New Spectroscopy from Charm and Beauty decays. Antimo Palano INFN and University of Bari LNF Spring School, Frascati, May 16, 2005. \Box Summary. • New Spectroscopy from B factories and fixed target experiments; • Methods; • Charmonium spectroscopy;

- Charm Spectroscopy;
- Light Meson Spectroscopy;
- Pentaquarks.

Introduction.

 \Box In the last few years Heavy and Light Meson Spectroscopy has received new interest due to the unexpected discovery of new states.

 \Box New particles/resonances have been found/proposed in the field of light scalar, charmed and charmonium mesons.

 \square In particular, new results on Spectroscopy are coming from:

- B decays;
- Charm decays;
- Inclusive e^+e^- interactions;
- $\gamma\gamma$ collisions;
- Initial State Radiation;
- e^+e^- colliders;
- Fixed Target Experiments and hadron colliders;

Experiments.

- \Box Two B-factories are currently collecting data:
- \square BaBar at PEP-II, Stanford, USA.
- \square BELLE at KEK, Tsukuba, Japan.
- \Box Experiment running with e^+e^- :
- \square CLEO, at Cornell, USA.
- \Box BES at Bejing.
- \Box Several fixed target experiments are collecting or still analyzing data:
- \square FOCUS at Fermilab, USA.
- \square CDF at Fermilab, USA.
- \square E791 at Fermilab, USA.
- \square E835 at Fermilab, USA.

B decays.

 \square B mesons are extracted, at B-factories, from:

 $\Upsilon(4S) \to B^0 \bar{B}^0$

 $\Upsilon(4S) \to B^+ B^-$

 \Box Study of e^+e^- at the $\Upsilon(4S)$ energy: 10.58 GeV.

$$E_{e^-} = 9.0, E_{e^+} = 3.1, \beta \gamma = 0.56$$

 \Box Asymmetric collider in order to produce a forward boost.



Spectroscopy at B-factories.

 \square The power of B-factories for spectroscopy is based on:

- Relatively small combinatorial in e^+e^- interactions.
- Good tracking and vertexing.
- Good Particle Identification.
- Detection of all possible final states, with charged tracks and $\gamma \, {\rm 's}$.
- Very high statistics.
 - \Box BELLE: 420 fb^{-1}
 - \square BABAR: 250 fb^{-1} (restarting now from a long shutdown)

B decays.

 \Box Use of the m_{ES} and ΔE variables.

 $\Box m_{ES}^2$ (Energy Substituted Mass), is defined as the difference, in the e^+e^- center of mass system, between the squared Beam energy and the squared B momentum:

$$m_{ES} = \sqrt{(E^*_{beam})^2 - (p^*_B)^2}$$

 $\Box \Delta E$ is defined as the difference between the center of mass B and Beam energy:

$$\Delta E = E_B^* - E_{beam}^*$$



Separation from continuum.

PEP-II / BABAR

preliminary

-50

50

0

 $\mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{cm}} - \mathsf{M}^{\mathsf{fit}}_{\Upsilon(4\mathrm{S})} \; [\mathsf{MeV}]$

0.09

r

0.05

0.00

 \Box At B-factories, the $\Upsilon(4S)$ resonance sits on a consistent continuum background. r = #(multihadron candidates) / #(Bhabha candidates)

 \Box However one may use events shapes to remove continuum events which have a jet-like shape.

Continuum BB

 \square There are several ways of doing it. In this example two methods have been used:

• The ratio of the second Fox-Wolfram moment divided by the zeroth moment for the whole event, FW_{ev} . The Fox-Worfram moments H_l are defined as:

$$H_l = \sum_{i,j} \frac{|p_i| \cdot |p_j|}{E_{vis}^2} P_l(\cos\theta_{ij})$$

where P_l are the Legendre polynomials, p_{ij} and θ_{ij} are particles momenta and opening angle's. E_{vis} is the event visible energy.

• The angle between the sphericity axis of the B with respect to that of the rest of the event $cos\theta^{sph}_{sph}$. The sphericity is defined as:

$$S = \frac{3}{2}min\frac{\sum_{i} p_{jT}^2}{\sum_{j} p_j^2}$$

where p_T indicates the transverse momentum of the particle.

□ Example of events separation. Monte Carlo simulation: □ Light: continuum, Thick: $B\overline{B}$.



Spectroscopy from B decays.

\Box Advantages:

- Very clean starting physical state: a spin 0 particle;
- Backgrounds moderately low;
- Suppression of high partial waves (usually J<3);
- Large phase space which allows to cover a large mass region.

\Box Disadvantages:

- Branching ratios for interesting channels rather low;
- Rather often, complicated final states.

B decays.

 \Box Several B decays useful for spectroscopy:

- Involving charm production.
 - \Box Search for excited charm states in:

$$B \to D^* \pi \pi$$

 \square Search for excited charm states in:

$$B \to D^*D(s)\pi$$

• Charmless.

 \Box Study of (where $h = \pi, K$):

 $B\to 3h$

Charmonium.
 □ Study of:

$$B \to \psi X$$

Charm decays.

 \Box Charmed mesons decay to light hadrons, therefore a fundamental laboratory for studying light meson spectroscopy, especially for spin 0 and spin 1 mesons. \Box Cross sections for different processes, at the $\Upsilon(4S)$:

	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$	$\sigma~({ m nb})$
□ Inclusive Charm Physics is performed on events selected from continuum $e^+e^- \rightarrow \bar{c}c$ □ Very high statistics samples of charmed mesons actually available.	$b \overline{b}$	1.05
	$c\overline{c}$	1.30
	$s\overline{s}$	0.35
	$u \overline{u}$	1.39
	d ar d	0.35
	$\tau^+\tau^-$	0.94
	$\mu^+\mu^-$	1.16
	e^+e^-	≈ 40

Study of D_s^+ in BaBar.

