

The expression in brackets may be expanded in series and it takes on the general form:

$$\sum_{r=-\infty}^{+\infty} g_r e^{ir\Omega t} \quad (2.15)$$

In order to calculate γ one must integrate the expression remembering that also $1/\ell'$ gives rise to an expansion like eq.(2.15);the integrand is always made up from periodic functions but for the case $\pi(n_1+n_2)/s=\Omega r$, in this situation in fact it results

$$e^{i\Phi} = e^{i\Omega t} \left[\frac{a+s+be^{-i\Omega t}}{a+s+be^{i\Omega t}} \right]^r \quad (2.16)$$

so that in the integrand there appear some terms which are constant in t . These terms give finally a contribution which grows linearly with the total time $t_f - t_i$. It is clear that for $t_f - t_i$ too large the whole treatment cannot be correct; it is, however, true that there is the signal of a resonance where the transition is strongly enhanced. For every mode n the corresponding frequency varies between $\pi n/(a+b)$ and $\pi n/(a-b)$, so the relevant quantity to define the resonance condition appears to be the geometrical mean of the extreme frequencies.

3. The real case

In the real case, as anticipated in the introduction, we consider a parallel plane capacitor: the distance between the plates (to be varied) will be ℓ and the plates will be two squares of side Λ , in every case $\Lambda \gg \ell$. One must get rid of the unphysical degrees of freedom of the e.m. field by a suitable choice of gauge. The most usual Coulomb gauge does not fit very well because if we vary ℓ , at fixed Λ , the allowed wave vectors will vary in direction what would result in momentum space in a time varying gauge condition. For this reason, calling z the direction orthogonal to the plates, the axial gauge $A_z = 0$, which is unaffected by variations of ℓ , will be imposed.[3]

Some notational convention are used: the indices i, j run from 1 to 3, the indices a, b , run from 1 to 2

$$\epsilon_{ab} \equiv \epsilon_{ab3} \quad v_T = (v_a v_b)^{1/2}$$

The equation of motion for the vector and scalar potential are

$$(\partial_t^2 - \Delta) A_b - \partial_b (\partial_t \varphi - \partial_a A_a) = 0 \quad (3.1)$$

$$\Delta \varphi = \partial_a \dot{A}_a \quad (3.2)$$

From the Lagrangian

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \int (E^2 - B^2) d^3r = \frac{1}{2} \int [(\dot{A}_b - \partial_b \varphi)(\dot{A}_b - \partial_b \varphi) + (\partial_z \varphi)^2 - B^2] d^3r$$

the conjugate momenta are derived

$$\Pi_b = \delta L / \delta \dot{A}_b = (\dot{A}_b - \partial_b \varphi) = -E_b \quad (3.3)$$

whereas:

$$E_z = \partial_z \varphi \quad (3.3')$$

In terms of the conjugate momenta (eq. 3.2) simplifies very much, it reduces to

$$\partial_z^2 \varphi = \partial_a \Pi_a \quad (3.2')$$

which can be solved in standard way:

$$\varphi(z, r_a) = \int G(z, z') \partial_b \Pi_b(z', r_a) dz' \quad (3.4)$$

where G is the Green function of ∂_z^2 with the correct boundary conditions for the problem.

The Hamiltonian of the system is

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3r_1 \left\{ \int dz (\Pi_1^2(z) + B^2(z)) - \int dz dz' \partial_a \Pi_a(z) G(z, z') \partial_b \Pi_b(z') \right\} \quad (3.5)$$

with the magnetic field given by

$$B_z = \epsilon_{2b} \partial_z A_b \quad (3.6)$$

$$B_a = -\epsilon_{2b} \partial_z A_b . \quad (3.6')$$

The general boundary conditions are

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_a(0, r_b) = \Pi_a(\ell, r_b) = 0 \\ B_z(0, r_b) = B_z(\ell, r_b) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

but asking only for oscillating modes [4] we require "cosine" conditions for E_z and B_a which imply also

$$\begin{aligned} A_b(0, r_b) = A_b(\ell, r_b) = 0 \\ \varphi(0, r_b) = \varphi(\ell, r_b) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.7')$$

and in this way we get the explicit form for G

$$G(z, z') = -\frac{2\ell}{\pi^2} \sum_n \frac{1}{n^2} \sin \pi n z / \ell \sin \pi n z' / \ell \quad (3.8)$$

since with this form $\partial_z^2 G$ acts as unity for the functions vanishing at the boundaries.

