#### Status of PHOKHARA and its theoretical accuracy

H. CZYŻ, IF, UŚ, Katowice, PHIPSI08 in collaboration with J. H. KÜHN, and A. WAPIENIK

The radiative return

 $4\pi$  revisited

- $\blacktriangleright$  experimental situation: au vs.  $e^+e^-$  data
- ▶ improved model
- model predictions
- Theoretical accuracy
- Plans

#### THE RADIATIVE RETURN METHOD



High precision measurement of the hadronic cross-section at meson-factories

H. Czyż, IF, UŚ, Katowice

#### From EVA to PHOKHARA



### Isospin relations: $4\pi$ $\langle \pi^+\pi^-\pi_1^0\pi_2^0|J^3_\mu|0 angle=J_\mu(p_1,p_2,p^+,p^-)$

$$\langle \pi_1^+ \pi_2^+ \pi_1^- \pi_2^- | J_{\mu}^3 | 0 \rangle = J_{\mu}(p_2^+, p_2^-, p_1^+, p_1^-) + J_{\mu}(p_1^+, p_2^-, p_2^+, p_1^-) + J_{\mu}(p_2^+, p_1^-, p_1^+, p_2^-) + J_{\mu}(p_1^+, p_1^-, p_2^+, p_2^-)$$

$$\langle \pi^{-}\pi_{1}^{0}\pi_{2}^{0}\pi_{3}^{0}|J_{\mu}^{-}|0
angle = J_{\mu}(p_{2}, p_{3}, p^{-}, p_{1}) + J_{\mu}(p_{1}, p_{3}, p^{-}, p_{2}) + J_{\mu}(p_{1}, p_{2}, p^{-}, p_{3})$$

$$egin{aligned} &\langle \pi_1^-\pi_2^-\pi^+\pi^0|J_{m \mu}^-|0
angle &=\ &J_{m \mu}(p^+,p_2,p_1,p^0) &+J_{m \mu}(p^+,p_1,p_2,p^0) \end{aligned}$$

J. H. Kühn (1999) H. Czyż, IF, UŚ, Katowice

#### Isospin relations: $4\pi$

$$\int J^{em}_{\mu} (J^{em}_{
u})^* \ dar{\Phi}_n(Q;q_1,\ldots,q_n) 
onumber \ = rac{1}{6\pi} \left( Q_{\mu}Q_{
u} - g_{\mu
u}Q^2 
ight) \ R(Q^2)$$

$$R(Q^2) = \sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow hadrons)(Q^2)/\sigma_{point}$$

#### Isospin relations: $4\pi$

$$egin{aligned} rac{d\Gamma_{ au o
u+hadrons}}{dQ^2} \ &= 2 \ \Gamma_e rac{|V_{ud}|^2 S_{EW}}{m_ au^2} \left(1 - rac{Q^2}{m_ au^2}
ight)^2 \left(1 + 2rac{Q^2}{m_ au^2}
ight) oldsymbol{R}^ au\left(Q^2
ight) \ &\int oldsymbol{J}_{\mu}^- oldsymbol{J}_{
u}^- ^* \ dar{\Phi}_n(Q;q_1,\ldots,q_n) = \ &rac{1}{3\pi} \left(Q_\mu Q_
u - g_{\mu
u} Q^2
ight) \ oldsymbol{R}^ au(Q^2) \ oldsymbol{R}^ au(Q^2) \end{aligned}$$

#### Isospin relations: $4\pi$

$$R^{ au}\left(-\ 0\ 0\ 0
ight)=rac{1}{2}\,R\,(+\ +\ -\ -)$$

$$R^{\tau}(- - + 0) = \frac{1}{2}R(+ + - -) + R(+ - 0 0)$$

#### **Isospin relations:** $4\pi$ ; exp. situation

 $e^+e^- 
ightarrow 2\pi^+2\pi^-$ : BaBar, CMD2, SND

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2\pi^0\pi^+\pi^-$ : BaBar(preliminary), CMD2, SND

$$au^- 
ightarrow 
u 3 \pi^0 \pi^-$$
: ALEPH

 $au^- 
ightarrow 
u 2 \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^0$ : ALEPH, CLEO

#### **Isospin relations:** $4\pi$ ; exp. situation



#### **Isospin relations:** $4\pi$ ; exp. situation



#### $4\pi$ : exp. situation

 $\blacktriangleright \pi \omega (\rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$  : CLEO, BaBar(prel.)

