WG2 Summary

David B. MacFarlane November 12, 2005



Stanford Linear Accelerator Center

Baseline Assumptions

> Boost

- $\beta \gamma = 0.28 (4 \times 7 \text{ GeV})$
- Implies about 1cm radius beam pipe
- Will continue to explore parameter space including more aggressive options for vertex resolution

> CM energy spread

- 5 MeV or better preferred, 10 MeV about the limit
- What will count in the end of sensitivity/year of data taking

> DAQ rate and backgrounds

- $_{0}$ 1 MHz single bunches preferred, L1 trigger rejection by factors of 10-100, 1-2 μs detector response time ok
- 100kHz x 10 bunch mini-trains or other variants probably ok, although more possibility of event overlap
- Currents through detector reduced by 1000, so assume backgrounds are as well

Vertex difference resolution vs boost

Neri, Pierini



WG2 Summary

SLAO

Pixel concept

- Monolithic Active Pixels
- > Thinned to 50um
 - Possible because active region is only about 10um thick
- ➢ With 5mm BP, 3mm2 chip could be OK.
- Glue on kapton foil
- Support kapton off BP
- Reduce thickness of Au shield
 - How much can we thin it ?
- Many issues to resolve
 - Feasibility of a MAPS system
 - z overlap
 - Cables, cooling
 - Mechanical support



x10 scale with 5mm radius BP, 3mm pixel chip

Lots of MAPS R&D in many places

Nov 12, 2005

Forti

Detector Implications

Existing technical solutions in BABAR or Belle will work, for the most part

> Areas for upgrade

- Calorimetry coverage in backward direction, with possibly different choice for crystals
- PID in forward and backward directions
- More aggressive vertex inner radius and choice of innermost detectors
- LHC-style performance for DAQ: pipelining and network event building capabilities
- Optimization of other detector elements for smaller boost
 - Possible extension of angular coverage
 - Other variants for wire chamber design

Writing Assignments

> Goal

- First draft document by Dec 1
- Roughly one page per section
- Baseline plausible solution + aggressive options to explore

> Sections: Editor = Francesco; First draft by Nov 21 or earlier

- Overview: dbm
- Backgrounds: Steve, Justin
- Physics impact of basic parameter assumptions: Aaron
- Vertexing: Nicola
- Tracking: Francesco, dbm
- PID: David Leith
- Calorimeter: David Hitlin
- Muon: Giancarlo (?)
- Trigger & DAQ: Gregory (?), Aaron
- R&D: Francesco with input from each section author

> Vertexing:

- MAP devices
- > Tracking:
- > PID:
 - Fast DIRC, proximity focusing aerogel, TOP
- Calorimeter:
 - LSO, LYSO, undoped CsI
- > Muon:
- > Trigger & DAQ:
- > + additions from section authors or Belle leadership



Homework List

- > Bhabha acceptance (DH: Nov 12)
- > Event pileup studies (AR: Dec 31)
- > Beampipe conceptual design (Dec 31)
- Physics gains with improved angular acceptance (PAC: Dec 31)
 B to tau-nu, Knu-nubar
- Understand final focus well enough to know stay clear zones (Justin+?: Dec 31)
- Boost and energy spread impact on representative channels, including ∆t conversion (Dec 31)

• B to phiKS, KSpiO, piOpiO, and LFV

- New vertex strategy for charm vertex in tagging (Feb 1)
 Implications for vertex detector radius + beam pipe
- Contacts with Belle management (now)
- Next workshop before March (?); next steps; steering committee (?)

