

A.Antonelli (INFN/Frascati) for the KLOE collaboration

**Heavy Quarks and Leptons 2004** 

San Juan, Porto Rico, 1-5 June



Most precise test of unitarity possible at present comes from 1<sup>st</sup> row:

$$\frac{|V_{ud}|^2 + |V_{us}|^2 + |V_{ub}|^2 \sim |V_{ud}|^2 + |V_{us}|^2}{\Delta = 0.0042 \pm 0.0019 \text{ PDG02}}$$

 $2|V_{ud}|dV_{ud} = 0.0015$  from super-allowed 0<sup>+</sup>→ 0<sup>+</sup> Fermi transitions, n β-decays:  $2|V_{us}|dV_{us} = 0.0011$  from semileptonic kaon decays (PDG 2002 fit)



Disagreement among K<sub>e3</sub> (Older measurements' inclusiveness

of radiative processes uncertain)

 $K_{\mu3}$  larger uncertainties

Both K  $\pm$  and K<sup>0</sup> must be measured



#### $|V_{us}|$ is extracted from $K_{l3}$ partial decay widths

$$|V_{us}| \quad f_{+}^{K^{0}\pi^{-}}(0) = \left[\frac{\Gamma_{i}}{\mathcal{N}_{i} S_{ew} I_{i}(\lambda_{+}, \lambda_{0}, 0)}\right]^{1/2} \frac{1}{1 + \delta_{SU(2)}^{i} + \delta_{e^{2}p^{2}}^{i} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta I_{i}(\lambda_{+}, \lambda_{0})}$$
  
where *i* runs over the four modes  $K^{\pm,0}(e3), K^{\pm,0}(\mu 3)$   
 $G^{2}_{\mu} M^{5}_{\nu_{i}}$  few 10<sup>-2</sup>

- $N_i = \frac{\mathbf{O}_{\mu}^{-1} \mathbf{W}_{Ki}^{-1}}{192\pi^3} \mathbf{C}_i^2$  [Ci=1(2<sup>-1/2</sup>) for neutral (charged kaon decays)]
- $f_+^{K0\pi-}(0)$  form factor at zero momentum transfer: pure theory calculation ( $\chi PT$ , lattice)
- $I(\lambda_{+}, \lambda_{0}, 0)$  phase space integral,  $S_{ew}$  short distance corrections (1.0232)
- $\delta^{i}_{SU(2)}$ ,  $\delta_{e2p2}$  form factor correction due to isospin breaking (strong and electromagnetic)
- $\Delta I_i(\lambda_+,\lambda_0)$ ) phase space electromagnetic correction
- $\lambda_+, \lambda_0$  slopes (momentum dependence of the vector and scalar form factors)





#### Knowledge of 4 main K<sub>L</sub> BR's at present dominated by 3 measurements:

 $\frac{\Gamma(K_{L} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{0})}{\Gamma(K_{L} \rightarrow \pi e \nu)} \text{ and } \frac{\Gamma(K_{L} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{0})}{\Gamma(K_{L} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0})}, \text{ with } \sim 2\% \text{ relative uncertainty [NA31]}$ 

$$R_{\mu/e} = \frac{\Gamma(K_L \Rightarrow \pi \mu \nu)}{\Gamma(K_L \Rightarrow \pi e \nu)} = 0.702 \pm 0.011 \text{ [Argonne HBC 1980]}$$
  
3-\sigma discrepancy (~4%) between measurement and expectation for  $R_{\mu/e}$ :

 $R_{\mu/e} = 0.671 \pm 0.011$ , direct measurement for K<sup>+</sup>, from KEK-E246 2001

R<sub>μ/e</sub> calculable from the slopes  $\lambda_+$  and  $\lambda_0$  of vector and scalar form factors: 0.670 ± 0.002, if  $\lambda_0 = 0.0183 \pm 0.0013$ , from ISTRA+ 2003 0.668 ± 0.006, if  $\lambda_0 = 0.017 \pm 0.004$ , from one-loop χPt