 \Box Example from BaBar: mass distribution and p^* momentum spectrum f or $D_s^+ \to \phi \pi^+$. BABAR,hep-ex/0201041



Filled/open points: normalized on/off peak data.

 \Box By using inclusive continuum events combinatorial background is strongly reduced.

 \Box Kinematical selection: the center of mass momentum $(p^*) > 2.5 \text{ GeV/c}$.

Selection of Charmed mesons from continuum.

 \Box Alternatively, x_F is used instead of p^* . x_F defined as the ratio between the actual center of mass momentum and the maximum allowed momentum.

$$x_F = \frac{p^*}{p^*_{max}}$$

$$p_{max}^* = \sqrt{E_{beam}^2 - M_D^2}$$

Selection of D^0 Charmed mesons from continuum.

□ Use of the $D^{*+} \to \pi^+ D^0$ tag for D^0 decays. □ Example:

$$D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+$$

 $\rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$

$$D^{*-} \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^-$$

 $\rightarrow K^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$

 \Box Here the charge of the slow π gives the flavor of the D^0 and of the K^0 .

Slow pion refit.

 \square Refitting of the slow pion. The momentum of the slow pion is usually below 500 MeV/c: badly measured.

 \square Cartoon of one event:



 \Box Using the event vertex in the fit of the slow pion momentum improves the resolution.

The use of Δ m.

 \Box Definition of Δm :

$$\Delta m = m(\bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^- \pi_s^+) - m(\bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^-)$$

 \square Selecting events in the Δm peak results in a clean selection of the D^0 signal.



Selection of D^+ Charmed mesons from continuum.

 $\Box D^+$ mesons can be isolated in an a rather efficient way by making use of their longer lifetime.

$$c\tau_{D^0} = 123\mu m; \quad c\tau_{D^+} = 311.8\mu m; \quad c\tau_{D^+_*} = 147\mu m$$

 \Box In some cases can be useful to use the tag:

$$D^{*+} \to \pi^0 D^+$$

□ This tag is rather inefficient because of the difficulty of detecting slow $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$. The momentum of the slow π^0 is below 500 MeV/c. □ Used in difficult cases, such as:

$$D^+ \to \pi^+ \pi^0$$

Selection of D_s^+ Charmed mesons from continuum.

 \Box In this case can be useful to use the decay:

$$D_s^*(2112)^+ \to \gamma D_s^+$$

 \square Example from BaBar: combinatorial $K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^0$ mass distribution:



 \Box Signals due to D^+ and D_s^+ .

Δm selection.

□ Selecting events in the D_s^+ mass window results in a clear $D_s^{*+}(2112) \rightarrow \gamma D_s^+$ signal. Selecting events in the D_s^{*+} mass window results in a clear D_s^+ signal. Further kinematic cuts may further enhance the signal to background. □ Here:

$$\Delta m = m(K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^0 \gamma) - m(K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^0)$$



e^+e^- interactions with Initial State Radiation (ISR).

 \square Another clean method for studying meson spectroscopy is that of the reaction:

$$e^+e^- \to \gamma + hadrons$$

where the photon emission is caused by Initial State Radiation (ISR).



 \Box This process can be used to measure the e^+e^- annihilation cross section over a wide range of center of mass energies.

 \Box This process produces only $J^{PC} = 1^{--}$ mesons, therefore information can be obtained on the structure of vector mesons.



 \Box This gives a sharply peaked γ with an energy $E^* > 3$ GeV.

ISR production of J/ψ .

□ Example from BaBar.Study of: BABAR,hep-ex/0408078



$\gamma\gamma$ collisions.

 $\Box \gamma \gamma$ collisions are a very clean source of meson resonances. \Box They are kinematically characterized by the 4-momentum transfer q^2 , the mass of the virtually exchanged particles.



Tagged and untagged $\gamma\gamma$ collisions.

 \Box Normally the two exchanged γ 's are virtual because most of the cross section has small q^2 (untagged events). In this case the γ 's are on the mass shell and get lost in the detector beam pipe.



 \Box Tagged events have one of the two γ 's massive (indicated with γ^*).

Spin 0 and Spin 1 resonances.

□ Landau-Yang theorem: vector states cannot be formed by two real photons. □ Data from L3: $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$. Formation of the $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$ meson $f_1(1285)$. p_T^2 is proportional to q^2 . L3,hep-ex/0011035



 $\Box f_1(1285)$ signal mostly seen at high p_T .

Charm Spectroscopy.

 \Box Potential model of the Charm spectrum:

 \Box The $(\bar{c}u/d \text{ or } \bar{c}s)$ systems are treated as the Hydrogen atom.



Charm Spectroscopy.

 \Box Spectrum of the $\bar{c}u$ states with prediction from Godfrey, Isgur, Kokoski (red) compared with experimental values (black):



 \square States in () broad and found very recently.

Charm Spectroscopy.

 \Box The expected spectrum of

D mesons:



□ The broad states recently discovered. Radial excitations still missing.

The problem of the reflections.

 \Box The search for new charmed mesons in inclusive spectra is complicated by the problem of the reflections: not all the peaks one observes in the mass spectrum are due to particles.

