KLOE 1992-2002. 10 year anniversary of CSN1 approval.

#### TOMORROW, NOON. END OF RUN PARTY KLOE Building

All speakers. — Talk  $\rightarrow$  Paolo V.

### 1999 to today

- April 14, 1999 KLOE detects  $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$  and  $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_L K_S$ . Also discovers that  $\mathcal{L}$  is much lower than claimed.
- In '99  $\sim$ 2 pb<sup>-1</sup> delivered. Some nice events.
- In 2000  $\mathcal{L}$  still very low: ~20 pb<sup>-1</sup>. The first KLOE physics
- Published 4 NIM Papers
- Published PL 5 papers
- In 2001  $\mathcal L$  improved, but the already high bckgnd hit the sky.
- Too much load on: library, processing, reprocessing
- In 2002  $\mathcal{L}$  improved and background much reduced.
- $2003 \mathcal{L} > 10 \text{ pb}^{-1}/\text{d} \text{bckgnd shall not increase}?$









304/317=95.9%!!!!!!What is  $\mathcal{L}???$  $\mathcal{L}_{deliv}=296 \text{ pb}^{-1}?$  $\mathcal{L}_{logged}=299 \text{ pb}^{-1}?$  $\mathcal{L}_{Rec.}=285 \text{ pb}^{-1}?$ 



Frascati 10 October 2002 Paolo Franzini - KLOE General Meeting 4

 $_{is}^{this}$ 





 $_{
m is}^{
m this}$ 







DA $\Phi$ NE in 2003 could deliver >300 pb<sup>-1</sup>/month



## The Cost of Background

- 1. Tracking and clustering efficiency
- 2. Trigger rate: reconstruction and storage cost
- 3. Accidentals→background to physics
- 4. '01, '02, '03
  - (% of contaminated events)  $\propto F(bckgnd) \equiv B$ , for any  $\mathcal{L}$ .
  - Trigger rate can be reduced at an additional loss of efficiency

### 2001

Hardened the trigger at a cost in efficicency. 99.2% $\rightarrow$ 94% for  $K_S \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- + K_{e3,\mu3,\pi2}$ . Almost  $\times 10$  for  $\zeta = 1 - \text{eff}$ . Toward the end of 2001 improved  $\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{B}$  reduced the load on recostruction.

For  $\mathcal{B}$ =(100-300), eff(tracking) drops by ~4.5%.



# 2001 – 02 Trigger thresholds Eth=50 Me Eth=100 MeV 200Beams MeV Barrel End Cap



#### Trigger rates in 2002

	High Thr. kHz	Nominal Thr. kHz
Т2	3.5	5.5
L3	1.6	3.3 <sup>†</sup>
DAONE off	0.7 <sup>‡</sup>	_
$\phi$	0.15	-
Bhabha	0.3	_

<sup>†</sup> This is larger than 2001, but acceptable at higher  $\mathcal{L}$ 

 $^{\ddagger}$  CR, can be lowered to 0.5 kHz

#### 2003

The machine background must remain low Loosen thresholds a bit?.



#### Need MC



#### Milestones 2002

1. Marzo '02 Ricostruzione dei dati del 2001

2. Maggio '02 DST dei dati 2001 per l'analisi

3. Dicembre '02 Manutenzione di KLOE e presa dati '02 Data taking from 1 May '02

Major weakness – HV CAEN power supplies for phototubes: one PS/wk for 5000 PS's. Ex: CUSB: 0 failures/5 years, for 1000 PS.



- 4. Dicembre '02 BR $(K_S \rightarrow \pi e^{\pm} \nu)$
- 7. Dicembre '02 BR $(K_S \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- e \pi^0 \pi^0)$

5. Dicembre '02 Misura di  $\phi \rightarrow \rho \pi$ 

6. Dicembre '02 BR( $\phi \rightarrow \gamma + a_0, f_0$ ) – OK Very important to improve our previous results.



 $\phi \rightarrow a_0 \gamma \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma \rightarrow 2 \text{ charged} + 5\gamma$ 





 $_{is}^{this}$ 

 $\phi \rightarrow a_0 \gamma \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \gamma \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \pi^0 \gamma \rightarrow 5 \gamma$ 





 $_{is}^{this}$ 

- 8. Dicembre '02 BR $(K_L \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- e \pi^0 \pi^0) OK$
- 9. Dicembre '02 BR $(K^{\pm} \rightarrow \mu\nu, \rightarrow \pi^{\pm}\pi^{0}) OK??$

10. Dicembre '02 Misura preliminare di  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-) - OK$ Also pion form factor



#### Milestones for Dec. 31, 2003

- 1. Ricostruzione dati 2002 1/4
- 2. Lavoro su KLOE per nuova regione di interazione -1/4
- 3. Upgrade del Q-Cal 1/4
- 4. Preparazione DST per analisi -1/4
- 5. Upgrade del filtro di livello 3 1/4
- 6. Monte Carlo per analisi 2002 1-4/4
- 7. BR,  $\sigma$  per  $K^{\pm}$  2-4/4
- 8. Analisi con errori sistematici ridotti, dati 2002 4/4
- 9. Manutenzione e presa dati nel 2003 ?-4/4



# **KLOE** Physics

An I-spin rotation,  $(K^{\pm}\pi^{0}) \subset (K_{L}\pi^{\pm})$ , appears when we consider the semileptonic decays  $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{0}(e\nu)$  and  $K_{L} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm}(e\nu)$ . Ignoring I-spin corrections, we have:

$$\Gamma(K_{L,e3}) = \Gamma(K_{S,e3}) = 2 \times \Gamma(K_{e3}^{\pm}).$$

Correcting for phase space, 0.8%, the measured values give:

$$\frac{2\Gamma_{+} - \Gamma_{L}}{\Gamma_{L}} = (3.66 \pm 0.06)\%$$

Too big??? To get  $V_{us}$  to better than 1.1%, 39 years after its introduction, we need that correction – but we can check it. We need more: SU(3) corrections.

The I-spin rotation  $(\pi^+\pi^-) \mathcal{O}(\pi^\pm\pi^0)$ , relating  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$  and  $W^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm}\pi^0$ , seems to give a 4% effect. Too much people say.



In some kind of jargon one must compute corrections due to I-spin and  $SU(3)_{flavor}$  breaking. In another, we need QCD calculation of the hadronic matrix elements. Progress is slow but coming.

Unique contributions from KLOE.

 $K_L$ ,  $K_S$  and  $K^{\pm}$  semileptonic decays, which KLOE can do to accuracies beyond present theoretical ablilities.

Measurements of the neutral pion current coupling to the photon to help with the  $\tau - e^+ e^-$  discrepancy

Precision measurements of  $K \rightarrow \pi \pi$  rates and the study of scalar and pseudoscalar meson via  $\phi$ -radiative decays.

We have the data for doing this. Theory is behind. Good measurements are needed and are also inducements to better calculations.



We expected in 2003 a very improved DA $\Phi$ NE. We must recover the efficiency losses in the trigger. We must save our energies for physics, not taking shifts.