K<sub>L</sub> lifetime is poorly known  $\Delta \tau / \tau = 0.8\%$ 

### *K*<sup>±</sup>*decays* – *Present knowledge*



PDG02 BR dominated by a single experiment (Chiang 72)

New E-865 BR(K<sup>+</sup>  $\rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ \nu$ ) does not agree with PDG02 (2.2 $\sigma$ ) •not absolute BR

•use PDG for normalization



### DA ΦNE: the Frascati φ factory







#### The $\phi$ decay at rest provides monochromatic and pure kaon beams

• The KK pairs in the final state have the same quantum numbers as the  $\phi$ , *i.e.*, they are produced in a pure  $J^{PC} = 1^{--}$  state

 $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{S}} (\mathbf{K}^{+}) \longleftarrow \Phi \longrightarrow \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{L}} (\mathbf{K}^{-}) \text{ Contamination } \approx 10^{-10}$  $|i\rangle \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |K_{L}, \mathbf{p}\rangle |K_{S}, -\mathbf{p}\rangle - |K_{L}, -\mathbf{p}\rangle |K_{S}, \mathbf{p}\rangle \right)$ 

- <u>**Tagging</u>**: observation of  $K_{S,L}$  signals presence of  $K_{L,S}$ </u>
  - precision measurement of absolute BR's
- Interference measurements of  $K_S K_L$  system

K+K-K\_LK\_S $1.5 \times 10^6/\text{pb}^{-1}$  $10^6/\text{pb}^{-1}$ ;  $p^* = 110$  MeV/c $p^* = 127$  MeV/c $\lambda_S = 6$  mm K<sub>S</sub> decays near interaction point $\lambda_{\pm} = 95$  cm $\lambda_L = 3.4$  m Large detector to keep reasonable<br/>acceptance for K<sub>L</sub>decays (~0.5  $\lambda_L$ )

## The KLOE experiment





**Be beam pipe** (0.5 mm thick) **Instrumented permanent magnet quadrupoles** (32 PMT's)

**Drift chamber**  $(4 \text{ m} \emptyset \times 3.3 \text{ m})$ 90% He + 10% IsoB, CF frame 12582 stereo sense wires

**Electromagnetic calorimeter** Lead/scintillating fibers 4880 PMT's

Superconducting coil (5 m bore)  $B = 0.52 \text{ T} (\int B \, dl = 2 \text{ T} \cdot \text{m})$ 





 $\sigma_{E}/E \qquad 5.7\% / \sqrt{E(\text{GeV})}$   $\sigma_{t} \qquad 54 \text{ ps } / \sqrt{E(\text{GeV}) \oplus 50 \text{ ps}}$ (relative time between clusters)  $\sigma_{L}(\gamma\gamma) \sim 2 \text{ cm } (\pi^{0} \text{ from } K_{L} \rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}\pi^{0})$ 



 $V_{us}$  from  $K_0$  semileptonic decays at KLOE- A. Antonelli – HQL04 San Juan 1-5 June 2004







*K<sub>L</sub>* tagged by *K<sub>S</sub>* →  $\pi^+\pi^-$  vertex at IP Efficiency ~ 70% (mainly geometrical) *K<sub>L</sub>* angular resolution: ~ 1° *K<sub>L</sub>* momentum resolution: ~ 1 MeV 4 · 10<sup>5</sup> tags/pb<sup>-1</sup>



 $K_S$  tagged by  $K_L$  interaction in EmC Efficiency ~ 30% (largely geometrical)  $K_S$  angular resolution: ~ 1° (0.3° in  $\phi$ )  $K_S$  momentum resolution: ~ 1 MeV  $3 \cdot 10^5$  tags/pb<sup>-1</sup>

 $V_{us}$  from  $K_0$  semileptonic decays at KLOE- A. Antonelli – HQL04 San Juan 1-5 June 2004

### Analysis of $K_S \rightarrow \pi ev$ decays



- $K_{crash}$  tag + 2 tracks from IP with  $M_{\pi\pi} < 490$  MeV (reject  $K_S \rightarrow \pi\pi(\gamma)$ )
- **TOF identification:** compare  $\pi$ -e expected flight times, reject  $\pi\pi,\pi\mu$  bkg



