The Cosmological Dark Puzzles

Antonio Riotto CERN





XIV Frascati Spring School "Bruno Touschek"

Where is our Universe coming from ?

What is the geometry of the Universe ?

What is the fate of the Universe ?

What is our Universe made of?





J. Ellis, J. Espinosa, G. Giudice, A. Hoecker and A.R., to appear

First collisions at CERN



Plan of the lectures

Introduction to the Standard Big-Bang cosmology
The Dark Energy puzzle
The DM puzzle Lecture one: Introduction to Standard Big Bang Cosmology and the Dark Energy Puzzle



The Universe has structure





The Universe is homogeneous and isotropic on sufficiently large scales



Cosmic Microwave Background



MAP990045

Robert Wilson

The Cosmic Microwave Background Radiation



- 2.725 K above absolute zero
- mm-cm wavelength
- 410.4 photons per cubic cm
- Perfect black-body spectrum
- Nobel prize 1978: Penzias & Wilson
- Nobel Prize 2006: Mather & Smoot

Hydrogen Recombination & Last Scattering Surface



Matter is ionized at temperatures higher than the hydrogen ionization energy of 13.6 eV

$$\frac{n_e n_p}{n_H} = \left(\frac{m_e T}{2\pi}\right)^{3/2} e^{-E_{\rm ion}/T}$$

The Universe becomes transparent to photons when

$$(\sigma_{e\gamma}n_e)^{-1} \sim t, \ \sigma_{e\gamma} = 8\pi\alpha^2/3m_e^2, \ T_{\rm LS} \simeq 0.26 \ {\rm eV}$$







Background

Dipole

Milky Way and fluctuations



The Cosmological Principle:

The Universe is homogeneous and isotropic (ON LARGE SCALES)

The Universe is homogeneous and isotropic: Friedmann-Robertson-Walker metric



$$dl^{2} = \frac{dr^{2}}{1 - kr^{2}} + r^{2} \left(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2} \theta d\phi^{2} \right)$$

The geometry of space



k = 1	k = 0	k = -1
Sphere	Plane	Hyperboloi

Example: geometry of a sphere



$$x^{2} + y^{2} + z^{2} = 1 \Rightarrow z^{2} = 1 - x^{2} - y^{2}$$

$$x = r\cos\theta, \ y = r\sin\theta \Rightarrow \ dl^2 = \frac{dr^2}{1 - r^2} + r^2d\theta^2$$



Implication: Hubble's Law (1929)





$$\vec{x} = a(t)\vec{l_0} \Rightarrow \vec{v} = \frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} = H(t)\vec{x}$$

Recession velocities are proportional to distance

$$H(t) \equiv \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$$





It is space which is expanding



How is the Universe expanding?

The scale factor in the Friedman-Robertson-Walker metric satisfies Einstein equations



Space-time geometry = energy

Space and time are linked (1905) Space and time are dynamical (1915)





The Cosmological Principle imposes that the energy momentum tensor is of the form

$$T^{\mu}{}_{\nu} = \operatorname{Diag}\left(\rho, -P, -P, -P\right)$$

 $ho = {
m Energy\ density} \qquad P = {
m\ Pressure}$

Einstein equations take the form

$$H^{2} = \frac{8\pi G_{N}}{3}\rho - \frac{k}{a^{2}}$$
$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi G_{N}}{3}(\rho + 3P)$$

Energy momentum conservation takes the form

 $\dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + P) = 0$

Physics behind:

Take a test particle of unit mass immersed in a pressureless fluid of given energy density

$$r = ar_0, \ M = \frac{4\pi}{3}\rho r^3$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\dot{r}^2 - \frac{G_N M}{r} = -\frac{kr_0^2}{2}$$

Energy conservation of a test particle: the value of the binding energy tells if the Universe will recollapse or expand for ever

The Golden Rule of the expansion

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi G_N}{3}\rho - \frac{k}{a^2}$$

is equivalent to



Today $\rho_c \simeq 10^4 \,\mathrm{eV} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$
The Geometry of space



