



Single-spin asymmetries with 2 hadron fragmentation: **The Measurement**

Paul Bastiaan van der Nat (NIKHEF) On behalf of the HERMES collaboration



- Introduction
- Results
- Interpretation









Characteristics of h_1 :

• leading twist -> on equal footing with f_1 and g_1

• chiral-odd -> can NOT be probed in inclusive DIS Solution: couple h_1 to chiral-odd fragmentation function

Two options: 1 or 2 particle semi-inclusive DIS



Single Spin Asymmetry





$A_{UL}(\phi_{R\perp}) = \frac{1}{|P_T|} \frac{N^{\leftarrow}(\phi_{R\perp})/N_{\text{DIS}}^{\leftarrow} - N^{\rightarrow}(\phi_{R\perp})/N_{\text{DIS}}^{\rightarrow}}{N^{\leftarrow}(\phi_{R\perp})/N_{\text{DIS}}^{\leftarrow} + N^{\rightarrow}(\phi_{R\perp})/N_{\text{DIS}}^{\rightarrow}}$





A. Bacchetta, M. Radici, PRD 69, 0740XX (2004)

$$\begin{array}{c} A_{UT}^{\prime} \sim B(y) \sin(\phi_{R\perp} + \phi_S) h_1 H_1^{\triangleleft} + V(y) \sin(\phi_S) \frac{M}{Q} (\cdots) \\ \\ A_{UL}^{\prime} \sim V(y) \sin(\phi_{R\perp}) \frac{M}{Q} (h_L H_1^{\triangleleft} + g_1 \tilde{G}^{\triangleleft}) \\ \\ T/L \Longrightarrow \text{ target spin defined w.r.t. virtual photon} \end{array}$$









if $H_1^{\triangleleft} \neq 0$:

 \implies 2 hadron fragmentation can probe transversity!





$$H_1^{\triangleleft}(z, \cos\Theta, M_{\pi\pi}^2) = H_1^{\triangleleft, sp}(z, M_{\pi\pi}^2) + \cos\Theta H_1^{\triangleleft, pp}(z, M_{\pi\pi}^2)$$



$$\langle \cos \Theta \rangle \approx 0 \implies H_1^{\triangleleft, pp} \text{ drops out!}$$





The HERMES Experiment





- Solution Forward acceptance spectrometer: 40 mrad $\leq \Theta \leq 220$ mrad
- Tracking: 57 tracking planes: $\delta P/P = (0.7 1.3)\%$, $\delta \Theta ≤ 0.6$ mrad







Fitting method:

$$A_{UL}(\phi) \sim \frac{N^{\leftarrow} - N^{\rightarrow}}{N^{\leftarrow} + N^{\rightarrow}} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{fit with} \\ \Longrightarrow \end{array} \qquad f(\phi_{R\perp}) = a_0 + a_1 \sin \phi + b_1 \cos \phi + \dots \\ A_{UL}^{\sin \phi} \\ A_{UL}^{\sin \phi} \end{array}$$

Weighting method:

$$A_{UL}^{\sin\phi} \sim \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N^{\leftarrow}} \sin\phi_i - \sum_{i=1}^{N^{\rightarrow}} \sin\phi_i}{\frac{1}{2}(N^{\leftarrow} + N^{\rightarrow})}$$

Used fitting method for released results \implies less sensitive to detector acceptance







- first measurement ever of $A_{UL}^{\sin \phi_{R\perp}}$
- small asymmetries







Attempt to study x and z-dependence:

 $A_{UL}^{\sin\phi_{R\perp}} \propto h_1(\boldsymbol{x}) H_1^{\triangleleft,sp}(\boldsymbol{z}, M_{\pi\pi}) + (\ldots)$



- no strong x-dependence observed
- statistics doesn't allow finer binning









- no strong z-dependence observed
- no more than two bins possible







Model by Jaffe et al.:

- predicts mass dependence
- NO statements on size/sign of the asymmetry

0.6 sinõ₀sinõ_psin(õ₀-õ_p) 0.4 0.2 0 -0.2 -0.4 -0.6 0.5 0.55 0.6 0.65 0.7 0.75 0.8 0.85 0.9 0.95 1 M_{bh} [GeV]

Fit data with:

$$g(M_{\pi\pi}^2) \simeq c_1 \mathcal{P}(M_{\pi\pi}^2) + c_2$$

by extracting $c_1 \& c_2$ a qualitative comparison can be made to the model prediction







$$c_1 = 0.040 \pm 0.036$$

$$c_2 = -0.001 \pm 0.004$$

hint of a sign change at the ρ^0 mass

$$g(M_{\pi\pi}^2) \simeq c_1 \mathcal{P}(M_{\pi\pi}^2) + c_2$$









- higher x: hint of sign change at ρ^0 according to Jaffe's model
- $c_1(x) \propto h_1(x)$?











sign change at ρ^0 according to Jaffe's model for low z

•
$$c_1(z) \propto H_1^{\triangleleft, sp}(z, M_{\pi\pi})$$
 ?





Conclusions & Outlook



Conclusions:

- Presented first measurement of $A_{UL}^{\sin \phi_{R\perp}}$
- Asymmetries of order $\sim 2\%$, but also consistent with zero
- $M_{\pi\pi}$ -dependence consistent with model by Jaffe et al.
- Comparison with model prediction hints at x and z dependence \implies sensitive to $h_1(x)H_1^{\triangleleft,sp}(z, M_{\pi\pi})$?

Outlook to $A_{UT}^{\sin \phi_{R\perp}}$:

- comparable uncertainty:
 - L polarized target $\rightarrow \sim 8M$ DIS events T polarized target $\rightarrow \sim 4M$ DIS events?
 - $\delta A \propto 1/\sqrt{N} \rightarrow \delta A$ dominated by statistical uncertainty
 - much larger transverse target polarization $\rightarrow \sim 1/0.045 = 22$ times bigger!