



Wrap-up and Action Items



Michael S. Zisman* CENTER FOR BEAM PHYSICS

*(with help from Y. Torun, A. Bross)

MICE Collaboration Meeting-Frascati June 28, 2005





- Introduction
- Items from Technical Board
- Cooling Channel/Beamline Issues
- Detector Issues
- Simulation and Controls Issues
- Final Remarks





- It is nice to see continued progress on many fronts
 - progress on preparations for KEK test run
 - engineering of components and interfaces being refined
 - LBNL RF power equipment being refurbished at Daresbury Lab (and looks okay)
 - 201 MHz cavity fabrication essentially complete
 - plan for getting U.S. funding from MC is proceeding
 - MICE is now a recognized CERN experiment (RE11)
 - INFN proposal will be submitted on July 12
 - about 60 participants attended this meeting!



Introduction





Leaving LBNL



Unloading at Daresbury



Inspection at Daresbury



Tube socket (4616)





- Plans being developed for future MICE Collaboration meetings
 - October 22–24, 2005 at RAL
 - parallel sessions on October 21 (hopefully)
 - Executive Board meeting on October 25
 - February/March in Japan (no details yet)
 - May or June in U.S.
 - o insist on pre-meeting test of air conditioning!





- Should we coordinate with CARE/BENE and/or International Scoping Study (ISS) meetings?
 - CARE/BENE meeting at CERN, November 23-25, 2005
 - ISS should have 3 meetings prior to NuFact06
 - October '05, January'06, April'06 are about the right times
 - could imagine correlating the first two with our meetings, e.g.,
 - October at Imperial College, January in Japan, April in Ú.S.
- Goals for this meeting
 - launch DAQ group
 - what, if any, electronics is not in hand and must be ordered?
 - need to check compatibility of KEK test system with MICE time structure and data rate
 - evaluate where we stand with design and safety review
 - define experimental method for measuring/unfolding resolution effects





- understand forces and support issues for Step IV
- finalize beam line shielding needs
- evaluate PSI solenoid cooling system plans
- verify diffuser system design meets rapid-changeover criterion
- examine status of TOF purchase and testing
- develop a run plan





- Berkeley action items
 - update TRD to reflect latest changes
 - prepare for KEK beam test
 - resolve beam line shielding and access to TOF0 \checkmark
 - define responsibilities for tracker module radiation shielding and magnetic shielding
 - flesh out details of DAQ system, including event definitions $\int \frac{1}{2}$
 - refine target design (reproducibility, radiation tolerance, //2 identification of failure modes)
 - define required diffuser thickness and procedure for adjusting it \checkmark
 - do we need to turn off magnets to change diffuser \Rightarrow ~2 hours
 - how long is it permissible to work in high magnetic field?





- develop strategy, deliverables, and plan, for H_2 system R&D \int_A
 - create documents in preparation for review (July 15)
 - plan for hydrogen R&D review in October 2005
 - request MICE dry run before review
 - is labview system the best approach to implementing controls (double work?)
- progress in collecting design and safety documentation slower than desirable
 - must take this more seriously
- resolve need for segmentation of magnetic shield (weight issue)
 - Green to re-evaluate tracker module weight
- deal with cost increase on decay solenoid cryo system





- Matching calculations indicate some scraping in channel; identify where and examine consequences
- Revise optics in beam line matching section to account for material (and different central momenta)
- Interlock RF cavity voltage with detector rate alarm
- $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ Run tests on HTS leads to assess heat load
- Revisit absorber operational scenarios (cool-down time ≈40 hours)
 - complete RFP on Focus Coil by September '05
 - force calculations
 - evaluate abnormal settings (incorrect polarity, wrong excitation)
 - consider case where coupling coils powered independently
 - define alignment scheme for RFCC module
 - define motion tolerance for components under magnetic forces





