

The sound of Recoiling ex-Al Particles in Nautilus?

J.O.

November 17, 2001

Remarks in advance

- **Energy-amplitude relation:**

- thermo acoustic (TA)

$$\epsilon_{TA} = E_{absorbed}/(YV)$$

bar volume V , Young modulus Y , amplitude $s = L * \epsilon_{TA}$, bar length L ,

- mechanical (ME)

$$\epsilon_{ME} = \sqrt{E_{ME}/(YV)}$$

- **Production rate:**

- 0.6 m Nautilus diameter:

20% of 1 GeV hadrons interact
with Al-nucleus

- cosmic ray hadrons $E > 1$ GeV:
8/s hit Nautilus.

Essentials of a mechanical model

- **5 step mechanical sound excitation model:**

- 1: cosmic ray hadron + Al nucleus
→ multiple hadronic interactions
 - 2: nuclei recoil
 - 3: ions forms clusters of holes
 - 4: holes \equiv deformation, strain
 - 5: sudden strain
→ sound mode excited

- **presentation**

- first global walk through
 - then repeat each step with some detail

First round

- **Recoil nucleus:**

- hadron throws ≥ 1 nucleon out of Al-nucleus
- nucleus acquires recoil energy $\geq 115 \text{ MeV}/c$,
250 keV in bar's frame of reference.

- **Multiplication:**

- repeated hadronic interactions multiply recoiling nuclei
- I *assume* $N_{rec} \approx 50$.

- **Lattice holes:**

- recoiling nucleus of 250 keV
- energy transferring cascade
- producing cluster
with $N_h \approx 2100$ lattice vacancies
in volume of $\approx 0.2 \mu\text{m}$ radius.

- **Mechanical energy:**

- I *assume* mechanical deformation energy of a hole = lattice binding energy of Al atom

- $E_{ME}/\text{hole} = 3 \text{ eV.}$

- displacement,

- unlike thermo-acoustic heating,
more like momentum transfer,
hammer's effect:
"mechanical".

- **Sound signal:**

- effective temperature
of the mechanically excited sound mode
for Nautilus
- $T^{ME}(\text{in } K) = 2 * 10^{22} * E_{ME}(\text{in } J) *$
 $* [\alpha \sin^2(\pi \frac{x}{l}) + (1 - \alpha) \cos^2(\pi \frac{x}{l})] *$
 $* \Sigma_{clusters} (2\pi * \overline{d^{eff}})^2,$
 $\alpha = 0 \text{ or } 1, \text{ at random for any event.}$

- **Mechanically excited mode temperature:**

- for the measured mean Nautilus value
- $T_{hadron}^{ME} = T^{TA} \approx 10^{-2} \text{ K},$
 $\rightarrow \overline{d^{eff}} = 2 * 10^{-6} \text{ m.}$

- **Signal spread:** combined statistical distributions of
 - 1) the impinging spectrum and number of nuclear interactions per hadron,
 - 2) the number of impinging hadrons piling up in one triggered filter integration time,
 - 3) the number of shower particles producing a recoil nucleus,
 - 4) the energy of the recoiling nucleus,
 - 5) the number of cascade atoms produced by the recoiling nucleus,
 - 6) the spatial distribution of holes and interstitial atoms in the cascade cluster, and
 - 7) their possible recombination.

- **Cosmic ray counter coincidence signals**

- correlated ME
from hadrons coming along with TA
- continuous uncorrelated background
impinging cosmic ray nucleons: 8/s
piling up within filter time

- **Muons, electrons**

- produce recoiling nuclei too
- cross section too small
for the sensitivity at hand.

- Tests on existing Nautilus data:

- 1) If indeed pile up
on the TA triggered signals
 - then ME rate should vary with filter time
 - 2) If an x-position can be deduced
from the cosmic ray counters,
 - the x-asymmetry might be exploited
 - inner half of the detector
contains a mixture
 - → in outer half:
mean T^{TA} -value reduced by a factor 5,
some, $(1 - \alpha)$ -part, T^{ME} -values also
some, α -part, enhanced by a factor 5
if $\bar{\alpha} \neq 0$

- **Other tests:**

- The *NIKHEF 1998 electron* beam producing no recoil atoms, should show no ME signals, none were found.
- *Milano 1980 proton* beam producing recoils, rough estimate $T^{ME} \approx T^{TA}$, no such signals were reported:
→ estimate too rough.

