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## Available space



## Available space



## Front view <br> (cross section)

## Side view



|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | "Slot" detector |
|  |  |

- Two $3 \times 2$ matrices of $1.5 \times 1.5 \times 16 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of LYSO bars
- Total volume $864 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$
- Readout with 4 PMmultianode
- Possible Luminosity-monitor "Slot" detector in the last 7 cm


## Pb-Scintillator design à la Kloe

Front view


- Along $z$ axis:

320 grooved 0.5 mm thick lead foils alternated with layers of cladded 1 mm diameter scintillating fibers

- Readout with PMmultianode



## Pb-Scintillator "Spaghetti" design

## Front view

(cross section)


- Along $y$ axis:

120 grooved 0.5 mm thick lead foils alternated with layers of cladded 1 mm diameter scintillating fibers

- Readout with PMmultianode



## Physical properties of materials

| Material | LYSO | Pb-Scint |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Density (g/cm $\left.{ }^{3}\right)$ | 7.4 | 5.3 |
| Radiation Length (cm) | 1.1 | 1.6 |
| Molière Radius (cm) | 1.9 | 2.9 |
| Decay Constant (ns) | $40-44$ | 2.4 |
| Peak Emission (nm) | 428 | 460 |
| Radiation Hardness (rad) | $\sim 10^{8}$ | $\sim 10^{6}$ |

## Energy Resolution

## LYSO GEANT4 simulation $_{1}$

## Deposited energy/E $E_{\gamma}$





Log-normal distribution
$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d f}{d E}=\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma_{E} \sigma_{0}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{\ln ^{2}\left(1-\frac{\eta\left(E-E_{0}\right)}{\sigma_{E}}\right)}{\sigma_{0}^{2}}+\sigma_{0}^{2}\right]} \\ & \sigma_{0}=\frac{2}{2.35} \ln \left[\eta \frac{2.35}{2}+\sqrt{1+\left(\eta \frac{2.35}{2}\right)^{2}}\right]\end{aligned} \sigma_{E}=\frac{\mathrm{FWHM}}{2.35}$

| $E_{\gamma}(\mathrm{GeV})$ | $\sigma_{E_{\gamma}} / E_{\gamma}$ Central <br> (yellow square) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1.0-1.4$ | $3.6 \%$ |
| $0.2-0.4$ | $4.9 \%$ |

## LYSO GEANT4 simulation $_{2}$

Deposited energy/E ${ }_{\gamma}$


| $E_{\gamma}(\mathrm{GeV})$ | $\sigma_{E_{\gamma}} / E_{\gamma}$ Central <br> (yellow square) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1.0-1.4$ | $26.0 \%$ |
| $0.2-0.4$ | $32.0 \%$ |

## Energy resolution, the ISR case



|  | LYSO | Pb-Scint |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E_{\gamma}(\mathrm{GeV})$ | $\sigma_{E_{\gamma}} / E_{\gamma}$ | $\sigma_{E_{\gamma}} / E_{\gamma}$ |
| 1.5 | $5.7 \%$ | $12.9 \%$ |
| 1.0 | $6.4 \%$ | $15.1 \%$ |
| 0.5 | $7.8 \%$ | $20.1 \%$ |

Energy resolution for ISR


|  | LYSO | Pb-Scint |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C_{1}$ | $4.3 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ |
| $C_{2}$ | $4.6 \%$ | $13.4 \%$ |

## Bremsstrahlung simulation




- $E_{\text {beam }}=1.89 \mathrm{GeV}$
- $E_{\gamma}^{\text {min }}=50 \mathrm{MeV}$
- $\sigma_{\mathrm{Bre}}(4 \pi)=353 \mathrm{mb}$
- $\sigma_{\text {Bre }}($ ZDD $)=10 \mathrm{mb}$
- $\mathcal{L}=8 \times 10^{32} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
- ISR in ZDD 13.7\% of total solid angle
- Bremsstrahlung in ZDD 2.8\% of total solid angle
- Bremsstrahlung rate in a single ZDD element (upper or lower):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 800 \mathrm{kHz} \text { at } \mathcal{L}=3 \times 10^{32} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\
& 2.1 \mathrm{MHz} \text { at } \mathcal{L}=8 \times 10^{32} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Bremsstrahlung rate

$$
\mathcal{L}=3 \times 10^{32} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Bremsstrahlung rate in $10 \mathrm{MeV} E_{\gamma}$ intervals