 \Box A rather spectacular example is given by the D^0K^+ mass spectrum:



 \Box The first narrow peak in this spectrum is due to the reflection of the particle $D_{s1}(2536)^+ \to K^+ D^* (2007)^0$.

 \Box Decay chain:

$$D_{s1}(2536)^+ \to K^+ \ D^*(2007)^0 \to D^0(\pi^0/\gamma)$$

 \Box The kinematics is such that combining the D^0 with the K^+ and ignoring the (π^0/γ) we still obtain a narrow peak (fake).

 \Box Conclusion: one has to be very careful before claiming for the discovery of a new particle.

The evidence for the broad $c\bar{u}$ states.

 \Box Two different approaches have been used to search for the missing broad $c\bar{u}$ states with $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$ and 1^{++} .

- Inclusive (FOCUS) (photo-production: γ N experiment);
- Exclusive, using B decays (BELLE);



Results from BELLE.

 \square BELLE experiments studies the decays: Belle,hep-ex/0307021

$$B^- \to D^+ \pi^- \pi^-$$
$$B^- \to D^{*+} \pi^- \pi^-$$

 \Box Important issue in this analysis is the low background. Beam constrained masses for the two channels.


\Box They perform a Dalitz plot analysis of the B mesons three-body and four-body decays. Fitted projections on the $D\pi$ and $D^*\pi$ masses after background subtraction.



following:

 $D_0^{*0}: m = 2308 \pm 17 \pm 15 \pm 28$ $\Gamma = 276 \pm 21 \pm 18 \pm 60$ MeV $D_1: m = 2427 \pm 26 \pm 20 \pm 15$ $\Gamma = 384^+107_{-75} \pm 24 \pm 70$ MeV \Box The mass of the D^{*0} is ≈ 100 MeV lower with respect to the FOCUS evaluation.

The discovery of the new D_s states.

 \Box Potential model expectations and experimental results for the P-wave D_s mesons:



□ Surprise: very large discrepancies. Completely unexpected.

- \Box As in the case of the $\bar{c}u$ mesons, the scalar and axial mesons where expected to be wide.
- \Box Experiment: they are very narrow and below their relative thresholds.

The discovery of the new D_s states.

□ The discovery has been made by BaBar experiment in the study of the inclusive reaction: BABAR,hep-ex/0304021

$$e^+e^- \rightarrow D_s^+\pi^0(+\gamma's)$$

 $\rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+$

 π^{+}

K⁺

e¹

 \Box Qualitative sketch, not to scale, of one event.

e⁻





Real Data: $D_s^+ \to K^+ K^- \pi^+$ Dalitz plot tagged with $D_s^*(2112)^+ \to D_s^+ \gamma$ $\Box \cos^2 \theta$ distribution in each vector meson band.

Use of D_s^+ angular distributions.

 \Box We define θ as the angle between the K^- and the $\phi(\overline{K^{*0}})$ direction in the $\phi(\overline{K^{*0}})$ rest frame.



 \Box Require $|\cos\theta| > 0.5$ to enhance the D_s^+ signal (retains 87.5 % of signal).

\Box Resulting $\phi \pi^+$ and $\overline{K^{*0}}K^+$ mass spectra:



 \Box The two samples are of similar sizes.

Total $K^+K^-\pi^+$ mass spectrum.

 \Box Sum of the $\phi \pi^+$ and $\overline{K^{*0}}K^+$ contributions ($\approx 80\ 000\ D_s^+$ events above background):



 \square We define the signal D_s^+ region as:

$$1.954 < m(K^+K^-\pi^+) < 1.980 \quad GeV$$

and two sideband regions as:

$$1.912 < m(K^+K^-\pi^+) < 1.934 \quad GeV$$
$$1.998 < m(K^+K^-\pi^+) < 2.020 \quad GeV$$

$D_s^+\pi^0$ mass spectrum.

 \Box Compare $(K^+K^-\pi^+)\pi^0$ mass spectra for the D_s^+ signal region and sidebands.

- \square We observe the known decay: $D_s^*(2112)^+ \to D_s^+ \pi^0$.
- \square Totally unexpected large signal (\approx 2200 events) at 2.32 GeV.



$D_s^+\pi^0$ mass spectrum.

 \square No D_s^+ kinematic fit. Resolution improved by adding the decay particles' 3-momenta and calculating the D_s^+ energy using the D_s^+ PDG mass:

$$E_{D_s} = \sqrt{p^2 + m_{D_s}^2}$$

 \Box We require that each π^0 does not have either γ in comm on with any other π^0 candidate.



Test using Monte Carlo simulation.

 \Box Monte Carlo events from the reaction:

$$e^+e^- \to \bar{c}c$$

have been simulated using GEANT4. They have been reconstructed and analyzed using the same analysis procedure as that used for data.

 \Box The generated events contain all what was presently known about charm spectroscopy.

 \Box Analyzed $\approx 80 \times 10^6$ generated events.

Test using Monte Carlo simulation.

 \Box Sum of $\phi \pi^+$ and $\overline{K^{*0}}K^+$ mass distributions and $D_s^+\pi^0$ mass spectrum.



 \square We observe the known decay: $D_s^*(2112)^+ \to D_s^+ \pi^0$.

 \Box The $D_s^+\pi^0$ mass spectrum shows no significant signal in the 2.32 GeV mass region. We would expect ≈ 1400 events.

 \Box We conclude that the 2.32 GeV structure is not due to reflections from known states.

Study of $D_s^+ \to K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^0$.

 \Box This D_s^+ decay channel has the same topology as $D_s^+\pi^0$ with $D_s^+ \to K^+K^-\pi^+$. It gives direct information on resolution and scale for $m(D_s^+\pi^0)$.

