



# Progress with Brick Manipulator System (BMS)

# Inocencio Monteiro & Pierre Mugnier





# Progress with Brick Manipulator System (BMS)

- Protection skates, Changeable Sheet Support
  - Skate upgrade for CS holding
  - Linked protection skates
  - Comparison linked/separate skates
  - CSS
- Tests
  - Measurements of friction coefficient for polyethylene skates
  - Tests of "narrow bricks" running on the tray
  - Deformation of the bridge under loading & conclusions
  - Interface between tray/BMS
- Interface between magnet /BMS
- Platform kinematics
- Progress in automatism
- Schedule





# **CS holding skates**







### **Brick and CS with linked skates**

lapp







## Linked skates



P. Mugnier LAPP le 16/10/02

P. Mugnier

#### FRASCATI 29/10/02 6





# Comparison linked/separate skates

#### With separate skates:

- clearance depending on the brick size
- Point of application of brick weight on tray changing for small bricks
- Brick position not known to 1 mm
- Supporting band 12 mm
- Dismounting of CS easier (ungluing only rear skates)
- Gluing thickness equally small everywhere

#### With linked skates:

- Clearance of the brick with respect to the tray fixed
- Brick weight applied on the tray in a more controlled way
- Brick position known within 1 mm
- Supporting band 10 mm
- Dismounting of CS more difficult (ungluing all skates)
- Gluing thickness variable, can be large on front side





# **CS** plastic support





Measurements of friction coefficient for polyethylene skates on bricks equipped with origami

#### Properties

- Brick weight: 7.75 kg
- Clearance between tray/brick:
  0.5 =>1mm without chamfer (skate edges were not cut)
- Results
  - □ Friction coefficient: 0.16
  - Friction coefficient measured on a inclined plane: 0.10
- Conclusion
  - A realistic train of bricks has a lot more friction than a brick: train internal friction, side frictions (small clearance case)
  - Increased efforts, but still manageable







## Tests of "narrow bricks" running on the tray







## Conclusions of the tests with "narrow bricks"

#### Properties

- Brick weight: 7.75 kg
- Clearance between tray/brick: 3mm (edges of skates not cut)

#### Results

- POM
  - □ friction coefficient measured on a train of 26 bricks: 0.11
  - □ Friction coefficient measured on a inclined plane: 0.114
- Polyethylene
  - □ friction coefficient measured on a train of 26 bricks: 0.10
  - □ Friction coefficient measured on a inclined plane: 0.096
- Conclusions
  - Coherent results: less friction in case of large clearances
  - Improvement of skates: define chamfered edges for skates to take into account the tray radius





# Deformation of the bridge under loading (7 bricks)

Opera



Bridge deformation with respect to the bridge support.

Measurements agree with computation (0.5mm)

P. Mugnier



FRASCATI 29/10/02 12





## Interface between tray/BMS



The requirement is an extension of about 20 mm space at the end of the tray



### **Interface Magnet/BMS**

#### Parameters

- When platform in rear position
  - 200mm/magnet
  - □ 100mm min/cooling tubes!
- Magnet distance/tunnel wall increased 2000 to 2250 (as agreed with the technical coordinator)
  - To be able to use the crane for exchanging "brick baskets" of the loading station.







## **Platform kinematics**





## Progress in automatism (Pusher installs 26 bricks on the tray, then VV extracts the third brick)





## End of 2002

□ Call for tender of "porticos"

#### **2003**

- Fabrication of platforms
- Fabrication of "porticos"
- Preparation of the lift installation in a LAPP laboratory

#### 2004

Tests of the BMS at LAPP



