Random matrices and the QCD sign problem

M. Stephanov

U. of Illinois at Chicago

with J. Han

Random matrices and the QCD sign problem - p. 1/1

QCD Phase Diagram



QCD Phase Diagram









Experiments can scan the phase diagram by changing \sqrt{s} : RHIC, SPS, FAIR. Signatures: event-by-event fluctuations.

Susceptibilities diverge \Rightarrow fluctuations grow towards the critical point.



Sign problem. Lattice:

- Reweighting
- Taylor expansion
- Imaginary μ
- Canonical





The phase of the Dirac determinant

Let det $\mathbb{M} = |\det \mathbb{M}| e^{i\theta}$. The average phase factor:

$$\langle e^{i2\theta} \rangle_{1+1^*} = \frac{\langle e^{i2\theta} |\det \mathbb{M}|^2 \rangle_0}{\langle |\det \mathbb{M}|^2 \rangle_0} = \frac{Z_{1+1}}{Z_{1+1^*}} \equiv R$$

R measures the severity of the sign problem.
In QCD:

$$R(T,\mu) = \frac{Z_{1+1}}{Z_{1+1^*}} = \frac{e^{VP_{1+1}/T}}{e^{VP_{1+1^*}/T}}$$

For example, when $T \ll m_{\pi}$,

$$P_{1+1^*} \sim \mu^2 e^{-m_\pi/T}$$

while

$$P_{1+1} \sim \mu^2 e^{-m_N/T} \ll P_{1+1*}$$
 (\Rightarrow Cohen: $m_N \ge 3/2 m_\pi$)

Thus

$$R \sim \exp\left(-V\mu^2 e^{-m_{\pi}/T}\right) \to 0$$
 as $V \to \infty$ (Splittorff)

${\cal R}$ and the severity of the sign problem

 \blacksquare In a finite volume V (as in lattice simulations) R is also finite.

(Ejiri)

In a MC calculation, when R becomes small, noise may cause spurious zeros in $Z_{1+1} \sim R$, which might be misidentified as Lee-Yang zeros.

These fluctuations are large when $1+1^*$ approaches phase transition to pion condensation.
(Splittorff)

• This happens because μ enters the domain of eigenvalues of Dirac operator in μ -plane (right):

$$\det \mathbb{M} = \prod_{i} (\mu - \mu_i) = 0.$$

Small fluctuation in the position of an eigenvalue μ_i translates into a large change in phase of det M.



0.5

1

0

-0.5

- 1

R and pion condensation boundary in ${\bf RMM}$

To guard against possible misidentification of the critical point it is important to know where the boundary of pion condensation occurs at $T \neq 0$.

An approach: use RMM to study the behavior of $R(T, \mu)$.

$$Z_{1+1} = \langle \det^2 \mathbb{M} \rangle_0 = \int \mathcal{D}X \, e^{-N \operatorname{Tr} X X^{\dagger}} \det^2 \mathbb{M}$$

where M is the $2N \times 2N$ matrix approximating the Dirac operator:

$$\mathbb{M} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & iX + C \\ iX^{\dagger} + C & 0 \end{pmatrix} + m + \mu\gamma_0; \qquad C = iT\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

"Matsubara"

X is $N \times N$ complex random matrix. $N \to \infty$ corresponds to thermodynamic limit.

$$Z_{1+1^*} = \langle \det \mathbb{M} \det \mathbb{M}^* \rangle_0$$

Properties of the Random Matrix Model

. For $\mu \neq 0$ det \mathbb{M} is complex \Rightarrow sign problem.

Solvable analytically.

Examples:

Phase diagram (1+1):



(Halasz et al)

Somplex μ singularities (Taylor exp. convergence radius)



Analytical solution of RMM

After Hubbard-Stratonovich:

$$Z_{1+1} = \int \mathcal{D}A \, e^{-N \operatorname{tr} AA^{\dagger}} \det^{\frac{N}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} A+m & \mu+i\pi T \\ \mu+i\pi T & A^{\dagger}+m \end{pmatrix} \times \text{(same with } T \to -T\text{)}$$

where A is complex 2×2 (i.e., $N_{\rm f}\times N_{\rm f})$ matrix.

$$Z_{1+1*} = Z_{1+1} \Big|_{\mu \to \mu \tau_3};$$
 $(\mathbb{M}^* = \mathbb{M} \Big|_{\mu \to -\mu})$

● Define $\Omega(A)$: $Z = \int \mathcal{D}A e^{-N\Omega(A)}$, $N \to \infty$ dominated by saddle point of $\Omega(A)$:

$$A - \frac{(A+m)[(A+m)^2 - \mu^2 + T^2]}{[(A+m)^2 - \mu^2 + T^2]^2 + 4\mu^2 T^2} = 0$$

■ This saddle point is the same for 1 + 1 and $1 + 1^*$ RMM (outside the pion condensation domain) and also $\min \Omega_{1+1} = \min \Omega_{1+1^*}$. I.e.

$$R\sim \frac{e^{-N\Omega_{1+1}}}{e^{-N\Omega_{1+1}*}}\to e^{0\cdot N}\sim 1\qquad \text{as }N\to\infty\text{, not }0.$$

Random matrices and the QCD sign problem -p. 8/2

Analytical solution of RMM (contd.)

