REVIEW OF WIGGLER PARAMETERS

E.Levichev

(Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk)

Mini-Workshop on Wiggler Optimization for Emittance Control INFN-URF, Freeced 21-22 February 2005



Content

- Storage ring lattice and damping wiggler parameters
- Damping wigglers optimization
- Few examples of possible design of damping wiggler
- Conclusions

Mini-Workshop on Wiggler Optimization for Emittance Control IIIFII-UIF, Freeced 21-22 February 2005



Lattice function optimization

Wiggler influences the beam parameters in three ways:

- " Linear effects: tune shift and beta distortion.
- " Change of radiation integral.
- " Nonlinear effects.

Mini-Workshop on Wiggler Optimization for Emittance Control IIIFII-UIF, Freeced 21-22 February 2005



Linear effect

For small transverse field roll-off wiggler mainly distorts the vertical optic. For sin-like wiggler field approximation the vertical tune shift is $\Delta \mathbf{n}_{y} = \frac{\mathbf{b}_{y}}{\mathbf{n}_{y}}$

For FODO cell one can minimize the average beta function as

$$\boldsymbol{b}_{y\min} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} l_w$$

where I_w is the wiggler length and

$$\boldsymbol{b}_{y0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} l_w$$

The minimum tune shift is

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{n}_{y\,\mathrm{min}} = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{3}\boldsymbol{p}} n_w \frac{l_w^2}{\boldsymbol{r}_w^2}$$

Mini-Workshop on Wiggler Optimization for Emittance Control WFII-UIF, Freedo 21-22 February 2005





? Increasing the wiggler number n_w and small wiggler length I_w is preferable.

Radiation integrals (damping)

Damping integral:

$$I_{2} = \int_{M} \frac{ds}{r^{2}}$$
 $?$ $i_{2} = \frac{1}{2}h_{w}^{2}L_{w}$

where h_w is the peak curvature and L_w is the total wiggler length.

? For higher damping increasing of the wiggler field is desirable.

For several harmonics wiggler field

$$B_{y}(s) = \sum_{k} B_{k} \sin\left(\frac{2\mathbf{p}k}{\mathbf{I}_{w}} \cdot s\right)$$

$$i_2 \propto \sum_k B_k^2$$

Mini–Workshop on Wiggler Optimization for Emittance Control INFII-LIF, Frascati 21–22 February 2005



Radiation integrals (energy spread and partition numbers)

?
$$I_3 = \int_M \frac{ds}{|\mathbf{r}^3|}$$
 ? $i_3 = \frac{4}{3\mathbf{p}}h_w^3 L_w$

Wigglers increase the energy spread but the effect is small $\propto 1/r_w^3$



Mini-Workshop on Wiggler Optimization for Emittance Control MFN-UR, Frescell 21-22 February 2005



Radiation integrals (horizontal emittance)



? To reduce i_5 it is necessary (a) reduce the cell length, (b) reduce the period length, (c) increase the peak field but to some extend.

Mini-Workshop on Wiggler Optimization for Emittance Control INFII-UNF, Fresceti 21-22 February 2005



Emittance minimization



Mini–Workshop on Wiggler Optimization for Emittance Control IIIFII-UIF, Freeceti 21-22 February 2005



Optimum peak field and period length

Spurious ring dispersion is zero.
FODO cell with minimized horizontal beta.
Wiggler dominating damping.
Sine-like wiggler model.



? To reduce the resulting emittance one have to reduce the wiggler period and increase the peak field.

Mini–Workshop on Wiggler Optimization for Emittance Control INFII-UN, Fresceli 21-22 February 2005



Wiggler nonlinearity

The main effect is due to the wiggler magnets edge field producing strong vertical cubic nonlinearity.

$$\Delta H = \frac{1}{24} n(s) y^3 \qquad (n \cdot l) = \frac{B''' \cdot l_w}{Br} = \frac{8p^2}{l_w r_w^2}$$

and relevant amplitude-dependent tune shift is given by

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{n}_{y} (\boldsymbol{J}_{y}) = \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{L}_{w} \boldsymbol{\overline{b}}_{y}^{2}}{\boldsymbol{I}_{w}^{2} \boldsymbol{r}_{w}^{2}} \right) \cdot \boldsymbol{J}_{y}$$

? Reducing of the wiggler period results in the enhancement of the vertical cubic nonlinearity.

Mini-Workshop on Wiggler Optimization for Emittance Control INFN-UNF, Fresceti 21-22 February 2005



Damping wiggler parameters

" Damping effect depends on the wiggler length and squared field amplitude.

" To minimize the resulting emittance the shortest period length and the high field are desired. But very high field provides the emittance blow-up.

"Short period length yields increasing of the vertical cubic nonlinearity. For VEPP-3 optical klystron undulator (1983) and for the VEPP-4M dipole wiggler it was controlled by properly placed octupole magnets.

" Transverse nonlinearity can be kept small (<0.5...1x10³ at ±1 cm) by proper pole design.

Odd number transverse multipoles (sextupole, ...) integral values are cancelled because of wiggler periodicity.

Mini-Workshop on Wiggler Optimization for Emittance Control INFII-UIF, Frescell 21-22 February 2005



Superconducting wiggler



3.5 TESLA SUPERCONDUCTING WIGGLER for ST (TRIESTE, Italy), 2002

Parameter	Units	Value
Max magnetic field	т	3.62
Operating magnetic field	т	3.5
Number of base poles		45
Number of additional poles		4
Gap	mm	16.5
Pole length (period)	mm	32 (64)
Energy content	kJ	240

Mini-Workshop on Wiggler Optimization for Emittance Control INFN-UNF, Freeceti 21-22 February 2005



Permanent magnet wiggler



Mini–Workshop on Wiggler Optimization for Emittance Control IIIFII–UIF, Fresceti 21–22 February 2005



Permanent magnet wiggler







E Levichev -- Review of Wiggler Parameters

Mini–Workshop on Wiggler Optimization for Emittance Control INFII–UIF, Francei 21–22 February 2005



Electromagnet wiggler

Usual electromagnet wigglers can not be used as damping wigglers because it is difficult to achieve high field with small period.

However combined permanent/electromagnet devices (equipotential bus wigglers, K.Halbach) can show good damping parameters.

g = 6 mm
1 _w = 25 mm
Bm = 0.450.7 T
L = 2 m
D B/B < 5x10 ⁻⁴ at 1 cm.
FEL undulator for KAERI (1999).

Mini-Workshop on Wiggler Optimization for Emittance Control INFII-LIF, Fresceti 21-22 February 2005





Conclusions

• Superconducting devices seem to be most effective as damping wigglers. The field up to 3.5-4 T can be achieved for 60-70 mm period and 15-20 mm gap. They are very expensive and require complicated cryogenic equipment.

" Permanent magnet devices can provide 1.5-2 T in gap 20-10 mm for period ~10...15 cm.

Such wigglers 4-5 cheaper compare to the superconducting ones and rather reliable.

Equipotential bus wigglers reach same parameters as permanent magnets wigglers and even better for approximately same price.
 They need power supply system. They effective for small gap.
 They allow to change amplitude of magnetic field in the range ±25%.

Mini–Workshop on Wiggler Optimization for Emittance Control IIIFI–UIF, fraced 21–22 february 2005

