Bar data analysis for searching counterparts of gamma ray bursts.

Giuseppina Modestino INFN - Frascati

ROG and Beppo-Sax Collaborations

INFN Frascati, Roma1, Roma2CNR Roma, BolognaUNIVERSITIES of Roma, l'Aquila, Ferrara

What we know about GRBs: (principal results from BATSE and Beppo-Sax)

- •Cosmological origin
- •Isotropic distribution
- •10⁵¹ 10⁵³ erg (in a few seconds)
- •Complex temporal behavior
- •Bimodal statistic in the duration time
- •EM counterparts in lower frequencies (phenomenological process)

Typical theoretical prediction for GW associated to a single GRB event:

GW amplitude $h < 10^{-22} - 10^{-21}$ @ 1 kHz @ R ~ 1 Gpc



The detector sensitivity to short GW bursts (in terms of GW amplitude):

$\Delta l/l = \mathbf{h} \sim 10^{-18}$

For NAUTILUS, EXPLORER: bar length L = 3m mass = 2300 Kg material Al5056 resonance ~1 KHz temperature = 0.1 K - 2 K

for a typical Galactic burst (10 kpc, 1ms, 10⁻³ M) measurable with SNR=4

Previous studies and experimental results

•Astron. Astrophys. Suppl. Ser. 138, 603 (1999). **ROG** Collaboration •Astron. Astrophys. Suppl. Ser. 138, 605 (1999). **ROG** Collaboration •Astron. Astrophys. 364, 419 (2000). G. Modestino, G. Pizzella •CNR-IFSI-2001-28 (2001). P. Bonifazi, G.V. Pallottino, A.V. Gusev, A.Kochetkova, Ak.Postnov, V. Rudenko, V.N.Vinogradov Cumulative techniques have •Phys. Rev. D 63, 022005 (2001). **AURIGA Group** been proposed to detect a •Phys. Rev. D 65, 022005 (2002). statistically significant G. Modestino, A. Moleti association between GW •Phys. Rev. D 66, 102202 (2002). signals and GRBs. **ROG Collaboration**

Principal problems

- Need to investigate a <u>wide time window</u> to include several possible delays. As a matter of facts, taking into account the recent astrophysical hypothesis: T_{GW} - T_{GRB} = ΔT ~1000 s
- Non-stationary noise condition. GW data are often dominated by the contribution of non-gaussian, non stationary noise.

<u>In order to consider these fundamental items,</u> <u>studies of adaptive algorithms are required.</u>

The two cumulative techniques

Combine the output data from each GRB and from different GW detectors, to simulate a single detector of better sensitivity. Statistically significant: $S/N \sim (N_{det} \times N_{GRBs})^{1/2}$ BUT...

We need to assume:

 $\Delta T = T_{GW} - T_{GRB} \sim cost$

Cross-correlate the output of **two** GW detectors.

 $h^2 \sim [\Delta T / (N_{GRBs} BW)]^{1/2}$

Effective also in the case of poor statistic.

No hypothesis is required about ΔT (model independent).

EXPLORER - NAUTILUS Cross-correlation result



h $\leq 1.2 \ 10^{-18} \ (\Delta T = \pm 400s)$ h $\leq 6.5 \ 10^{-19} \ (\Delta T = \pm 5s)$

Astone et al. (ROG Coll), Phys. Rev. D 66 102002 (2002).

amaldi5

Searching for GW counterparts at GRB arrival time.

- •GRB list = BATSE + Beppo-Sax
- •GRB arrival time = Peak Time
- •GW detectors = EXPLORER + NAUTILUS
- DT = $|T_{GRB} T_{GW}| = 0$ (Peak Time ± 5 s)
- •GW data background = 30 minutes with temperature noise $t_n < 15$ 10⁻³ kelvin (Peak Time ± 15 min)
- •Cumulative median algorithm

ROG Coll. (in progress)

Adopting the median algorithm (instead of average)

ROG Coll in progress

Better sensitivity : the output filtered data have an exponential distribution. So:

 $m = (\ln 2) \mu$

(m and μ the are the median and the mean value of the distribution)

Better stationary : the background is much less affected by spurious peaks.

It is ideal for searching small systematic signals



1990 1992 1994 1996 1998 2000 2002 2004

Cumulative Median

ROG Coll. (in progress)

- Integration Time: ±5s
- Overlapping 304 30 min GW data stretches

GW detector energy as a function of the GW-GRB delay



Conclusions:

The last decade contains a very rich data archive for searching association between GW and GRB emissions.

Correlating the data of the GW bar detectors with existent GRB lists, significant measurements are performed.

Interesting ranges have been investigated : $h \sim 10^{-19}$.

The results represent relevant indications for future measurements