- **New tests:**

- 1) RAP in e-beam very important test applicability of TA model at super conducting condition.
- 2) RAP instrument in proton beam? test applicability of the ME model
- 3) 5 m concrete around Nautilus, ME noise drop by factor ≈ 10 ?

Second round

recoil nucleus

- For $E_{hadron} \gg 1 \text{ GeV}$
- nucleon momenta in nucleus
- residual nucleus recoils: $E_{Al} = \frac{(pc)^2}{M_{Al}c^2}$
 - Al, or Mg, or ...
- nucleon density formula from geant4 manual
 - $\rho = \rho_0 / (1 + e^{(r-R)/a})$,
 - $R = r_0 A_{Al}^{1/3} = 3 \text{ fm}$, $r_0 = 1 \text{ fm}$,
 - $A_{Al} = 27$, $a = 0.54 \text{ fm}$, $\rho_0 = 0.006$
 - take nucleon at Fermi value p_F ,
 - $\rho = (p_F/\hbar)^3 / (3\pi^2)$, $E_{rec} = (p_F c)^2 / (2 M c^2)$.

• Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 dN/dE_{rec} &= dN/dp_f * dp_F/dE_{rec}, \\
 dp_F/dE_{rec} &= Mc^2/p_F, \\
 dN/dp_F &= d\rho/dp_F * dr/d\rho * dN/dr, \\
 dN/dr &= 4\pi\rho r^2, \\
 d\rho/dr &= \rho^2 a \rho_0 \exp((r - R)/a), \\
 dp_F/d\rho &= p_F/3\rho_0.
 \end{aligned}$$

- From this $\rightarrow 0 < p_N < 115 \text{ MeV/c}$
 - cut off $\frac{1}{2}M_{Al}v_s^2 = 3 \text{ eV}$, $v_s = 5000 \text{ m/s}$
 - 23% recoils $3 \text{ eV} < E_{rec} < 100 \text{ keV}$,
 - 14% recoils $100 \text{ keV} < E_{rec} < 150 \text{ keV}$,
 - 23% recoils $150 \text{ keV} < E_{rec} < 200 \text{ keV}$,
 - 40% recoils $200 \text{ keV} < E_{rec} < 250 \text{ keV}$.
- independent of hitting hadron energy

For E_{hadron} around 1 GeV

- more than a single nucleon launched from the Al-nucleus
 - remaining nucleus recoils with larger momentum than 115 MeV/c,
 - now depending on E_{hadron}
- the nucleons react further,
 - for instance $n + Al \rightarrow x_1 N + X_1$
 $\rightarrow X_1 + x_1(x_2 N + X_2) \rightarrow \dots$
 - producing more recoiling nuclei

Ion displaces atoms

- recoiling ion displaces Al-atoms
 - mostly in a cluster around end of path,
 - Ziegler's SRIM-2000:

ion energy keV	number of holes/ion	cluster diameter μm
1	20	0.002
10	160	0.02
100	1000	0.07
250	2100	0.1
1000	3700	0.3
10000	5100	0.4

- mean spacing $\overline{a_{cluster}(250)} = 0.1 * 10^{-6} / (2100)^{1/3} = 10^{-8} \text{ m}$
- interstitial excites phonons
 - high frequency lattice vibrations
 - BUT some might compensate with pressure, the hole pulling
 - net effect depending on spatial distribution

thermo-acoustic model

- energy absorption E_{abs}
- heats material along particle track
- produces excess pressure p
- excites sound mode
- $\tau_p = d/c \ll \tau_{mode} \approx L/c_s \ll \tau_{diff}$
 - d diameter, L length of bar
 - $c = 3 * 10^8$ m/s, velocity of light,
 - $c_s = 5000$ m/s velocity of sound,
 - τ_{diff} thermal diffusion time
- extra heated by temperature $\Delta T = E_{abs}^{TA}/(c_v \rho V)$
 - c_v specific heat, ρ density, V volume
- expands $\Delta V = \alpha \Delta TV = \alpha E_{abs}^{TA}/(\rho c_v)$
 - α expansion coefficient
- relative expansion $\epsilon = \Delta V/V = \alpha E_{abs}^{TA}/(\rho c_v V)$
 - dimensionless Grueneisen constant
 $\gamma = \alpha Y/(\rho c_v) \simeq 1.6$
 - Young modulus $Y = 7 * 10^{10}$ N/m²