- \Box A different D_s^+ decay mode with which to study $D_s^+\pi^0$.
- \Box Uses the π^0 fitted to the $K^+K^-\pi^+$ vertex to reconstruct the D_s^+ .
- \square We plot the distribution of:

$$\Delta m = m(K^{+}K^{-}\pi^{+}\pi^{0}\gamma) - m(K^{+}K^{-}\pi^{+}\pi^{0})$$

for the D_s^+ region, defined as:

$$1.95 < m(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^0) < 1.985 \qquad GeV$$

 \Box We plot the distribution of $m(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^0)$ for the $D_s^*(2112)^+$ region, defined as:

$$0.124 < \Delta m < 0.160 \qquad GeV$$



Selection of $D_s^+ \to K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^0$.

 \Box Combinatorial $K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^0$ effective mass.

 \Box Require at least one 2-body mass in a vector meson resonance region $[\phi, K^*$ or $\rho]$.







Study of $m(D_s^+\pi^0\gamma)$ by BaBar.

 \Box BaBar published the following $D_s^+\pi^0\gamma$ without claiming for the discovery of a new state:



 \Box Dots: $D_s^*(2112)^+\pi^0$ mass distribution.

$D_{sJ}(2458)^+$: results from other experiments.

CLEO 13.5 fb^{-1}



BELLE 86.9 fb^{-1}



Study of $m(D_s^+\pi^0\gamma)$

□ In an inclusive environment, the scatter diagrams of $\Delta m(\gamma) = m(D_s^+\gamma) - m(D_s^+)$ vs. $\Delta m(\pi^0) = m(D_s^+\pi^0\gamma) - m(D_s^+\gamma)$ exhibit bands due to $D_s^*(2112)^+$ and $D_{sJ}^*(2317)^+$ which cross near $m(D_s^+\pi^0\gamma) = 2.46$ GeV.BABAR,hep-ex/0310050





Mass distributions.

 \Box Data: $D_s^*(2112)^+\pi^0$ and $D_{sJ}^*(2317)^+\gamma$ mass distributions.



 \Box Structures at ≈ 2.46 GeV in both $D_s^*(2112)^+\pi^0$ and $D_{sJ}^*(2317)^+\gamma$. At this level, not possible to separate them.



 \Box Subtract directly the sidebands in the Δm scatterplot:



 \Box Fitted parameters:

$$\Delta m(\pi^0) = 344.6 \pm 1.2$$

 \square Background peaking at slightly higher mass ($\approx 5 \text{ MeV}$).

 \square Background particularly enhanced when the π^0 veto is removed (c).

 \Box Possible reason of a mass discrepancy with CLEO.

Channel Likelihood fit.

 \Box In order to isolate the signal from backgrounds we have performed a Channel Likelihood fit of the $D_s^+ \pi^0 \gamma$ system. P.E. Condon and P.L. Cowell, Phys. Rev. D9, 2558 (1974)

 \Box The fit describes the system as due to a superposition of non-interfering resonances in the $D_s^+\pi^0\gamma$, $D_s^+\pi^0$ and $D_s^+\gamma$ systems.

 \Box The Likelihood function is therefore written as:

$$L = x_1 P_1 + x_2 P_2 + \dots + (1 - x_1 - x_2 - \dots)$$

where x_i are the fitted fractions and P_i are normalized Probability Density Functions. The P_i are described in terms of Gaussians which describe the different resonant contributions.

Channel Likelihood fit projections.

□ The fit computes, for each event, a probability to belong to a given contributing channel. The weighted distributions therefore automatically take into account all the reflections.

 $\Box D_s^+ \pi^0 \gamma$ mass distribution weighted by $D_s^*(2112)^+$ and $D_{sJ}(2317)^+$:

 $\Box \ D_{sJ}(2458)^+ \text{ signal in } D_s^*(2112)^+ \pi^0.$ $\Box \ \text{No} \ D_{sJ}(2458)^+ \text{ signal in } D_{sJ}(2317)^+ \gamma.$



Results from the Channel Likelihood fit. $\square D_{sJ}(2458)^+$ parameters from a Likelihood scan: $m(D_{s,I}(2458)^+) = 2458 \pm 1(stat.) \pm 1(syst.) \quad MeV/c^2$ $\sigma = 8.5 \pm 1.0 \quad MeV/c^2$ Statistical significance: $\approx 10 \sigma$. \Box Decay rates: $N(D_{s,I}(2458)^+ \rightarrow D_s^*(2112)^+ \pi^0) = 195 \pm 26$ $N(D_{s,I}(2458)^+ \to D^*_{s,I}(2317)^+\gamma) = 0 \pm 22$ Correcting for efficiency we derive the following upper limit: $\frac{D_{sJ}(2458)^+ \to D_{sJ}^*(2317)^+ \gamma}{D_{sJ}(2458)^+ \to D_s^*(2112)^+ \pi^0} < 0.22$ 95% c.l.

Distortion of the $D_s(2317)$ line shape.

 $\Box D_s^+ \pi^0$ mass spectrum with expected contribution from $D_s(2458)^+$ (upgrade of the analysis to 125 fb^{-1} BABAR,hep-ex/0408067.



 \Box Taken into account in fitting the mass spectrum.

Results from B decays.

 \Box Observation of:

$B \to DD_{sJ}^*(2317)^+ \quad B \to DD_{sJ}(2458)^+$

BELLE, hep-ex/0308019; BABAR, hep-ex/0408041

BELLE





Results from B decays.