Need second derivative matrix $\frac{\partial^2 \Omega}{\partial A_{..} \partial A_{..}} \equiv \Omega_{....}^{''}$:

$$R = \frac{Z_{1+1}}{Z_{1+1^*}} = \left(\frac{\det\Omega_{1+1}^{\prime\prime}}{\det\Omega_{1+1^*}^{\prime\prime}}\right)^{-1/2} = \left|\frac{b_3^2 - b_4^2}{b_1^2 - b_2^2}\right|$$

where

$$b_{1} = \frac{(A+m)^{2}}{W} \left(1 - \frac{8T^{2}\mu^{2}}{W}\right)$$

$$b_{2} = 1 - \frac{T^{2} - \mu^{2}}{W} - \frac{8T^{2}\mu^{2}(A+m)^{2}}{W^{2}}$$

$$b_{3} = 1 - \frac{T^{2} + \mu^{2}}{W}$$

$$b_{4} = \frac{(A+m)^{2}}{W}$$

$$W = (A+m)^{4} + 2(A+m)^{2}(T^{2} - \mu^{2}) + (T^{2} + \mu^{2})^{2}$$

$R(T,\mu)$ contour plot



Sign problem is less severe at higher temperature :)

$R(T,\mu)$ contour plot



Sign problem is less severe at higher temperature :)

P First order transition of 1+1 is inside the R = 0 boundary :(

Interesting limits

 \checkmark T=0, small m and $\mu \sim \sqrt{m}$ (Splittorff, Verbaarschot)

$$R \approx 1 - \frac{2\mu^2}{m} = 1 - \left(\frac{2\mu}{m_\pi}\right)^2.$$

$$\checkmark$$
 $T < 1$, small m and $\mu \sim \sqrt{m}$

$$R \approx 1 - \sqrt{1 - T^2} \left(\frac{2\mu}{m_\pi}\right)^2$$

sign problem weakens with T.

Chiral limit (m = 0), any μ , T

$$R = \frac{[(T^2 + \mu^2)^2 - (T^2 + \mu^2)]^2}{[(T^2 + \mu^2)^2 - (T^2 - \mu^2)]^2}$$

$$R = 0$$
 in a 90° pie: $T^2 + \mu^2 < 1$.



R = 0 boundary near $T = 1, \mu = 0$

How does the R = 0 (pion condensation) boundary approach T = 1, $\mu \to 0$ as $m_{\pi} \to 0$?



In RMM, expanding the analytic solution (notation: $t \equiv T^2 - 1$)

$$A^3 + A(t + 3\mu^2) - m = 0$$

i.e. at m = 0 $A \sim (-t - 3\mu^2)^{1/2} = (t_c - t)^{1/2}$ or $A \sim m^{1/3}$ at $t = t_c$. \checkmark The R = 0 curve is

$$T^{2} = 1 - \mu^{2} - \frac{m^{2}}{4\mu^{4}} = 1 - m^{2/3}F\left(\frac{\mu}{m^{1/3}}\right),$$

with $F(x) = x^2 + 1/(4x^2)$ – a scaling function.

Pion condensation boundary as $m_{\pi} \rightarrow 0$ – scaling



In RMM: $T_c - T_* \sim m^{2/3}$ and $\mu_* = m^{1/3}$ (slower than $m_\pi \sim m^{1/2}$).

In QCD: $T_c - T_* \sim m^{1/(\beta\delta)}$ and $\mu_* = m^{1/(2\beta\delta)}$?

Summary

Using analytical solution of RMM we found $R = \langle e^{2i\theta} \rangle$ at finite T and μ .

Sign problem is less severe at higher T.

- The 1 + 1 phase transition is hidden inside the R = 0 (pion condensation) domain.
- As $m \to 0$ the domain R = 0 approaches $T = T_c$, $\mu = 0$ point in a self-similar way, with $\mu_* \sim m^{1/3}$ (in RMM) slower than m_{π} .