V<sub>us</sub> from K<sub>0</sub> semileptonic decays at KLOE- A. Antonelli – HQL04 San Juan 1-5 June 2004

 $K_S \rightarrow \pi^- e^+ \nu, \pi^+ e^- \nu$ 





 $K_{\rm S} \rightarrow \pi^- e^+ \nu, \pi^+ e^- \nu$ 





Result sensitivity to fit interval well below present statistical uncertainty

Normalize signal counts to  $K_S \rightarrow \pi \pi(\gamma)$  counts in the same data set (use PDG03 for BR( $K_S \rightarrow \pi \pi(\gamma)$ ), dominated by KLOE measurement)



Selection efficiency (given the tag) is evaluated by charge, using data control sample of  $K_L \rightarrow \pi ev$  decaying close to IP:  $\epsilon (\pi^-e^+) = (24.1\pm0.1\pm0.2)\%$ ;  $\epsilon (\pi^+e^-) = (23.6\pm0.1\pm0.2)\%$ 

$$BR(K_{S} \rightarrow \pi^{-}e^{+}v) = (3.54 \pm 0.05_{stat} \pm 0.05_{syst}) \ 10^{-4}$$

$$BR(K_{S} \rightarrow \pi^{+}e^{-}v) = (3.54 \pm 0.05_{stat} \pm 0.04_{syst}) \ 10^{-4}$$

$$BR(K_{S} \rightarrow \pi ev) = (7.09 \pm 0.07_{stat} \pm 0.08_{syst}) \ 10^{-4}$$

$$(Published result: (6.91 \pm 0.34_{stat} \pm 0.15_{syst}) \ 10^{-4} Phys.Lett.B535:3742,2002)$$

$$A_{S,L} = \frac{\Gamma(K_{S,L} \rightarrow \pi^{-}e^{+}v) - \Gamma(K_{S,L} \rightarrow \pi^{+}e^{-}v)}{\Gamma(K_{S,L} \rightarrow \pi^{-}e^{+}v) + \Gamma(K_{S,L} \rightarrow \pi^{+}e^{-}v)}$$

$$A_{S} = (-2 \pm 9_{stat} \pm 6_{syst}) \ 10^{-3}$$
(never measured before)

 $(A_L = (3.322 \pm 0.058 \pm 0.047) \ 10^{-3}$ , KTeV 2002)

**future: next year run**  $2 \text{ fb}^{-1} \rightarrow \sigma(A_S) \sim 3 \cdot 10^{-3}$ 

 $K_{\rm S} \rightarrow \pi e \nu - V_{\mu \rm S} f_+^{K\pi}(0)$ 





Our <u>preliminary</u> result agrees better with latest  $K^+$  data, while showing a deviation from old  $K_{e3}^0$  data

$K_S \rightarrow \pi e \nu - V_{us}$			
	0.235		
PDG02, CKMwg use $f_{+}^{K^{0}\pi^{-}}(0) = 0.961 \pm 0.008$	0.23	Unitarity a	nd V <sub>ud</sub>
from Leutwyler, Roos Z.Phys. C 25 1984	0.225	Ī	
• computed up to p <sup>4</sup> contr. in	0.22	I I	
<ul><li>χPT</li><li>confirmed by a lattice</li><li>calculation</li></ul>	0.215	Į	
(Isidori et al., <b>hep-ph 0403217</b> )	0.21	<b>.</b>	0
$\frac{\delta  V_{us} }{ V_{us} } = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\delta BR}{BR} \right) \oplus \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\delta \tau}{\tau} \right) \oplus \frac{1}{20} \left( \frac{\delta \tau}{\tau} \right)$	$\left(\frac{\delta\lambda_{+}}{\lambda_{+}}\right) \oplus \frac{\delta}{2}$	$\mathbf{K}_{e3} = \mathbf{K}_{e3}$ $\mathbf{K}_{e3} = \mathbf{K}_{e3}$ $\mathbf{V}_{us}(\mathbf{K}_{s,e3}) = 0.224$ $\mathbf{K}_{e3} = 0.224$	5±0.0026
$0.7\% \oplus 0.05\% \oplus$	0.3% ⊕	0.8% KLOL premi	iiiiai y