□ Spin Analysis in B decays. Plot of the helicity angle θ_h . □ Study of the decay:

$$B^+ \to \bar{D}^0 D^+_{sJ}(2458) (\to D_s \gamma)$$

 $\Box \ \theta_h$ is the angle between the D_{sJ} momentum in the B rest frame and the D_s^+ momentum in the D_{sJ} rest frame.



Radiative decays from continuum.

 \Box Observation of:

BELLE

$$D_{sJ}(2458)^+ \to D_s^+ \gamma$$

BABAR



66



Observation of $B \rightarrow D_{sJ}(2317)K$.

- \Box Discovered by BELLE.BELLE,hep-ex/0409026
- \Box Important because it could be the clear evidence for an annihilation diagram.



Experimental Summary.

 \Box The measured width of these states is consistent with the experimental resolution, which implies a small intrinsic width ($\Gamma < 10 \text{ MeV}$).

 \Box The $D_{sJ}(2317)^+$ structure is not observed in:

$$D_{s}^{+}\gamma, D_{s}^{+}\gamma\gamma, D_{s}^{*}(2112)^{+}\gamma, D_{s}^{+}\pi^{+}\pi^{-}, D_{s}^{+}\pi^{0}\gamma, D_{s}^{+}\pi^{+}, D_{s}^{+}\pi^{-}$$

 \Box The quantum numbers of $D_{sJ}(2317)^+$ are consistent with being $J^P = 0^+$.

 \Box The quantum numbers of $D_{sJ}(2458)^+$ have been measured to be $J^P = 1^+$.

Experimental Summary.

 \Box The mass of the $D^*_{sJ}(2317)^+$ is 40 MeV/ c^2 below D^0K threshold.

 \square The mass of the $D_{sJ}(2458)^+$ is 44 MeV/ c^2 below $D^{0*}K$ threshold.

 \Box If the isospin of these states is I=0, since the $D_s^+\pi^0$ and $D_s^{*+}\pi^0$ systems have isospin I=1, these decays violate isospin conservation. This would explain the small widths.

 \Box In this case it is possible that this isospin violating decay proceeds via $\eta - \pi^0$ mixing, as proposed by Cho and Wise. Phys.Rev. D49 (1994) 6228.

What can these states be?

□ Potential Models before $D_{sJ}^*(2317)^+$ predicted masses too high. S. Godfrey and N. Isgur, Phys. Rev. D32 (1985) 189, S. Godfrey and R. Kokoski, Phys. Rev. D43 (1991) 1679.



□ After discovery of $D_{sJ}^*(2317)^+$ a class of potential models has some difficulty fitting all states and getting decay patterns right. R. Cahn and J. Jackson, hep-ph/0305012, S. Godfrey, hep-ph/0305012, P. Colangelo and F. De Fazio, hep-ph/0305140.

 \Box Perhaps with new potentials all charm, non-charm mesons can be fit.

□ Also QCD Lattice calculations are in trouble: the mass for a scalar $c\bar{s}$ is expected to be higher than that measured. G. Bali,hep-ph/0305209.

 \Box Chiral symmetry models predict the observed pattern: the splitting of $D_{sJ}^*(2317)^+$ and $D_{sJ}(2458)^+$ is about the same as $D_s(1969)^+ - D_s^*(2112)^+$. Predict many decay modes, including radiative decay of $D_{sJ}(2458)^+$. W. Bardeen et al., hep-ph/0305049;M.A. Nowak,hep-ph/0407272


What can these states be?

\Box Four-quark states or molecules:

T.Barnes, F. Close, H. Lipkin (hep-ph/0305025), Cheng and Hou hep-ph/0305038,K. Terasaki hep-ph/0305213, A. Szczepaniak hep-ph/0305060

 \Box Ordinary $c\bar{s}$ states still there to be found.

 \Box Expect in this case a large variety of new states with I=0 and I=1.





The Selex claim for a discovery of $D_s(2632)$.

 \Box The SELEX η signal:



BaBar Search for $D_s(2632)$.

BaBar experiment has used a sample of 196 000 D_s^+ events, and 3900 $\eta^{'}$ S.BABAR,hep-ex/0408087 The scatter diagram $m(\gamma\gamma)$ vs. $m(K^+K^-\pi^+)$ shows an excess of events in 0.8 BABAR preliminory the $D_s^+\eta$ region. _(b) η (a) 0.75 800 0.7 0.65 600 0.6 0.55 400 0.5 0.45 200 0.4 0.35 0.3 1.92 0 2.02 1.97 0.5 0.75 $m(K^{+}K^{-}\pi^{+})/c^{2}$ $m(\gamma \gamma) \text{ GeV}/c^2$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{i} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{i} \sum_{j$ <u>0</u>1000 events/2. \square Excess computed using the 9 tiles 500 method: 0 <u>-</u> 1.9 $N = N_5 - 0.5 * (N_1 + N_3 + N_7 + N_9) + 0.25 * (N_2 + N_4 + N_6 + N_9)$

where N_i are the events in each of the 9 tiles numbered from left to right and from bottom to top.

BaBar Search for $D_s(2632)$.

 \Box The data show that a fraction of the D_s^+ and η are in opposite jets (uncorrelated).

 \Box The $D_s^+\eta$ mass spectrum does not show any signal in the $D_s^+(2632)$ region.





 \Box No evidence for $D_s(2632)$.

BaBar Search for $D_s(2632)$.

 \Box No evidence is also found for any signal in the D^0K^+ or $D^{*+}K^0_S$ mass distributions.



The Charmonium spectrum.