- evaluate effects of differential heating of RF windows (room $\sqrt{2}$ temperature and LN temperature)
- Optics solution for "Step V.O" is okay in non-flip case, but limited to ${\approx}140$ MeV/c in flip case
- Magnet issues
 - FCs can quench passively (3 in series okay)
 - verify quench properties of spectrometer solenoids (by RAL meeting)
 - check need for additional power filtering to improve quench tolerance
 - quench recovery a few hours; initial cooldown 8 hrs
 - consider implications of quench with people in hall (e.g., during diffuser changes





- Engineering issues
 - must top hat wall for tracker patch panel be thin? (probably not)
 - is 30 minute diffuser changeover an operational necessity?
 - is 30 minutes from data stop to data start?
 - complete and document study on alternative diffuser positions
 - must link blocks for magnetic shield be lined up with cold-mass supports of spectrometer solenoid?
 - need to choose between modified patch panel and gussets on cryostat
 - update support drawings for spectrometer to reflect present concept
 - need to accommodate VLPC cryostat mounting system





- do we need second iron shield for step 2 or 2.5?

• is local shielding a viable option?





- TOF
 - need to prepare for design/safety reviews
 - understand why muons in TOF system all at ±100 μm
 - need to decide on phototube size for TOF1 and TOF2 (1.5 or 2 in.)
 - need to test system at high count rate with random pulser
 - idea of using ISIS synchrotron for random test suggested
 - need to verify availability for phototubes for calorimeter





- Spectrometer solenoid
 - prepare change control request for modified coil geometry
 - verify tracking, optics, and cost implications
 - evaluate need for 4 supports for magnetic shield
 - magnetic forces on cold-mass supports (use I_{max})
 - update drawing to reflect proper support configuration
 - need to keep KEK magnet "alive" as a backup option in case LBNL magnets are late





- Detector supports
 - check compatibility of proposed system with floor-support system
 - should detectors be grounded or floating?
 - what patch panels and cabling supports are needed?
 - note that man-lift likely required for maintenance and/or installation





• Congratulations to

Yağmur Torun, Analysis Forum Coordinator Malcolm Ellis, Software Tools Coordinator

- DAQ group has been formed and is functioning (workshop at RAL proposed)
 - do we really need a two-level event builder?
 - need to clarify interface with safety systems
 - define relationship between slow controls of detector and beam line

The main action items from this software meeting were:

 finish the work in progress toward use of G4MICE for monitoring and analysis of KEK test beam data (Malcolm)

This includes alignment, calibration, decoding, a monitoring application with visualization and zero-field track reconstruction





- Simulation and Controls Issues
- station spacing study for tracker (if Malcolm has time)
- carry out large statistics production to provide lots of muons for various analyses
- develop the optics and analysis packages further (Chris)
- validate EMCal simulation through comparison to KLOE data
- continue study of efficiency, purity and bias (Rikard)
- clean up/reorganize the code that builds the cooling channel and fields (Chris, Rikard, Yağmur)
- follow up on physics in GEANT4 (Rikard)

N.B. triggered by Bill Murray's claim at NuFact that dE/dx and/or multiple scattering in the latest version of GEANT4 (which we aren't using yet but were planning to switch to) is broken

 implement spill structure in simulation, in consultation with the DAQ group, as soon as they have a reasonable description



Simulation and Controls Issues



- continue study of muon distributions through MICE to validate acceptance of PID detectors (Yağmur)
- update model of Cherenkov detectors (?)
- make progress on global track matching and PID (Aron, Malcolm, Rikard, ...)
- catch up in documentation and tests





- We made good progress since Berkeley
- Identifying and attacking the key issues
- It is very important that we stay visible in both the HEP and accelerator communities
 - preparation of meeting abstracts, soliciting talks and seminars, etc. is very important to our "health"
 - keep eyes open for opportunities
 - new publications committee (DK) will help
- Continue to fill in the identified holes in the design...and the funding!
 - plans for U.S. funding being developed
- We look forward to further discussions with MANX proponents as the ideas for the experiment are refined
- MICE management continues to be grateful for the quality (and quantity) of your work





642 days until first beam!