- maximum mode amplitude from:

$$\epsilon_{TA} = \left(\frac{\Delta x}{L}\right)_{TA} = \frac{\gamma}{Y} \frac{E_{abs}^{TA}}{V} \simeq 2 * 10^{-11} \frac{E_{abs}^{TA}}{V}.$$

• Nautilus $V = 0.85 \text{ m}^3$

• super hit:

$$E_{abs}^{TA} = 1.3 * 10^{-5} \text{ J} = 87 \text{ TeV}$$

$$T_{mode} = 58 \text{ K}$$

$$\rightarrow \epsilon_{TA} \simeq 3 * 10^{-16}$$

TA mode formula check

- strain → sound mode excitation
- $\cdot T_{mode} = (M * f^2 * L^2) / k) * \epsilon^2$
 $= 1,22 * 10^{33} * \epsilon^2 \text{ K}$

• bar mass M , length L , frequency f

$$\text{Boltzmann } k = 1,4 * 10^{-23} \text{ J/K}$$

$$\cdot \epsilon = 2,2 * 10^{-16}: T_{mode} = 58 \text{ K}$$

- Thermo-acoustical $\epsilon^{TA} = \frac{E_{abs}(TA)}{YV}$
- $T_{mode}^{TA} =$
 $= (M * f^2 * L^2) / (k * V^2 * Y^2) * E_{abs}(TA)^2$
 $= 3.5 * 10^{11} E_{abs}(TA)^2 \text{ K}$
- bar volume V , Young modulus Y
- $E_{abs}(TA) = 1,3 * 10^{-5} \text{ Joule:}$
 $T_{mode}^{TA} = 58 \text{ K}$

mechanical model

- ion deforms material along track
- \rightarrow strain \rightarrow excites sound mode
- Deformation energy

$$\cdot W = \int_0^{\Delta x_0} F(\Delta x) d(\Delta x)$$

force F , displacement Δx

$$\cdot F(\Delta x) = O'Y\Delta x/L' \text{ Young modulus } Y$$

$$\cdot W = \frac{O'Y}{2L'} \Delta x_0^2$$

$$\cdot \Delta x_0 = L'\epsilon_0$$

$$\cdot W = O'Y L' \epsilon_0^2 / 2 = \frac{V'Y}{2} \epsilon_0^2$$

$$\bullet \epsilon_{ME} = (\frac{\Delta x}{L})_{ME} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{Y} \frac{E_{ME}}{V}}$$

$$\bullet g \equiv \frac{\epsilon_{ME}}{\epsilon_{TA}} = \sqrt{YV} \frac{\sqrt{E_{ME}}}{E_{abs}^{TA}}$$

- physically senseless, numerical comparison

$$\cdot W \equiv E_{ME} = E_{abs}^{TA}$$

$$\cdot \text{Nautilus: } V = 1 \text{ m}^3, E_{abs} = 1.3 * 10^{-5} \text{ J,}$$

$$Y = 10^{11} \text{ N/m}^2$$

$$\cdot g \approx 10^8 \text{ at equal energy value}$$

- $T_{mode} = \frac{M * f^2 * L^2}{k} * \epsilon^2 = 1.2 * 10^{33} \epsilon^2$
- holes source term
 - $\cdot H = \int_V \vec{\nabla} p \cdot \vec{u} dV/p$ in ϵ_{ME} .
 - Partial integration, $u(x) = \sin(\pi x/L)$,
 - $\rightarrow H = \int_V \cos(\pi x/L) dV =$
 $= \sin(\pi x_h/L) - \sin(\pi(x_h + d)/L)$
 hit position x_h , cluster diameter d
 - $\epsilon_{ME} = 2\pi(d/L)\sqrt{E_{ME}/(VY)} \cos(\pi x_h/L)$,
 - $T^{ME} = 1.2 * 10^{33} * (2\pi(d/L))^2 * (E_{ME}/(VY)) * \cos^2(\pi x_h/L)$.
- use d^{eff} instead of d
 - to express as yet unknown effects
- remaining interstitial
 - may exert compensational force