 \Box It is important to establish the complete spectrum of charmonium.



 \Box Many narrow radial excitations.

 \Box Several states still missing.

News in the Charmonium spectrum.

 \square New developments include the evidences/observations of:

 $\eta_c(2S), h_c, X(3870)$

 \Box Not very much data exists on charmonium decays above the $D^{(*)}D^{(*)}$ thresholds.





The search for h_c .

 \Box The state h_c $(J^{PC} = 1^{+-}, 1^1 P_1)$ has been searched for in several experiments. It could decay to:

$$J/\psi \pi^0, \quad \eta_c \gamma$$

 \Box Experiment E835 studies resonances formation in $\bar{p}p$ annihilations at FERMILAB.

 \Box No evidence for h_c in:(E835,hep-ex/0410085)

$$\bar{p}p \to h_c \to J/\psi \pi^0$$

The evidence for h_c in E835.

 \Box 23 candidates in (a 3 σ effect):



The search for h_c by CLEO.

 $\Box \text{ CLEO experiment has searched for } h_c \text{ using } \approx 3 \times 10^6 \ \psi'(3686) \text{ decays.}$ $\psi' \rightarrow \pi^0 hc$

 $\rightarrow \gamma \eta_c$

 \Box Two analyses have been performed inclusive, and exclusive (where the η_c has been reconstructed using many decay modes).



The observation of X(3872).

 \square BELLE experiment has discovered a narrow charmonium state in

BELLE, hep-ex/0309032:

 $B^+ \to K^+ J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$



 $m = 3872 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.5$ MeV

 \Box Confirmed by BaBar, CDF and D0.

The observation of X(3872).

Last update on 253 fb^{-1} shows that the $\pi^+\pi^-$ spectrum is compatible with being:

 $X(3872) \to J/\psi \rho^0(770)$ 12 $X o J/\psi \,\, \pi^+\pi^-$ 30 10 Eventsis MeVic² D events/10 MeV 10 α 0.40 0.50 0.60 0.70 0.80 3900 33293840 3860 3990 $M(x^*x)$ (GeV) M(z*z.ポル) 例eV/c²)

A new charmonium state?

 \square Several tests performed in searching for other decay modes.

$$J^{PC} = 2^{--}, 1^{+-}, 2^{-+}, 1^{++}, 3^{--}, 0^{+}, 1^{-}, 2^{+}$$

excluded.

- \Box Some models suggest:
 - $D^0 \overline{D}^{(*)} K$ molecule;
 - Diquark-antidiquark;

Results from BaBar.

 \Box Study of $B^+ \to X(3872)K^+$ and $B^0 \to X(3872)K_s^0$ from BaBar.J.

Coleman(BABAR), Moriond QCD 2005





 \Box BELLE experiment has shown a threshold enhancement in the $J/\psi\omega$:Belle,hep-ex/0408126



Double $\bar{c}c$. Observation by BELLE of an unexpected large rate for: BELLE, hep-ex/0205104 $e^+e^- \to J/\psi(\bar{c}c)$ \Box Study of the missing mass to $e^+e^- - > J/\psi$ with $J/\psi \to l^+l^-$. $m_{recoil} = \sqrt{(\sqrt{s} - E_{J/\psi}^*)^2 - p_{J/\psi}^{*2}}$ N/20 MeV/c² 22 22 75 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9 4.1 4.2 50 25 Observed peaks at the positions of: 0 3.5 $\eta_c, \chi_{c0}, \eta_c(2S), X(3940)$ 3 4.5 Δ GeV/c² **Recoil Mass(J/ψ)** \square X(3940) unknown object.

Double $\bar{c}c$.

□ Result confirmed by BaBar.□ Two diagrams are possible:



 \Box Observed only C=+ states.

Light Meson spectroscopy.

 \Box Lattice QCD predicts the scalar gluonium around 1.7 GeV:



0	Status	of	gluonium	searches.
---	--------	----	----------	-----------

\square Too many scalar mesons ${\tt I}$	below 2	2. GeV.
--	---------	---------

	I = 1/2	I = 1	I = 0
	k(800)		σ
		$a_0(980)$	$f_{0}(980)$
\Box Two nonets? 4-quark states? Gluonium?			$f_0(1370)$
\Box Where is the scalar glueball?	$K_{0}^{*}(1430)$	$a_0(1490)$	$f_0(1500)$
\Box Many proposals.			$f_{0}(1700)$
Narrow: $f_0(1500), f_0(1700).$			$J_0(1700)$
Wide: σ .	$K_0^*(1950)$		
	-		

□ Information on some of these states, such as the existence of k(800) and σ can be extracted from existing data from charm decays. □ Unlikely to produce gluonium in charm decays.

Dalitz plot analysis.

The Dalitz plot analysis is the most complete method for extracting amplitudes and phases in charm and B three-body decays. D. Asner, hep-ex/0410014
It assumes an Isobar model, which means that the decay is always mediated by a two-body resonance.

 \Box The likelihood is parametrized as:

$$L = x | A_1 + c_2 A_2 e^{i\phi_2} + c_3 A_3 e^{i\phi_3} + \dots |^2 + (1 - x)$$

where A_i and ϕ_i are amplitudes and phases for each contributions, all measured with respect to a reference amplitude.

 \Box x is the signal fraction. (1 - x) is the Non Resonant contribution, in most analysis consistent with zero.

 \Box Most of charm decays go through intermediate two-body resonance production.

 \square Because of interferences the sum of the fractions does not add up to 1.

Dalitz plot analysis.