Integrated
Bremsstrahlung rate


## Pileup effect 1 : signal generation

## Maximum Bremsstrahlung rate expected 2.1 MHz (ZDD/4)

- Flash ADC: $500 \mathrm{MS} / \mathrm{s}, 8$-bit resolution
- LYSO signal:

$$
\text { Intensity }=e^{-t / \tau_{d}}\left(1-e^{-t / \tau_{r}}\right)
$$

rising time $\tau_{r}=2 \mathrm{~ns}$, decay time $\tau_{d}=40 \mathrm{~ns}$





## Probability of pileup as a function of the Bremsstrahlung rate



## Probability of pileup as a function of the Bremsstrahlung rate



## Pileup effect ${ }_{2}$ : probability

## Probability of pileup as a function of the Bremsstrahlung rate




## Probability of pileup as a function of the Bremsstrahlung rate




## Pileup effect ${ }_{2}$ : probability

## Probability of pileup as a function of the Bremsstrahlung rate




## Pileup effect 3 : evaluation

- 500 events have been generated at various rates
- E.g. at 2500 kHz:

158 (31.6\%) have $\Delta t_{\mathrm{ISR}}<160 \mathrm{~ns} \sim 4$ decay times

- We fit these signals to verify our capability to distinguish ISR and Bremsstrahlung contributions





## Pileup effect $_{4}$ in $T=160 \mathrm{~ns}$

The fit goodness is expressed as $\left(\sigma_{E} / E\right)_{\text {fit }}=\left(E_{\text {gen }}-E_{\text {fit }}\right) / E_{\text {gen }}$, where $E_{\text {gen }}$ is the generated ISR amplitude and $E_{\text {fit }}$ is its fitted value

We consider as a reference accuracies: $\left\{\begin{array}{l}7 \% \sim \frac{\sigma_{E}}{E} \text { LYSO } \\ 15 \% \sim \frac{\sigma_{E}}{E} \text { Pb-Scint }\end{array}\right.$

- $E_{\gamma_{\text {IS }}} \in[0.5 \mathrm{GeV}, 1.5 \mathrm{GeV}]$, mild dependence on $E_{\gamma_{\text {IS }}}$

| rate <br> $(\mathbf{k H z})$ | Pileup in 160 ns <br> $(\%)$ | $\left(\sigma_{E} / E\right)_{\mathrm{it}}>\mathbf{7 \%}$ <br> $(\%)$ | $\left(\sigma_{E} / E\right)_{\mathrm{fit}}>15 \%$ <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2500 | 30 | 9.4 | 4.8 |
| 2100 | 26 | 8.1 | 4.2 |
| 1000 | 14 | 4.3 | 2.2 |
| 800 | 10 | 3.2 | 1.6 |

## Physics

## The $n \bar{n} \gamma_{\text {IS }}$ physics case

- $\mathbf{e}^{+} \mathbf{e}^{-} \rightarrow n \bar{n} \gamma_{\text {IS }}$ at a center of mass energy: $E_{c . m .}=3.77 \mathrm{GeV}$
- Initial state photon energy range: $50 \mathrm{MeV} \leq E_{\gamma_{\mathrm{IS}}} \leq \frac{E_{c . m .}}{2}\left(1-\frac{4 M_{n}^{2}}{E_{c . m .}^{2}}\right)$
- Beam pipe suppresses sinc. rad. bkg. and $\gamma_{\text {Is }}$ with $E_{\gamma_{\text {IS }}}<50 \mathrm{MeV}$
- रis in ZDD and only antineutron detected in BESIII

