\boldsymbol{u}^{t}

^b UNIVERSITÄT BERN

AEC ALBERT EINSTEIN CENTER FOR FUNDAMENTAL PHYSICS

Dark Matter – Experimental Searches

XVIII Frascati Spring School "Bruno Touschek" – Spring 2016

Marc Schumann, AEC Bern marc.schumann@lhep.unibe.ch

Content

•	Direct Detection	1 2	Basics: Rates and signatures; energy scales Backgrounds: Sources, reduction, low-background techn	Mon niques
•	Detectors	3 4	Crystals, cryogenic, directional detectors Nal, Germanium Cryogenic liquids Xenon and Argon	Tue
•	Indirect Detection Current Results	5 6	Indirect detection: Cosmic rays, gamma lines, neutrinos The current dark matter landscape The future	Wed

Slides:

http://www.lhep.unibe.ch/schumann/dm_2016.html

Dark Matter Search





Indirect Detection Production @Collider

f

Complementarity

here: in context of phenomenological minimal SUSY model (pMSSM): 19 parameters



5 Indirect Dark Matter Detection

- What are cosmic rays?
 → number, spectrum, particles
- If dark matter particles annihilate somewhere in the Universe (Sun, Galactic Center, Dwarf Galaxies, ...), we could detect the decay products in the cosmic rays:
 - → positrons (Pamela/AMS-II)
 - → gamma-rays (Fermi)
 - → neutrinos (IceCube)

Cosmic Ray Abundance

- Abundance in good agreement with observations of "metal ratios" in interstellar metal
- odd/even structure is due to binding energy
- Large disagreement at some isotopes
 - → too many cosmic rays or too little in solar neighborhood?



The Cosmic Ray Spectrum







PAMELA

Payload for Antimatter-Matter Exploration and Light-nuclei Astrophysics



launched 2006



- 1, 3, 7- TIME OF FLIGHT SYSTEM;
- 2, 4- ANTICOINCIDENCE SYSTEM;
- 5- SILICON STRIP TRACKER (SIX DOUBLE PLATES);
- 6- MAGNET (FIVE SECTIONS);
- 8- SILICON STRIP IMAGING CALORIMETER;
- 9- SHOWER TAIL CATCHER SCINTILLATOR;
- 10- NEUTRON DETECTOR;
- 11- HERMOCONTAINER.

A 92 GeV positron



Sampling imaging Calorimeter

·
•

hadron (R=19GV)

and the second se
the second se
The second se

electron (R=17GV)

magnetic rigidity R = pc / Ze = rL B

Positron Identification



AMS-02 @ ISS



TRD: e^{-}/e^{+} traversing the TRD produce X-rays, p/hadrons do not RICH: measure particle velocity



Gamma-Line: A smoking gun!



- + directly related to WIMP mass
- + sharp, distinct feature
- + at the relevant energies, astrophysics does not create lines
- does not happen at tree level (DM does not couple to gammas)
 - \rightarrow 2^{n d} order process, rate is largely suppressed







IceCube WIMP Limits



6 The current dark matter landscape

wrapping it all up...

At the moment, we have exclusion limits and conflicting detection claims (\rightarrow anomalies) at the same time

Collider Seaches

→ no sign of dark matter yet → O. Buchmüller's lecture

- Indirect Detection
 - → rising positron fraction?
 - → galactic center excess?
 - \rightarrow a new X-ray line at 3.5 keV?
- Direct Detection
 - → two anomalies, strongly challenged by various limits

Hints / Anomalies



The graph shows the number of years the signal has survived vs. the inferred mass of the dark matter particle. The label's size is related to the statistical significance of the signal.

The colors correspond to the Bayesian likelihood that the signal originates from dark matter, from uncertain (red) to very unlikely (blue).

The masses of the discovered particles span impressive 11 orders of magnitude, although the largest concentration is near the weak scale (this is called the WIMP miracle).

Positrons – PAMELA

Payload for Antimatter-Matter Exploration and Light-nuclei Astrophysics



launched 2006



- 1, 3, 7- TIME OF FLIGHT SYSTEM;
- 2, 4- ANTICOINCIDENCE SYSTEM;
- 5- SILICON STRIP TRACKER (SIX DOUBLE PLATES);
- 6- MAGNET (FIVE SECTIONS);
- 8- SILICON STRIP IMAGING CALORIMETER;
- 9- SHOWER TAIL CATCHER SCINTILLATOR;
- 10- NEUTRON DETECTOR;
- 11- HERMOCONTAINER.

A rising positron fraction!!!





Measured anti-proton ratio in agreement with secondary production! (also true for new AMS-02 data)

influence of sun → not accounted for in the Galprop model

PAMELA does not have a TRD: maybe some of the positrons are protons? (There are 1000-10000 more p that e⁺) → a proton contamination of 3 x 10⁻⁴ could explain the rise

Dark Matter?