 $\Box \text{ Many analyses done or in progress. Examples from BaBar:}$ ${}^{\text{BABAR,hep-ex/0207}} \mathcal{D}^{0} \to \bar{K}^{0} K^{+} K^{-} \qquad D^{0} \to \bar{K}^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$



 \Box In some cases a rather simple structure. Clear interference of the $\phi(1020)$ with respect to $a_0(980)$ in $D^0 \to \bar{K}^0 K^+ K^-$.

 \Box Very complex structure in $D^0 \to \bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$: up to 13 resonances.

The evidence for $\kappa(800)$.

 \Box The $K\pi$ S-wave amplitude and phase has been studied by LASS experiment in the reaction: D.Aston et al., NPB296,1988,493

$$K^- p \to K^- \pi^+ n$$



 \square No need to introduce k(800).

The evidence for $\kappa(800)$ from E791.

 \Box Experiment E791 at Fermilab has studied ≈ 15000 events from:

E791, hep-ex/0204018

$$D^+ \to K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$$

 \Box Mass spectrum and Dalitz plot.





The evidence for $\kappa(800)$ from E791.

 \Box In order to fit the Dalitz plot a large Non Resonant contribution is needed.

 \Box Or, better, a new low mass scalar resonance.



 $m = 797 \pm 19 \pm 42$ MeV, $\Gamma = 410 \pm 43 \pm 85$ MeV

A different approach: Partial Wave analysis.

□ A new method has been developed by E791. B.Meadows, Moriond QCD, 2005 □ The scalar contribution is left free in the Dalitz plot analysis in terms of a complex number:

$$c_{m(K\pi)}e^{i\phi_{m(K\pi)}}$$

 \Box The fit measures amplitude and phase as a function of the $K\pi$ mass.



The evidence for $\sigma(500)$.

 \Box The $\pi\pi$ amplitude and phase has been measured in:

$$\pi^- p \to \pi^+ \pi^- n$$



The evidence for $\sigma(500)$.

 \Box Experiment E791 at Fermilab has studied ≈ 1200 events from: hep-ex/0011042

 $D^+ \to \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$



The evidence for $\sigma(500)$.

 \Box In order to obtain a good fit of the Dalitz plot they need to introduce a new wide scalar resonance:



 $m = 478 \pm 24 \pm 17$ MeV

 $\Gamma = 324 \pm 41 \pm 21 \quad MeV$

A different approach: the K-matrix formalism.

☐ Transition Matrix written as: K.Peters, hep-ph/0412069

$$T = (I - iK\rho)^{-1}K$$

$$K_{ij} = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{\gamma_{i\alpha} \gamma_{j\alpha} m_{\alpha} \Gamma_{\alpha}}{m_{\alpha}^2 - m^2} + c_{ij} m^2$$

where the index ij extends to the different decay channels and the sum is over all the K matrix poles.

 \Box This approch respects unitariety.

 \Box Algorithm makes use of all existing data in a global fit.

 \Box The whole resulting S-wave used in the Dalitz plot analysis.

K-matrix fits to charm decays.

 \square FOCUS: Analysis of $D^+ \to \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$ and $D_s^+ \to \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$ FOCUS,hep-ex/0312040



\Box No need for a $\sigma.$





Pentaquarks: pathological science strikes back?

□ In 1953 I. Langmuir gave a lecture on Pathological Science: a number of good scientists reporting wrong results. D.R.O. Morrison (CERN/EP 90-36, 15 March 1990) □ Three phases:

- Phase I: The original report is quickly confirmed;
- Phase II: about the same number of positive and negative results;
- Phase III: Avalanche of negative results.

 \Box Examples of pathological science could be:

- A_2 splitting;
- Baryonium;
- Cold Fusion;
The A_2 splitting.

 \Box The study of $\pi^- p \to p X^-$ The missing mass spectrum showed a dip at the mass of the A_2 resonance: \Box Confirmed by a different experiment. \Box Other experiments did not find the effect.

$\pi^{-} \mathbf{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{p} \mathbf{X}^{-}$ (Chikovani et al. 1965-7) (Benz, Chikovani et al. 1968)



 \square For the baryonium case see:

A. Ferrer et al., The European Physical Journal C, Volume 10, Number 2 (1999) 249.



Desitive evidences for pentaquarks: (from A. Dzierba et al., hep-ex/0412077)

Experiment	Reaction	State	Mode
LEPS(1)	$\gamma C_{12} \to K^+ K^- X$	θ^+	$K^+ n$
LEPS(2)	$\gamma d \to K^+ K^- X$	θ^+	$K^+ n$
CLAS(d)	$\gamma d \rightarrow K^+ K^-(n) p$	θ^+	$K^+ n$
CLAS(p)	$\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+(n)$	θ^+	$K^+ n$
SAPHIR	$\gamma p \rightarrow K^0_S K^+(n)$	θ^+	$K^+ n$
COSY	$p p ightarrow \Sigma \stackrel{ ightarrow}{ op} K^0_{S} p$	θ^+	$K^0_S p$
JINR	$p(C_3H_8) \rightarrow K^0_S pX$	θ^+	$K_{S}^{\widecheck{0}}p$
SVD	$pA \rightarrow K^0_S pX$	θ^+	$K_{S}^{\widecheck{0}}p$
DIANA	$K^+ X e \xrightarrow{\sim} K^0_S p(X e)'$	θ^+	$K_{S}^{\widecheck{0}}p$
$\nu\mathrm{B}\mathrm{C}$	$\nu A \rightarrow K^0_S p X$	θ^+	$K_{S}^{\widecheck{0}}p$
NOMAD	$ \nu A \rightarrow K^{\widetilde{0}}_{S} p X $	θ^+	$K_{S}^{\widecheck{0}}p$
HERMES	$\stackrel{\sim}{\operatorname{quasi-real}}$ photoproduction	θ^+	$K_{S}^{\widecheck{0}}p$
ZEUS	$e p ightarrow K^0_S p X$	θ^{+}	$K_{S}^{\widecheck{0}}p$
NA49	$p p \rightarrow \Xi \pi X$	Ξ_5	$\Xi\pi$
H1	$ep \rightarrow (D^*p)X$	θ_c	D^*p

The positive evidence.