# $K_L$ decays – Status and objectives



Precisely measure **absolute** branching ratios, with rel. accuracy < 1%



### $K_L$ decays – BR stability



- Obtain number of signal counts by fitting data to a linear combination of MC spectra for signal
- Each BR obtained by normalizing signal counts to the number of tags:
- Tagging efficiency cancels out in the ratio except for O(1%), channeldependent, tag-bias correction

Reliability of tag-bias correction checked by using various tag algorithms, with corrections ranging from 7% to 0.1%





 $K_L$  lifetime from  $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$ 





# Charged kaon decays

Measure absolute BR's to < 1%:





### *K*<sup>±</sup> *decays* – *Status and objectives*



• After tag a dedicated reconstruction of  $K^{\pm}$  tracks is performed, correcting for charged kaon dE/dx in the DC walls



•Evaluation of selection bias due to interference from tagging decay

•Analysis with MC upgrade (radiative processes, optimized EmC response to  $\pi/\mu$ )

V<sub>us</sub> from K<sub>0</sub> semileptonic decays at KLOE- A. Antonelli – HQL04 San Juan 1-5 June 2004

### K<sup>+</sup> lifetime



#### In progress:

efficiency evaluation directly from data sample selected using EMC information + kinematic fit



V<sub>us</sub> from K<sub>0</sub> semileptonic decays at KLOE- A. Antonelli – HQL04 San Juan 1-5 June 2004



#### **KLOE** is analyzing a unique data sample: 500 pb<sup>-1</sup> of $\phi$ decays

 $> K_S$  semileptonic BR measured for first time with 1.4% accuracy allowing an independent measurement of V<sub>us</sub>

Measurement of  $K_L$  BR's and lifetime are near to completion, preliminary result for summer conferences

>Analysis of  $K^{\pm}$ , BR's, and lifetime in progress

### KLOE expects to collect 2 fb<sup>-1</sup> in 2004-2005

# DA **P**NE upgrades and 2004 running



#### New KLOE IR

- Rotation for low- $\beta$  quads
- Decrease  $\beta_x$  and coupling
- Allow changes to KLOE field

#### **Pole-shims on wigglers**

• Eliminate octopole terms



### Run plans

- Increase peak luminosity and reach  $1.5 \cdot 10^{32} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$
- Restart by end of June with FINUDA first
- Switch to KLOE in early fall and deliver > 1 fb<sup>-1</sup> to KLOE

### 2004 run plans



### **Expected DA\PhiNE performance**

- Luminosity up to  $2 \times 10^{32}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>
- Lifetime > 1 hr (was 0.6 hr in 2002)
- 10 pb<sup>-1</sup>/day, 200 pb<sup>-1</sup>/month

### **DAΦNE run plans (from KLOE perspective)**

- Start FINUDA data taking A.S.A.P
- 250  $pb^{-1}$  for FINUDA in ~2 months
- Realistically restart KLOE towards end of year
- Run KLOE for ~1 year with goal of collecting 2  $fb^{-1}$

### Physics vs. luminosity: perspectives



$5 \times 10^8 K_S K_L$	today	Limit on BR( $K_S \rightarrow 3\pi^0$ ) at 10 <sup>-7</sup> level $K_S \rightarrow \pi e \nu$ charge asymmetry ( $A_S$ ) to 10 <sup>-2</sup> $V_{us}$ from $K_{\ell 3}$ decays at few × 10 <sup>-3</sup> level		
<b>2 fb<sup>-1</sup></b> $2 \times 10^9 K_S K_L$	KLOE '04-'05	Limit on $K_S \rightarrow 3\pi^0$ at $10^{-8}$ level $A_S$ to $4 \times 10^{-3}$ First studies of $K_S K_L$ system with interference		
<b>40 fb<sup>-1</sup></b> $4 \times 10^{10} K_S K_L$	Original KLOE program	Re $\varepsilon'/\varepsilon$ at 10 <sup>-4</sup> level Im $\varepsilon'/\varepsilon$ at 10 <sup>-3</sup> level from $K_S K_L$ interference		
<b>200 fb<sup>-1</sup></b> $2 \times 10^{11} K_S K_L$	DAΦNE2	High-precision studies of $K_S K_L$ system via interference measurements Competitive measurement of Re $\delta$ from $A_S$ BR $(K_S \rightarrow 3\pi^0)$ and BR $(K_S \rightarrow \pi^0 \ell \ell)$ to 20%		