- DM needs to be leptophilic (excess in e⁺, not in p)
 - \rightarrow need to overcome helicity suppression
- radiative corrections might enhance the e⁺ fraction
- WIMP miracle expects $\langle \sigma v \rangle \sim 3 \times 10^{-26}$ cm³/s, PAMELA would lead to $\langle \sigma v \rangle \sim 10^{-23}$ cm³/s
 - → need large boost factors!



How can we get Boost Factors?

Astrophysics: local overdensity of dark matter in nearby sub-halos

Particle Physics: Sommerfeld Enhancement

 $X + X \rightarrow f + f$

typically, the rate of the process is proportional to "flux x cross-section"

Sommerfeld: if the colliding particles attract each other, the rate is enhanced. Classically: focusing effect and incresed velocity



Or is it simply from nearby pulsars?



Need better data...





AMS-02 result confirms PAMELA



- is the spectrum turning around?? - no features found in data!

Closing Thoughts: e^+ and p

stolen from Pierre Salati, arXiv:1605.01218:

The [PAMELA] cosmic ray positron anomaly has been confirmed by the AMS-02 collaboration. It is difficult to explain this excess solely by DM annihilation. [...] The most plausible explanation has to be found in nearby pulsars.

As regards antiprotons, the preliminary AMS-02 p/p ratio is compatible with a pure secondary component [=no DM], although the data are close to the upper edge of the expected background. To decide whether a DM signal is hidden, cosmic ray propagation needs to be better constrained and the antiproton production cross sections in pp and NN collisions should be more accurately measured.



slide from E. Nuss

Where to search for gammas? The dark matter Sky



slide from Olaf Reimer

Fermi Gamma Sky



Dwarf Galaxies

expect almost no background (DM only), but rather weak signal



15 dSphs analyzed together, 6 year of Fermi data: \rightarrow no indication of a signal

Galactic Center Excess



Galactic Center Excess

Many groups have reported a spatially extended excess of gamma-ray emission in the inner Galaxy peaking at ~2 GeV in E² dN/dE and consistent with a contracted NFW profile



Spectrum, spatial profile, and inferred annihilation cross section are consistent with WIMP hypothesis within uncertainties — can an astrophysical interpretation be excluded?

Caveat: Modelling the diffuse astrophysical background is difficult



slide from Olaf Reimer

• what is the impact of astrophysical point sources?

X-ray line at 3.5 keV

DETECTION OF AN UNIDENTIFIED EMISSION LINE IN THE STACKED X-RAY SPECTRUM OF GALAXY CLUSTERS

ESRA BULBUL^{1,2}, MAXIM MARKEVITCH², ADAM FOSTER¹, RANDALL K. SMITH¹ MICHAEL LOEWENSTEIN², AND SCOTT W. RANDALL¹ ¹ Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138. ² NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, USA. Submitted to ApJ, 2014 February 10 [1402.2301]

We detect a weak unidentified emission line at E=(3.55-3.57)+/-0.03 keV in a stacked XMM spectrum of 73 galaxy clusters spanning a redshift range 0.01-0.35. MOS and PN observations independently show the presence of the line at consistent energies. When the full sample is divided into three subsamples (Perseus, Centaurus+Ophiuchus+Coma, and all others), the line is significantly detected in all three independent MOS spectra and the PN "all others" spectrum. It is also detected in the Chandra spectra of Perseus with the flux consistent with XMM (though it is not seen in Virgo)...

An unidentified line in X-ray spectra of the Andromeda galaxy and Perseus galaxy cluster

A. Boyarsky¹, O. Ruchayskiy², D. Iakubovskyi^{3,4} and J. Franse^{1,5}

¹Instituut-Lorentz for Theoretical Physics, Universiteit Leiden, Niels Bohrweg 2, Leiden, The Netherlands ²Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, FSB/ITP/LPPC, BSP, CH-1015, Lausanne, Switze [1402.4119]

We identify a weak line at $E \sim 3.5$ keV in X-ray spectra of the Andromeda galaxy and the Perseus galaxy cluster – two dark matter-dominated objects, for which there exist deep exposures with the XMM-Newton X-ray observatory. Such a line was not previously known to be present in the spectra of galaxies or galaxy clusters. Although the line is weak, it has a clear tendency to become stronger towards the centers of the objects; it is stronger for the Perseus cluster than for the Andromeda galaxy and is absent in the spectrum of a very deep "blank sky" dataset...

Andromeda Galaxy



Andromeda Galaxy: Zoom



Possible new physics interpretations

- decaying gravitinos
- axino dark matter
- axions and ALPs
- light-nonthermal dark matter
- R-parity violating decays of keV sparticles
- sterile neutrinos



- millicharged dark matter
- eXciting dark matter
- etc etc etc

Or boring old things?