 \Box Different ways of computing the statistical significance.

$$s/\sqrt(b), s/\sqrt{s+b}, s/\sqrt{s+2b}$$

where s=signal, b=background.

- \square Backgrounds of unknown shape. Unknown production mechanism.
- \square Published significances range between 4.3 and 7.8 $\sigma.$
- $\Box s/\sqrt{s+b}$ ranges between 3.1 and 6.4 σ .
- $\Box s/\sqrt{s+2b}$ ranges between 2.4 and 4.7 σ .
- \square No experiment has a compelling evidence,
- \Box only the cumulative result prompt the "observation"
- \square Mass of the θ^+ .



The negative evidence.

\Box A list of negative results:

Experiment	Search Reaction	θ^+	Ξ_5	θ_c
ALEPH	Hadronic Z decays	\Downarrow	\Downarrow	\Downarrow
BaBar	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$	\Downarrow	\Downarrow	—
BELLE	$KN \rightarrow PX$	\Downarrow	—	\Downarrow
BES	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \theta\bar{\theta}$	\Downarrow	—	\Downarrow
CDF	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow PX$	\Downarrow	\Downarrow	\Downarrow
COMPASS	$\mu^+ ({}^6LiD) \to PX$	\Downarrow	\Downarrow	—
DELPHI	Hadronic Z decays	\Downarrow	—	—
E690	$p p \rightarrow P X$	\Downarrow	\Downarrow	—
FOCUS	$\gamma p \rightarrow P X$	\Downarrow	\Downarrow	\Downarrow
HERA-B	$p A \rightarrow P X$	\Downarrow	\Downarrow	—
HyperCP	$(\pi^+, K^+, p)Cu \rightarrow PX$	\Downarrow	—	—
LASS	$K^+p \rightarrow K^+n\pi^+$	\Downarrow	_	—
L3	$\gamma \gamma \rightarrow heta \overline{ heta}$	\Downarrow	_	_
PHENIX	$A u A u \rightarrow P X$	\Downarrow	_	_
SELEX	$(\pi,p,\Sigma)p ightarrowPX$	\Downarrow	_	_
SPHINX	$pC(N) \rightarrow \theta^+C(N)$	\Downarrow	_	—
WA89	$\Sigma^{-}N \rightarrow PX$	_	\Downarrow	_
ZEUS	$e p \rightarrow P X$	介	\Downarrow	\Downarrow



 \square BaBar: pK_s^0 mass spectrum in e^+e^- annihilations. {BABAR, hep-ex/0502004}







Future.

- \square Data collection and analysis is continuing.
- \Box There is some prospect for a super B-factory somewhere.
- \Box Spectroscopy is foundamental for testing the quark model and QCD.
- \square S. Godfrey: the existence of gluonium excitations in the hadron spectrum is
- one of the most important unanswered questions in hadron physics.

Search for gluonium in B decays.

 \Box The possibility of searching for gluonium in B decays has been suggested by the experimental measurement of a large decay rate for:

$$B \to \eta' X, \qquad B \to \eta' K$$

 \Box The diagram giving rise to these processes is:

 $b \rightarrow sg$

 \Box There are arguments in favour of a gluonic content of the η' , therefore gluonium states may be produced in B decays.

 \Box The total rate $b \to sg$ has been calculated perturbatively:

$$B(b \to sg) = (2-5) \times 10^{-3}$$

 \Box One should look for:

$$B \to K^{(*)}\pi\pi, KK, \eta\eta, \eta\eta'$$

in searching for scalar or tensor states.

 \Box One should look for:

$$B \to K^{(*)} \eta \pi \pi, K \bar{K} \pi$$

in searching for pseudoscalar states.

H. Fritzsch, Phys. Lett. B415 (1997) 83

P. Minkowski and W. Ochs hep-ph/0404194

Charmonium hybrids.

- \Box Hybrid mesons ψ_g consists of $\bar{c}cg$.
- \Box The flux tube model predicts 8 states between 4 and 4.2 GeV.
- \Box Lattice QCD calculations predict the $J^{PC}=1^{-+}$ state between 4.04 and 4.4

GeV. The proximity of D^*D thresholds could make it narrow.

 \Box Some hybrids can have exotic quantum numbers such as:

$$\psi_g(J^{PC} = 0^{+-}, 2^{+-}) \to J/\psi + (\pi^+\pi^-), \eta, \eta'$$

$$\psi_g(J^{PC} = 1^{-+}) \to \eta_c + (\pi^+ \pi^-), \eta, \eta'$$

 \Box Some of these states could be produced in B decays.

 \Box Hybrid mesons with $J^{PC}=1^{--}$ could be looked for in e^+e^- annihilations via ISR.

Gluonium in double charmonium sample?

This discovery has triggered some authors to suggest that this rate may be partly due to the presence of a gluonium state:

$$e^+e^- \to \bar{c}cgg$$



S. Brodsky et al., hep-ph/0305269