We expand the potential A and the conjugate momentum Π

Since we are interested in the dynamics along the z -axis we take the usual plane-wave expansion in the x and y directions.

$$\begin{aligned} A_b(z, r_b) = \frac{1}{\Lambda} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\ell}} \sum_p e^{ip \cdot r} \sum_n Q_b^{(n)}(p) \sin \pi n z / \ell \\ \Pi_b(z, r_b) = \frac{1}{\Lambda} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\ell}} \sum_p e^{ip \cdot r} \sum_n P_b^{(n)}(p) \sin \pi n z / \ell \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

With the standard relations:

$$Q^*(p) = Q(-p) \quad , \quad P^*(p) = P(-p) \quad (3.10)$$

$$[Q_a^{(m)}(p), P_b^{(m)*}(p')] = i \delta_{ab} \delta_{mn} \delta_{p,p'}$$

With the same procederes used in the previous section it is possible to calculate the derivative of the mode operators:

$$\frac{\partial Q^{(m)}}{\partial \ell} = \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{2m n}{m^2 - n^2} (-)^{m+n} Q^{(n)} \quad , \quad (3.11)$$

the same for P.

It is understood that P, Q and p are twodimensional vectors. A simplification is obtained by introducing for every mode p_a the tangent unit vector $\tau_a = p_a / p_{\perp}$ and the normal $\nu_a = \epsilon_{ab} \tau_b$ and the corresponding components of Q and P: $Q_{\tau} = Q_a \tau_a$, and so on ;note that τ and ν do not depend on ℓ so this operation commutes with the ℓ -derivative.

We may now collect all the results and give the form of the Hamiltonian and of its derivative in terms of the mode operators: *

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_n \sum_p \left\{ |P_{\nu}^{(n)}(p)|^2 + \left[1 + (p\ell/\pi m)^2 \right] |P_{\tau}^{(n)}(p)|^2 + \right. \\ \left. + \left[p^2 + (\pi m/\ell)^2 \right] |Q_{\nu}^{(n)}(p)|^2 + (\pi m/\ell)^2 |Q_{\tau}^{(n)}(p)|^2 \right\} \quad (3.12)$$

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial \ell} = \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_p \left\{ \frac{p^2 \ell^2}{\pi^2} \left| \sum_n \frac{(-)^n}{n} P_{\tau}^{(n)}(p) \right|^2 - \frac{\pi^2}{\ell^2} \sum_{\alpha} \left| \sum_n \frac{(-)^n}{n} Q_{\alpha}^{(n)}(p) \right|^2 \right\} \quad (3.13)$$

$\alpha = \tau, \nu$.

It is now convenient to introduce the energy of the mode

$$\omega_{p,m}^2 = p^2 + (\pi m/\ell)^2$$

* Needless to say, there is an intrinsic ultraviolet cutoff in the phenomenon because the condition of reflectivity for the boundaries cannot hold for very high frequencies.

and an ℓ -dependent canonical transformation:

$$Q_\tau^{(m)} = \frac{\omega \ell}{\pi m} Q_\mu^{(m)} \quad P_\tau^{(m)} = \frac{\pi m}{\omega \ell} P_\mu^{(m)}$$

which implements the transition from the axial gauge to the Coulomb gauge and brings the Hamiltonian to the standard form

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p,m} \sum_{\alpha} \left[\omega^2 |Q_{\alpha}^{(m)}(p)|^2 + |P_{\alpha}^{(m)}(p)|^2 \right] \quad \alpha = \mu, \nu. \quad (3.14)$$

It gives also:

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial \ell} = \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_p \left\{ \left| \sum_m \frac{(-)^m P}{\omega_{p,m}} P_{\mu}^{(m)}(p) \right|^2 - \left| \sum_m (-)^m \omega_{p,m} Q_{\mu}^{(m)}(p) \right|^2 \right. \\ \left. - \left| \sum_m (-)^m \frac{\pi m}{\ell} Q_{\nu}^{(m)}(p) \right|^2 \right\}. \quad (3.15)$$

The introduction of the usual emission and absorption operators

$$C_{\alpha}^{(m)}(p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_{p,m}}} \left[P_{\alpha}^{(m)}(p) - i\omega_{p,m} Q_{\alpha}^{(m)}(p) \right], \quad C_{\alpha}^{(m)\dagger}(p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_{p,m}}} \left[P_{\alpha}^{(m)\dagger}(p) + i\omega_{p,m} Q_{\alpha}^{(m)\dagger}(p) \right]$$

makes explicit the action of the derivative of the Hamiltonian $\partial H / \partial \ell$ on the Fock states. In particular for the transition from the vacuum to the two photon state we get:

$$\langle m_1 p, m_2 -p | \partial H / \partial \ell | 0 \rangle = (2\ell)^{-1} \left[\mathcal{T}_1 + \mathcal{T}_2 + \mathcal{T}_3 \right] \\ \mathcal{T}_1 = 2 (-)^{m_1+m_2} p^2 (\omega_1 \omega_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad (3.16)$$

$$\mathcal{T}_2 = 2 (-)^{m_1+m_2} (\omega_1 \omega_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (3.16')$$

$$\mathcal{T}_3 = 2 (-)^{m_1+m_2} m_1 m_2 (\pi/\ell)^2 (\omega_1 \omega_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad (3.16'')$$

The first two terms are obtained for the μ -polarisation, the third one for the ν -polarisation.