 $VV, K_I \rightarrow \pi VV, \text{ and } K_I \rightarrow \pi$ 

 $\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-}$ 

probably not within reach

### Generators for radiative K decays



#### <u>New MC generators</u> for $\pi\pi$ and Kl3 decays including radiated photon, without any cutoff on the energy. The fraction of events in the tail is in agreement with present experimental knowledge:

$BR(K_L \to \pi e  v\gamma, E_{\gamma} > 30  MeV  \theta_{e\gamma} > 2$	20°)	1 <b>0</b> <sup>2</sup>			
$BR(K_L \to \pi e \nu) =$		10		litys-	Malalation
kTeV (0.908±0.015)×10 <sup>-2</sup>	2				
Bijnens et al $0.93 \times 10^{-2}$		1		40	60
$MC = 0.93 \times 10^{-2}$			0 20	40	00
$\underline{BR(K_{S} \to \pi\pi\gamma, E_{\gamma} > 50MeV)}_{-}$	E731	(2.56±0.09)	)×10 <sup>-3</sup>		
$BR(K_S \to \pi\pi)$	МС	2.6 ×10-3			





ππ΄

120

140

160

180

 $E_{\gamma}(MeV)$ 

200

1000000

Entries

 $\frac{d\Gamma}{dE} = \left|A_o\right|^2 \cdot \alpha \cdot b \cdot \left(\frac{E}{M}\right)^2$ 

10 6

10

10

10<sup>3</sup>

### Generators for radiative K decays







#### Simulated event samples statistically comparable to data

 $\phi \rightarrow all$  452 pb<sup>-1</sup> at 1:5 scale ~300M events

 $\phi \rightarrow K_S K_L$  452 pb<sup>-1</sup> at 1:1 scale ~500M events

#### Each run in data set individually simulated

 $\sqrt{s}$ ,  $\mathbf{p}_{\phi}$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_{\phi}$ , background, dead wires, trigger thresholds...

#### Inclusion of accidental activity from machine background

extracted from  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  events in data set inserted run-by-run to match temporal profile of bkg in data

#### Tuning of calorimeter response simulation on $\mu$ , $\pi$ , $K_L$

# Suite of new generators introduced, particular emphasis on radiative processes

V<sub>us</sub> from K<sub>0</sub> semileptonic decays at KLOE- A. Antonelli – HQL04 San Juan 1-5 June 2004

*Form factors:*  $\lambda_+$ *,*  $\lambda_0$ 



 $\begin{array}{l} \clubsuit q^2 \text{ resolution:} \\ \circ \ \mathsf{K}_L : \delta p_\pi \text{ and } \delta p_{\mathsf{lept}} \text{ from } \mathsf{DC}, \delta p_L \text{ from } \delta p_S \text{ and } \delta p_\varphi \\ \circ \ \mathsf{K}^\pm : \delta p_K \text{ and } \delta p_{\mathsf{lept}} \text{ from } \mathsf{DC}, \text{ dominated by } \delta \mathsf{E}_{\pi 0} \end{array}$ 

**4** A 1% precision on  $f_{+}^{K\pi}(0)$  requires  $\delta\lambda_0=0.001$  ( $\approx 5\%$ )



V<sub>us</sub> from K<sub>0</sub> semileptonic decays at KLOE- A. Antonelli – HQL04 San Juan 1-5 June 2004