- 10000 events with $\gamma_{\text {IS }} \rightarrow$ ZDD
$M_{\text {had }}=E_{\text {c.m. }} \sqrt{1-\frac{2 E_{\gamma_{l S}}}{E_{\text {c.m. }}}}$
Geometrical cut:
$\bar{n} \rightarrow$ BESIII
No constraint in $n$


## The $n \bar{n} \gamma_{\text {IS }}$ physics case

- $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow n \bar{n} \gamma_{\text {IS }}$ at a center of mass energy: $E_{\text {c.m. }}=3.77 \mathrm{GeV}$
- Initial state photon energy range: $50 \mathrm{MeV} \leq E_{\gamma_{\mathrm{IS}}} \leq \frac{E_{c . m .}}{2}\left(1-\frac{4 M_{n}^{2}}{E_{c . m .}^{2}}\right)$
- Beam pipe suppresses sinc. rad. bkg. and $\gamma_{\text {Is }}$ with $E_{\gamma_{\text {IS }}}<50 \mathrm{MeV}$
- 才is in ZDD and only antineutron detected in BESIII


10000 events with $\gamma_{\text {IS }} \rightarrow$ ZDD
$M_{\text {had }}=E_{c . m .} \sqrt{1-\frac{2 E_{\gamma_{I S}}}{E_{c . m}}}$

- Geometrical cut:
- $\bar{n} \rightarrow$ BESIII
- No constraint in $n$


## The $n \bar{\pi} \gamma_{\text {Is }}$ physics case: kinematic fit

## Inputs (6)

- $\bar{n}$ 3-momentum (TOF)
$\gamma_{\text {IS }} 3$-momentum (ZDD)


## Constraints (4)

4-momentum cons.

## Unknowns (3)

- $\boldsymbol{n}$ 4-momentum

$$
\chi^{2}=\sum_{\text {tracks }} \sum_{i} \frac{\left(p_{i}^{\text {exp }}-p_{i t i t}\right)^{2}}{\sigma_{P_{i}}^{2}}
$$




## The $n \bar{n} \gamma_{\text {Is }}$ physics case: kinematic fit

## Inputs (6)

- $\bar{n}$ 3-momentum (TOF)
- $\gamma_{\text {Is }} 3$-momentum (ZDD)


## Constraints (4)

4-momentum cons.

## Unknowns (3)

- $n 4$-momentum

$$
\chi^{2}=\sum_{\text {tracks }} \sum_{i} \frac{\left(p_{i}^{\text {exp }}-p_{i l}^{\text {fit }}\right)^{2}}{\sigma_{p_{i}}^{2}}
$$




- $\boldsymbol{e}^{+} \boldsymbol{e}^{-} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{n} \bar{n} \pi^{0}$ is one of the main backgrounds

Assuming $\sigma\left(e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow n \bar{n} \pi^{0}\right) \simeq \sigma\left(e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow p \bar{p} \pi^{0}\right)$ :

$$
\frac{\operatorname{Ev}\left(n \bar{n} \pi^{0}\right)}{\operatorname{Ev}(n \bar{n} \gamma)}\left[M_{\Upsilon(4 S)}\right] \simeq R_{B A B A R}=\frac{\operatorname{Ev}\left(p \bar{p} \pi^{0}\right)}{\operatorname{Ev}(p \bar{p} \gamma)}\left[M_{\Upsilon(4 S)}\right]=0.06
$$

- In BESIII, directly at the $\boldsymbol{\psi}(\mathbf{3 7 7 0})$ mass:


$$
\gamma_{\mathrm{IS}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z D D}
$$

ZDD solid angle BESIII solid angle

$$
\Rightarrow \frac{\operatorname{Ev}\left(n \bar{n} \pi^{0}, \pi^{0} \rightarrow 0^{0}\right)}{\operatorname{Ev}\left(n \bar{n} \gamma, \gamma \rightarrow 0^{\circ}\right)}=0.0008
$$