This shows that the dynamics can be factorised into the different transverse modes, provided we keep the modes p and $-p$ together, which is clearly required by the conservation of momentum in the plane x - y .

Now we calculate the transition amplitude from the vacuum to two-photon state, according to the adiabatic approximation.

The projection coefficient from initial vacuum to final two photon states is obtained in the same way as in the previous section see eq.s (2.11,12,13)

$$\gamma_{\alpha} = \int_{l_0}^{l_f} dl \dot{l} F_{\alpha}(l) \exp \left[i \int_{l_0}^l (\omega_1 + \omega_2) \frac{dl'}{\dot{l}'} \right] \quad (3.17)$$

where we have

$$F_{\nu} = \frac{1}{l} (-)^{m_1+m_2} \frac{1}{\omega_1+\omega_2} \frac{\pi^2 m_1 m_2}{l^2 \sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2}} \quad (3.18)$$

$$F_{\mu} = \frac{1}{l} (-)^{m_1+m_2} \frac{1}{\omega_1+\omega_2} \frac{\omega_1 \omega_2 + p^2}{\sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2}} .$$

From now we assume $\dot{l} = u = \text{const} \ll 1$, what is certainly true for every macroscopic motion, then the phase in (3.17) is very large, through an application of the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma (see Appendix) we can write

$$\gamma_{\alpha} \simeq -iu \left\{ (\omega_1 + \omega_2)^{-1} F_{\alpha} \Big|_{l_f} \exp \left[\frac{i}{u} \int_{l_0}^{l_f} (\omega_1 + \omega_2) dl \right] - (\omega_1 + \omega_2)^{-1} F_{\alpha} \Big|_{l_0} \right\} \quad (3.17')$$

so that finally the transition probability is written as

$$|\gamma_\alpha|^2 = u^2 \left\{ (\omega_1 + \omega_2)^{-2} \left| F_\alpha \right|_{\ell_f}^2 + (\omega_1 - \omega_2)^{-2} \left| F_\alpha \right|_{\ell_i}^2 - 2 (\omega_1 + \omega_2)^{-1} \left| F_\alpha \right|_{\ell_f} \cdot (\omega_1 - \omega_2)^{-1} \left| F_\alpha \right|_{\ell_i} \cdot \cos \frac{i}{u} \int_{\ell_i}^{\ell_f} (\omega_1 + \omega_2) d\ell \right\} \quad (3.19)$$

Through the usual quantization condition $p_a = (2\pi/\Lambda) m_a$ we can connect this expression to a photon density, it results in fact that the number of photons of longitudinal wave number n per unit of transverse momentum squared and unit of transverse area is

$$\frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \frac{dN}{dp^2} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_\alpha |\gamma_\alpha|^2$$

The cosine term oscillates very rapidly around zero as function, e.g., of ℓ_f so we tentatively drop it with respect to the other terms. In so doing we get from, summing over α .

$$\frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \frac{dN}{dp^2} = \frac{u^2}{4\pi} \frac{1}{\ell^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{(\omega_1 + \omega_2)^4} \frac{1}{\omega_1 \omega_2} \left[2(\omega_1 \omega_2)^2 - p^2(\omega_1 - \omega_2)^2 + 2p^2 \right] \right\}_{\ell} + \dots \left. \right\} / i$$

In the case where $p \ll \omega$ we obtain a very relevant simplification, because the system behaves as it had only one dimension, and the expression in eq(3.19) becomes in fact:

$$|\gamma|^2 \simeq u^2 \frac{4}{\pi^2} \frac{m_1 m_2}{(m_1 + m_2)^4}$$

If we tried to sum over the longitudinal quantum numbers we would get a diverging expression like:

$$u^2 \frac{2}{3\pi^2} \sum_s \left(\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{s^3} \right), \quad (3.20)$$

but as it has already mentioned there is always an ultraviolet cutoff.