- net effect
 - maybe dipole term:
 $\epsilon_{ME} = 2\pi(d^{eff}/L)^2 \sqrt{E_{ME}/(VY)} \sin(\pi x_h/L),$
 and higher order
 - → fluctuating between \cos^2 and \sin^2
 - $T^{ME} \propto \alpha \sin^2(\pi x_h/L) + (1-\alpha) \cos^2(\pi x_h/L),$
 with $\alpha = 0$ or 1 at random for an event,
 - mean value over the measurements of:
 - $\bar{\alpha} = \beta_0(d^{eff}/L) + \beta_1 * (d^{eff}/L)^2 + \dots,$
 - unknown coefficients β

- stick to monopole & drop x-dependence
 - $\epsilon_{ME} = (2\pi d^{eff}/L)\sqrt{E_{ME}/(VY)}$
 - $T_{mode} = 2 * 10^{22} E_{ME} * (2\pi d^{eff}/L)^2$
- $E_{ME} = N_{rec} * N_{holes/cluster} * E_{hole}$
 - For $N_{rec} = 50$, $N_{holes/cluster} = 2100$,
 - $E_{hole} = 3 \text{ eV} = 5 * 10^{-19} \text{ J}$,
 - $T_{mode} = 10^{-2} \text{ K}$,
 - $\rightarrow d^{eff} = 2 * 10^{-6} \text{ m}$.
 - rather arbitrary 'gauge'
 - d^{eff} adaptive term for a theory
- maybe recombination loss
 - within $\tau_{mode} = 1 \text{ ms}$
 - $N_h(t) = N_h(0)e^{-\tau_{mode}/\tau_{rec}}$
 - or slow diffusion?

sound excitation

- thermo-acoustic: heating
- mechanical: DeWaele effect
 - a particle hits the bar "like a hammer"
 - momentum transfer → deformation
 - Colleague from former GRAIL
first suggested mechanical excitations
- focus on basic longitudinal mode,
 - and excitation along the x-axis.
 - radial forces
→ similar effect:
see Babusci & Giordano

reaction rate

- hadrons $E \gg 1$ GeV on nucleons in Al,

- hadronic cross section

$$\sigma \approx 50 \text{ mb} = 5 * 10^{-30} \text{ m}^2$$

- \rightarrow effective mass traversed $M_{eff} = \sigma \rho d$

- density $\rho = 2700 \text{ kg/m}^3$, diameter $d = 0.6 \text{ m}$

- effectively hit nucleons $N_{eff} = \frac{\sigma \rho d}{m_p} = 8d$

- nucleon mass $m_p = 1.6 * 10^{-27} \text{ kg}$

- Nautilus: $N_{eff}^{Nautilus} = 5$ per incident hadron
adding to the measured signal amplitude.

- hadrons around 1 GeV, on Al-nucleus

- divide by M_{Al} , not m_p

- about same σ

- \rightarrow on average 20% interact

- hadrons coming with TA process:
 - correlated ME contribution
- also, proton cosmic ray flux $N_p = 0.9/\text{m}^2/\text{sr}/\text{s}$
 - neutron flux 1/3 of protons
 - → Nautilus:

$$\frac{dN_p}{dt} = \pi * 2 * 1.2 = 8/\text{s}$$
 ME signals
 - background in the mode

multiplication

- by repeated nuclear interactions
- For $E_{hadron} \gg 1 \text{ GeV}$
- for each impinging hadron
 - 6 protons/s
- for each of ≈ 5 nuclear interactions
 - high momentum nucleon/pions contributing to thermo-acoustics
 - + one recoiling nucleus
- secondary particles
 - more nuclear interactions
 - + more recoiling nuclei
- average 5 interactions per impinging hadron per traversal
 - 1 every 0.12 m, I assume each to produce 2 secondaries → 2^5 or ≈ 50 recoiling nuclei.