$\frac{2 \cdot\left(2 \cdot 4.5 \cdot 3 / 349^{2}\right)}{4 \pi \cos \theta_{\min }}=3.8 \cdot 10^{-5}$
> $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow n \bar{n} \pi^{0} \gamma \mathrm{IS}$
> is a severe background having the IS photon

Yields from BABAR


## - After geometrical cut <br> High contamination at high $M_{\text {had }}$



## The $n \bar{\pi} \pi^{0} \gamma_{\text {IS }}$ background reduction

- $\pi^{0}$ detection in BESIII: at least one of the $\pi^{0}$ photons with $E_{\gamma}>50 \mathrm{MeV}$ in BESIII not in a 200 mrad cone around $\bar{n}$ direction


## Kinematic fit:



- $\pi^{0}$ detection in BESIII: at least one of the $\pi^{0}$ photons with $E_{\gamma}>50 \mathrm{MeV}$ in BESIII not in a 200 mrad cone around $\bar{n}$ direction

Kinematic fit: $\quad \chi^{2} \leq 10$



- $\pi^{0}$ detection in BESIII: at least one of the $\pi^{0}$ photons with $E_{\gamma}>50 \mathrm{MeV}$ in BESIII not in a 200 mrad cone around $\bar{n}$ direction
( Kinematic fit: $\chi^{2} \leq 10$


- $\pi^{0}$ detection in BESIII: at least one of the $\pi^{0}$ photons with $E_{\gamma}>50 \mathrm{MeV}$ in BESIII not in a 200 mrad cone around $\bar{n}$ direction

Kinematic fit: $\quad \chi^{2} \leq 10$



## Energy resolution in $\bar{n} \gamma_{\text {Is }}$ missing mass

- Events are generated with fixed value of $M_{\text {had }}=E_{c . m .} \sqrt{1-2 E_{\gamma_{\text {IS }}} / E_{c . m}}$.
- The $\bar{n} \gamma_{I S}$ missing mass is obtained only from experimental data








## Energy resolution in $M_{\text {had }}$ slices

- Events are generated with fixed value of $M_{\text {had }}=E_{c . m .} \sqrt{1-2 E_{\gamma_{\text {IS }}} / E_{c . m}}$.
- $M_{\text {had }}$ is reconstructed using the kinematic fit procedure




$M_{\text {had }}=3.2 \mathrm{GeV}-E_{\gamma_{\text {IS }}}=0.5 \mathrm{GeV}$




## Energy resolutions

( Two-gaussian fit: $\sigma=$
half width of the area, symmetric w.r.t. the center of mass of the distributions, which contains the $68 \%$ of events

Width of the $\bar{n} \gamma_{\text {IS }}$ miss. mass

$\sigma_{\mathrm{n}}$ is dominated by $\delta p_{\bar{n}}$
small $M_{\text {had }} \Rightarrow$ large $E_{\gamma_{\mid S}} \Rightarrow$ small $\delta E_{\gamma_{\mid S}}$

Energy resolution in $\boldsymbol{M}_{\text {had }}$ bins

$\sigma_{\text {tot }}$ is dominated by $\delta E_{\text {रIs }}$
large $M_{\text {had }} \Rightarrow$ small $E_{\gamma_{\mid S}} \Rightarrow$ large $\delta E_{\gamma_{\mid S}}$

## Expected events

One year of data taking: $\quad T=1.5 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~s}$
( Average luminosity: $\overline{\mathcal{L}}=1.5 \times 10^{32} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
Detection efficiency:

- Center of mass energy:
$E_{\text {c.m. }}=3.77 \mathrm{GeV}$



## Other possible physics items $R_{\text {had }}$ in the 1-3 GeV region

- Accessible had-CoM energy: $E_{\text {had }}=\sqrt{E_{\text {coll }}^{2}-2 E_{\text {coll }} E_{\gamma / \mathrm{s}}}$
- PDG: $\gamma \gamma 2$ and BESII ( $2-3 \mathrm{GeV}$ ) only
- ISR: small systematic error versus Ehad
- ISR on ZDD: negligible $\pi^{0}$ background
- $\left|\Delta E_{\text {had }}\right|=\left|\Delta E_{\gamma_{\text {IS }}}\right| E_{\text {coll }} / E_{\text {had }}$ : feasible only if $E_{\text {coll }} / E_{\text {had }} \sim 1$ (not for $B$-factories)
- BESIII: $E_{\text {coll }} \sim 3.5 \mathrm{GeV} \Rightarrow E_{\text {had }} \simeq 1-3 \mathrm{GeV}$
- LYSO: $\left|\Delta E_{\text {had }}\right| \simeq 150 \mathrm{MeV}$
- Pb-Scint: $\left|\triangle E_{\text {had }}\right| \simeq 300 \mathrm{MeV}$
- $\left|\Delta E_{\text {had }}\right|$ reduced by deconvolution techniques


## Radiation hardness

Radiation damages mostly due to Bremsstrahlung:

- One year of data taking:
- Average luminosity:
- Center of mass energy:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sigma_{\text {Bre }}(\text { ZDD } / 4)=2.6 \mathrm{mb} \\
T=1.5 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~s} \\
\overline{\mathcal{L}}=1.5 \times 10^{32} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\
E_{\text {c.m. }}=3.77 \mathrm{GeV}
\end{array}
$$

## Declared hardness

- LYSO $\sim 10^{8}$ rad
- Scint. $\sim 10^{6}$ rad


## Costs and agenda

## Costi e richieste per il 2010

LYSO

| Componente | quantità | costo <br> (KEuro) |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| LYSO sICCAS | $24+4$ | 30 |
| PMmultianode | 4 | 10 |
| TDC $(24$ ch $)$ | 1 | 5 |
| FADC $(8 \mathrm{ch})$ | 3 | 15 |
| Crate CAEN | 1 | 5 |
| HV supply | 1 | 10 |
|  | Totale | $\mathbf{7 5}$ |

Richieste per il 2010

- LYSO 30 KEuro
- Consumo 2 KEuro
- PMmultianode in prestito da Kloe

Totale
32 KEuro

Pb-Scint

| Componente | quantità | costo <br> (KEuro) |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Pb-Scint | Kloe modulo zero |  |
| PMmultianode | 12 | $\mathbf{3 0}$ |
| ADC $(24 \mathrm{ch})$ | 1 | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| TDC $(24 \mathrm{ch})$ | 1 | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| Crate CAEN | 1 | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| HV supply | 1 | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| Totale |  |  |

## Richieste per il 2010

- Taglio e fresatura........ 2 KEuro
- Sviluppo elettronica ..... 2 KEuro
- Consumo ................. . 1 KEuro
- PMmultianode in prestito da Kloe

Totale ........ . 5 KEuro

## Pro/contro

|  | LYSO | Pb-Scint |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Time decay | slow | fast |
| Rad. hardness | ok | limit |
| $\Delta M_{\text {had }}$ (MeV) | 150 | 350 |
| Feasibility | easy | Kloe modulo zero |
| Costs (KEuro) | 74 | 55 <br> (if Kloe modulo is working) |

## Milestones

## LYSO Option

- Agosto 2010: consegna cristalli
- Settembre 2010: assemblaggio
- Ottobre 2010 ?: test rate e PM (Kloe2)
- $\leq$ Dicembre 2010: definizione elettronica
- Gennaio-Febbraio 2011: consegna PM Hamamatzu
- Febbraio 2011: consegna elettronica CAEN
- Marzo-Giugno 2011: test
- Agosto 2011: installazione a BESIII


## Pb-Scint Option

- Luglio 2010: taglio modulo zero
- Giugno-Settembre 2010: assemblaggio
- $\leq$ Dicembre 2010: definizione elettronica e test BTF per Pb-Scint
- Gennaio-Febbraio 2011: consegna PM Hamamatzu
- Febbraio 2011: consegna elettronica CAEN
- Marzo-Giugno 2011: test
- Agosto 2011: installazione a BESIII