The above expressions require ℓ_f to stay different from ℓ_i . The correct zero result for $\ell_f \rightarrow \ell_i$, is reproduced in Eq. (3.19), where the oscillating term has not been dropped. The problem of finding the number of produced photon in the process of mutual motion of two plane parallel plates is solved by eq. (3.19); the actual number is very small because we have always a term u^2 in front, which for every macroscopic system is very small; this property makes all approximations well justified but, unfortunately, it makes also every experimental investigation very difficult.

Since the phase related to the adiabatic treatment in this more realistic case is more complicated a discussion of the possible resonance conditions is not allowed in detailed analytical form. It appears, however, very likely that conditions of such kind may exist, it is also clear that these conditions will unavoidably depend, in particular if p is not negligible with respect to ω , also on the transverse dimension Λ whose role has been essentially ignored in the whole previous discussion.

4. Conclusions and comparison with other treatments

Since problems more or less strictly related to the one studied in this paper have been repeatedly considered it is necessary to present a comparison with the previous treatments. In the present paper the existence of two boundaries in relative motion is essential, so the comparison with situations where there is only one boundary is not straightforward; in those cases, in fact, it is evident that by Lorentz invariance, only the acceleration may, possibly, give rise to emission of quanta [5,6]. Anyhow, looking at eq.(2.13) one sees that when $l_f \rightarrow \infty$ at fixed $l_f - l_0$ the transition probabilities calculated with constant speed of the boundary goes to zero as it must.

A paper where the system is very similar to the present one has been written by Castagnino and Ferraro [7] continuing a line of investigation initiated by Moore [8]. The way they deal with the problem is quite different, but given the same physical starting point the results are comparable, in particular their expression for the total number of particles looks like the eq(3.20) of the present paper and the same can be said of the analogous result of ref.[8]. The physical situation is the same because here the vacuum is set sharply at the initial time t_i and the state is observed, sharply again, at t_f , in this sense one can speak of infinite accelerations. The logarithmic divergence is, here, considered unphysical due to the ultraviolet cutoff originating by the finite reflectivity of every physical surface.

As already said, in the present paper and in the quoted references, one foresees a tiny photon emission because of the smallness of the coefficient u^2 or in every case of the macroscopic speeds; for such a reason the possibility of producing resonance conditions might be interesting because then the number of the emitted photons should increase with the time at least as long as the approximate calculations are trustable. In this context one may notice that when p is not negligible but anyhow small with respect to ω a sort of "nonrelativistic" expansion of the type $\omega_{p,m} = \pi n/l + p^2 l/2\pi n$ could make the analytical study of the resonance condition complicated but not hopeless.

Appendix

For completeness here the connection between eq. (3.17) and (3.17') is shown.

If we have

$$J = \int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx F(x) \exp \frac{i}{u} \int_{x_i}^x w(y) dy$$

with w definite positive and $u \rightarrow 0$, we define

$$\alpha(x) = \int_{x_i}^x w(y) dy$$

which, being monotonically increasing, can be inverted as

$$x = x(\alpha) \quad , \quad x_i = x(0)$$

$$J = \int_0^{\alpha_f} F(x(\alpha)) e^{i\alpha/u} \frac{dx(\alpha)}{d\alpha} d\alpha$$

if $\Phi(\alpha) \equiv F(x(\alpha)) \cdot (dx/d\alpha)$ we have also

$$J = \int_0^{\alpha_f} \Phi(\alpha) e^{i\alpha/u} d\alpha = \left[-iu \Phi(\alpha) e^{i\alpha/u} \right]_0^{\alpha_f} + iu \int \frac{d\Phi}{d\alpha} e^{i\alpha/u} d\alpha$$

The second term is $\mathcal{O}(u^2)$ and reverting to the original variables

$$J \simeq -iu \left\{ \frac{F(x_f)}{w(x_f)} \exp \frac{i}{u} \int_{x_i}^{x_f} w(y) dy - \frac{F(x_i)}{w(x_i)} \right\} + \mathcal{O}(u^2)$$

REFERENCES

- [1] H.G.B. Casimir 1948 Proc. Kon. Ned. Akad. Wet. **51**, 793
G. Plunien, B. Müller, W. Greiner 1986 Phys. Rep., **134C** 88
- [2] A.B. Migdal , V. Krainov 1969 Approximation methods in quantum mechanics (New York Benjamin) ch II
- [3] W. Kummer 1961 Acta phys. Austriaca **14** , 149
- [4] A. Sommerfeld 1952 Electrodynamics (New York Academic press) page 340 ff
- [5] B.S. DeWitt 1975 Phys Rep. **19C** , 295
- [6] L.H.Ford and A.Vilenkin 1982 Phys. Rev **D25** , 2569
- [7] M. Castagnino and R.Ferraro 1984 Ann. of Phys. **154** ,1
- [8] G.T.Moore J of Math Phys 1970 **11**, 2679