- For E_{hadron} around 1 GeV
- 5-10 nucleons launched from the Al-nucleus
- the neutrons react further $n + Al \rightarrow xn + Al$
producing more recoiling nuclei,
I assume the same total of ≈ 50 recoiling
nuclei.

coincidence rate

- each impinging hadron → recoil nucleus
 - → sound signal
- $N_p = 8/\text{s}$ impinging hadrons
 - $1.2/(\text{m}^2/\text{s}/\text{sr})$ cosmic rays, Nautilus 2 m^2
- chance coincidence probability:
 - $P_{chance} = 2 * \tau_{filter} * N_p = 16 * \tau_{filter}$
- 16% coincidences → $\tau_{filter} > 0.01 \text{ s}$
- → 100% coincidences for $\tau_{filter} > 0.06 \text{ s}$
- ME amplitude of cosmic ray hadrons
 - independent of trigger multiplicity,

other experiments

- e-beam (Amsterdam 1998)
 - expect no recoils
 - result → no mechanical signals measured
- p-beam (Milan 1980)
 - expect recoils, $\epsilon^{ME} \approx 2 * \epsilon^{TA}$
 - no mechanical signals reported
 - → calculation needed
 - measured $B_0 = L * \epsilon^{TA} = 5.9 * 10^{-13}$ m,
at $E_{abs}^{TA} = 1 * 10^{-4}$ J, $L = 0.2$ m
nuclear cross section: $\sigma(p + Al \rightarrow recoil) = 1$ barn
cluster radius $2 * 10^{-7}$ m, 3700 holes per cluster
 $\overline{d_{cluster}^{eff}} = 2 * 10^{-6}$ m
 $6 * 10^8$ protons per burst, $I=10 \mu A$, $\tau = 10 \mu s$
 $E_p = 29$ MeV, $E_{rec} = 1$ MeV,
 $\rightarrow \epsilon^{ME} = 1.4 * 10^{-12}$

sensitivity

- **if** most of noise events
 - around $T^{now} \approx 5$ mK
 - would be ME from cosmic ray hadrons,
- **then** 5 m concrete shielding
 - $N_p^{shielded} = N_p/10 \rightarrow$
a factor of ≈ 10 smaller amplitude
 $T_{shielded}^{ME} = T_{now}^{ME}/10 \leq 0.5$ mK.

Some symbols, values

description	symbol	dimension	Nautilus	e-beam	p-beam	general
cylinder x-length	L	m	3.0	0.2	0.2	
cylinder diameter	d_{cyl}	m	0.60	0.035	0.03	
cylinder volume	V	m^3	0.85	$2 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$2 \cdot 10^{-4}$	
cylinder Al-mass	M	kg	2300	0.4	0.4	
frequency 1st long. ac. mode	f_0	Hz	900	12500	12500	
used absorbed energy	ε	J	$1.6 \cdot 10^{-5}$	0.01	$1 \cdot 10^{-4}$	
velocity of sound	c_s	m/s				5000
Young modulus Al	Y_{Al}	Nm^{-2}				$7 \cdot 10^{10}$
density Al	ρ	kg/m^3				2700
velocity of light	c	m/s				$3 \cdot 10^8$
Avogadro's number	N_{Avog}	mol^{-1}				$6 \cdot 10^{23}$
Boltzmann	k	J/K				$1.4 \cdot 10^{-23}$
proton mass	m_p	kg				$1.7 \cdot 10^{-27}$
nucleon binding	B_N	eV				$5 \cdot 10^7$
Al-atom total binding	B_A	eV				10^4
lattice binding	B_L	eV				3
Cooper binding	B_C	eV				0.003