- unknown astrophysics
- atomic lines (known lines at 3.48 and 3.52 keV are included in fit)

No Conclusion yet

Target	Instrument	Significance (σ)	Reference
M31	XMM-Newton/MOS	3.2	Boyarsky 2014 1402.4119
Perseus Cluster (outskirts)	XMM-Newton/MOS XMM-Newton/PN	2.6 2.4	Boyarsky 2014 1402.4119
Perseus Cluster (center)	Chandra/ACIS	3.5	Bulbul 2014 1402.2301
Perseus Cluster (center)	Suzaku	З	J. Franse (TAUP 2015)
Galactic Center	XMM-Newton/MOS	5.7	Boyarksy 2014 1408.2503
73 Stacked Clusters (z<0.4)	XMM-Newton/MOS XMM-Newton/PN	5 4	Bulbul 2014 1402.2301
8 Stacked dSphs	XMM-Newton/MOS XMM-Newton/MOS	Non-detection	Malyshev et al. 2015 1408.3531
M31	Chandra/ACIS	Non-detection	Horiuchi et al. 2014 1311.0282
Blank Sky	XMM-Newton/MOS	Non-detection	Boyarsky 2014 1402.4119

Not a consensus, see, e.g., Jeltema & Profumo 2015, MNRAS, 450, 2143 (arXiv:1408.1699)

table from Olaf Reimer

Direct Detection



some results are missing...

The DAMA/LIBRA Modulation

- DAMA: PMTs coupled to Nal Scintillators
 → extremely low background necessary
- looks for annual modulation @ LNGS
- large mass and exposure: 0.82 ton years





- DAMA finds annual modulation @ 8.9 σ
- BUT: result cannot be explained with standard neutralinos or KK Dark Matter, result in conflict with other experiments



DAMA vs XENON

Modulation

single scatter. low E

multiple scatter, low E

→ similar modulation ($\phi_{ms} \simeq \phi_{ss}$)

30

40 50

→ sideband

XENON100

best fit

95% C.L 99.73% C.L.

→ signal region (2-6 keV)

→ no significant modulation phase disfavors DM

ען ד⁰ק

12 10g(1

 $2\log(\mathcal{L}_0/\mathcal{L}_1)$

12

Science 349, 851 (2015)



lσ (global)

σ (local)

2σ (local)

global)

100

AMA/LIBRA

expected

phase from DM halo

200

300 400

Period [Days]

20 (local)

Matter Project



XENON100 excludes DAMA as being due to

- WIMP-e⁻ axial-vector couplings at 4.4σ
- luminous dark matter at 4.6σ
- mirror dark matter at 3.6σ

Amplitude [events/(keV·tonne·day)] 20 40 60 80 100 120 140 160 180 Phase [Days] \rightarrow exclude DAMA/Libra as being induced by axial-vector WIMP-electron couplings at 4.80

Modulation also directly tested by KIMS, CoGeNT, CDMS-II; upcoming: SABRE, DMIce/Anais

Upcoming Nal Projects

aim at testing the DAMA claim using the same target/detector

 \rightarrow main challenges: crystal purity, low threshold, target mass

SABRE

B. Suerfu (UCLA DM 2016) Sodium-iodine with Active Background REjection Strategy:

- lower background: better crystals \checkmark , PMTs
- liquid scintillator veto against ⁴⁰K (factor 10)
- lower threshold (PMTs directly coupled to Nal)
- North (LNGS) and South (Australia)
- Status: tests with 5kg crystals ongoing at LNGS





other: DM-Ice + KIMS-Nal + ANAIS

DM-Ice: 17 kg @ South Pole arxiv:1602.05939

DM-Ice: 55kg @ Yangyang 52 kg @ Yangyang KIMS: ANAIS: 113 kg @ Canfranc

- \rightarrow start data taking by June 2016
- \rightarrow background 2-3x DAMA (no veto)

CDMS-II: Silicon Detectors

PRL. 111 (2013) 251301



- 140 kg x days of data from cryogenic silicon detectors
- data acquired 2007-2008
- background prediction ~0.5 event
- blind analysis reveals 3 candidate events
 - $(\rightarrow$ Poisson likelihood is 5.4%)

CDMS @ APS 2013: "We do not believe this result rises to the level of a discovery, but does call for further investigation"

High WIMP-masses TPC dominated

→ ≥4.5 GeV/c²



some results are missing...

Existing dual phase detectors



The ultimate limit for direct detection

 \rightarrow with directional information, it is in theory possible to go beyond...



The ultimate limit for direct detection

 \rightarrow with directional information, it is in theory possible to go beyond...



The Future





contact: marc.schumann@lhep.unibe.ch