 $\rho \rightarrow \rho (\rightarrow \pi \pi) \rho (\rightarrow \pi \pi)$ : BaBar(prel.)

#### The model



H.C., J.H. Kühn (2000)

#### The model



#### H.C., J.H. Kühn, A. Wapienik (2008) H.C., A. Grzelińska, J.H. Kühn, G. Rodrigo(2006)

#### The model

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\rho} &= \frac{1}{4} \overrightarrow{F}_{\mu\nu} \cdot \overrightarrow{F}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} (\overrightarrow{D^{\mu}\phi}) \cdot (\overrightarrow{D_{\mu}\phi}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} m_{\pi}^{2} \overrightarrow{\phi} \cdot \overrightarrow{\phi} + \frac{1}{2} m_{\rho}^{2} \overrightarrow{\rho}_{\mu} \cdot \overrightarrow{\rho}^{\mu} \\ &\overrightarrow{D_{\mu}\phi} = \partial_{\mu} \overrightarrow{\phi} + g \left( \overrightarrow{\rho}_{\mu} \times \overrightarrow{\phi} \right) \\ &\overrightarrow{F}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu} \overrightarrow{\rho}_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} \overrightarrow{\rho}_{\mu} - g \overrightarrow{\rho}_{\mu} \times \overrightarrow{\rho}_{\nu} \end{split}$$

#### The fit

 $m_{\rho'}, m_{\rho''}, m_{\rho'''}, \Gamma_{\rho'}, \Gamma_{\rho''}, \Gamma_{\rho'''}$ 

- **4** couplings in  $a_1$  part
- **4** couplings in  $f_0$  part
- **4** couplings in  $\omega$  part
- **1** coupling in  $\rho$  part
- $\chi^2 = 275$  ,  $n_{d.o.f} = 287$

The model at low  $Q^2$ 



compared with: G. Ecker and R. Unterdorfer (2002)H. Czyż, IF, UŚ, KatowiceStatus of PHOKHARAPHIPSI0816

The model at low  $Q^2$ 



compared with: G. Ecker and R. Unterdorfer (2002) H. Czyż, IF, UŚ, Katowice Status of PHOKHARA PHIPSI08 17

#### Comparing with au data



#### Comparing with au data



Comparing with $ au$ data	
	${ m Br}( au -  o  u_ au 2 \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^0)$
PDG06	$(4.46 \pm 0.06)\%$
model	$(4.12 \pm 0.21)\%$
BaBar (CVC)	$(3.98 \pm 0.30)\%$
	${ m Br}( au- o u_ au\pi^-\omega(\pi^-\pi^+\pi^0))$
PDG06	$(1.77 \pm 0.1)\%$
model	$(1.60 \pm 0.13)\%$
BaBar (CVC)	$(1.57 \pm 0.31)\%$

#### Comparing with $\tau$ data

	${ m Br}( au -  o  u_{ au} \pi^- 3 \pi^0)$
PDG06	$(1.04 \pm \ 0.08)\%$
model	$(1.06 \pm 0.09)\%$
BaBar (CVC)	$(1.02 \pm \ 0.05)\%$



#### S.Jadach: KKMC

#### PHOKHARA included in the game, $\mu$ -pairs again



**PHOKHARA** agrees to within 0.3% with KKMC and KKsem.

Discrepancy at high  $Q^2$  reflects lack of exponentiation in PHOKHARA

H. Czyż, IF, UŚ, Katowice



#### ► carefull study of FSR necessary

### tools for these studies were proposedand are used



#### **Summary and plans**

►  $4\pi$  channels reanalysis was performed

▶ isospin symmetry violation not seen

new model proposed and implemented in PHOKHARA

#### **Summary and plans**

## PHOKHARA: ISR accuracy 0.5% need for ISR accuracy ~0.2%

# ▶ soon J/ψ and ψ(2S) in PHOKHARA ▶ with FSR corrections included