Table 1: Some symbols and values used in the text

Some references

References

- [1] E. Coccia, A. Marini, G. Mazzitelli, G. Modestino, F. Ricci, F. Ronga, L. Votano, Nucl.Instr.Meth.Phys.Re.A355(1995)624
P. Astone et al., Nucl.Phys.B(Proc. Suppl.)70(1999)461;
P. Astone et al., Phys.Rev.Lett.84(2000)14
RAP collaboration, <http://pcmazzitelli.lng.infn.it:8080/docs/proposal.ps>
F. Ronga, <http://pcmazzitelli.lng.infn.it:8080/talk/seminario.pdf>
F. Ronga, <http://pcmazzitelli.lng.infn.it:8080/talk/rapComII.pdf>
- [2] B.L. Beron, R. Hofstadter, Phys.Rev.Lett. 23,4(1969) 184,
B.L. Beron, S.P. Boughn, W.O. Hamilton, R. Hofstadter, T.W. Martin, IEEE Trans.Nucl.Sc. NS17(1970)65.
I.A. Borshkoysky et al., On proton acoustic effect in condensed media, Lett.Nuovo Cim.12,16(1975)638.
G.A. Askariyan, B.A. Dolgoshein, A.N. Kalinovsky, N.V. Mokhov, Nucl.Instr. and Meth. 164(1979)267 and references therein.
J.G. Learned, Acoustic radiation by charged particles in liquids: An analysis, Phys.Rev.D19,11(1979)3293.
L. Sulak et al., Experimental studies of the acoustic signature of proton beams traversing fluid media, Nucl.Instr.Meth.161(1979)203.
D. Bernard, A. de Rujula, B.Lautrup, Nucl.Phys. B242(1984)93.
- [3] A.M. Grassi Strini, G. Strini, G. Tagliaferri, J.Appl.Phys. 51,2(1980)948.
- [4] G.D. van Albada, E. Coccia, V. Fafone H. van der Graaf, G. Heijboer, J.W. van Holten, W.J. Kasdorp, J.B. van der Laan, L. Lapikás, G. Mazzitelli, G.J.L. Nooren, C.W.J. Noteboom, J.E.J. Oberski, G. Pallottino, H.Z. Peek, F. Ronga, A. Schimmel, T.G.B.W. Sluijk, P. Steman, J. Venema, P.K.A. de Witt Huberts, Measurement of mechanical vibrations excited in aluminum resonators by 0.6 GeV electrons, Rev.Scient.Instr.71,3(2000),1345-1354.
- [5] Funding for Grail, a projected 3 m diameter copper sphere at mK temperature for gravitational wave detection, was refused in 1998 by the Dutch agencies NWO/FOM, in spite of the utterly positive endorsement by their own international evaluation committee of experts.
GRAIL Collaboration, GRAIL: AN OMNIDIRECTIONAL GRAVITATIONAL WAVE DETECTOR. By D. van Albada (Amsterdam U.), W. van Amersfoort, H. Boer Rookhuizen (NIKHEF, Amsterdam), J. Flokstra (Twente U. Tech., Enschede), G. Frossati (Leiden U.), H. van der Graaf, A. Heijboer, G. Heijboer (NIKHEF, Amsterdam), E. van den Heuvel (Amsterdam U.), J.W. van Holten, G.J. Nooren, J. Oberski (NIKHEF, Amsterdam), H. Rogalla (Twente U. Tech., Enschede), A. de Waele (Eindhoven, Tech. U.), P. de Witt Huberts (NIKHEF, Amsterdam). 6pp. Contributed to 2nd Gravitational Wave Data Analysis Workshop (GWDW2), Orsay, France, 13-15 Nov 1997. NIKHEF-98-009, Nov 1997; e-Print Archive: gr-qc/9804073
GRAIL Collaboration, An omnidirectional gravitational wave antenna, R&D proposal. NIKHEF, 1997
GRAIL Collaboration, The GRAIL feasibility study. Final report, NIKHEF, 1997
Holten, J.W. van, GRAIL: A study in gravitational radiation and its detection, Topics in Quantum Field Theory, Modern Methods in Fundamental Physics. World Scientific, 1995.
- [6] D.E. Groom et al., Review of Particle Physics, Eur.Phys.J.C15(2000)1.
- [7] J.F. Ziegler and J.P. Biersack, SRIM-2000.040, www.srim.org
- [8] <http://geant4.web.cern.ch/geant4/report/papers/HadronicPhysicsBook/node90.html>