 $\Omega > 1 \qquad \qquad \Omega = 1 \qquad \qquad \Omega < 1$

A measurement of the total energy density of the Universe implies a measurement of the geometry of space

Various types of fluids:

Suppose
$$P = w\rho \implies \rho \propto a^{-3(1+w)}$$

Relativistic
$$w = 1/3 \Rightarrow \rho_R \propto a^{-4} = a^{-3} \times a^{-1}$$

Nonrelativistic $w \simeq 0 \Rightarrow \rho_{NR} \propto a^{-3}$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Cosmological} \\ \mbox{constant} \end{array} & w\simeq -1 \Rightarrow \rho \propto a^0 \end{array}$

Curvature term $w = -1/3 \Rightarrow \rho \propto a^{-2}$

Time evolution

$$a \propto t^{\frac{2}{3}(1+w)}$$

 $H = \frac{2}{3}(1+w)\frac{1}{t}$



The expansion is decelerated







Distance-Redshift Relation $F = \frac{L}{4\pi d_L^2}$ defines luminosity distance, know L, measure F

 $4\pi d_L^2$ area of 2S centered on source at time of detection

$$ds^{2} = dt^{2} - a^{2}(t) \left[\frac{dr^{2}}{1 - kr^{2}} + r^{2} d\Omega^{2} \right] \Rightarrow \text{ area} = 4\pi a_{0}^{2} r^{2}$$

 $1+z = \frac{a_0}{a}$ Energy redshifted: (1 + z)Time interval redshifted: (1 + z)Flux redshifted: $(1 + z)^2$ $d_L^2 = a_0^2 r^2 (1 + z)^2$

Distance-Redshift Relation

Light travels on geodesics

$$ds^2 = 0 \Rightarrow \int \frac{dr}{\sqrt{1 - kr^2}} = \int \frac{dt}{a(t)} = \int \frac{da}{H(a)a^2}$$

$$\int_{0}^{r} \frac{dr'}{\sqrt{1-kr'^{2}}} = \int_{0}^{z} \frac{a^{-1}(t_{0})H_{0}^{-1}}{\sqrt{(1-\Omega_{0})(1+z')^{2}+\Omega_{M}(1+z')^{3}+\Omega_{w}(1+z')^{3(1+z')}}}$$

Program:

- measure d_L (via $d_L^2 = L/4\pi F$) and z
- input a model cosmology (Ω_i) and calculate $a_0 r$
- compare to data
- need bright "standard candle"

Distance-Redshift Relation

$$d_L(z) = \frac{1}{H_0} \left[z + (1 - q_0) \frac{z^2}{2} + \left(-j_0 + 3q_0^2 - 1 - \frac{k}{a_0^2 H_0^2} \right) \frac{z^3}{6} + \mathcal{O}(z^4) \right]$$

$$q \equiv -(\ddot{a}/a)/H^2, \text{ jerk } j \equiv (\ddot{a}/a)/H^3$$



Monastic Chronicles re: Supernova 1006:

"in 1006 there was a very great famine and a comet appeared for a long time"

"at the same time a comet, which always announces human shame, appeared in the southern regions, which was followed by a great pestilence..."

"three years after the king was raised to the throne, a comet with a horrible appearance was seen in the southern part of the sky, emitting flames this way and that..."

Georg Busch (German painter) in 1572:

"It is a sign that we will be visited by all sorts of calamities such as inclement weather, pestilence, and Frenchmen."





Evidence for acceleration

$$d_L(z) = \frac{1}{H_0} \left[z + (1 - q_0) \frac{z^2}{2} + \left(-j_0 + 3q_0^2 - 1 - \frac{k}{a_0^2 H_0^2} \right) \frac{z^3}{6} + \mathcal{O}(z^4) \right]$$

$$q \equiv -(\ddot{a}/a)/H^2, \text{ jerk } j \equiv (\ddot{a}/a)/H^3$$







Theoretical prejudice

$\Omega_0 = 1$

The Inflationary Cosmology



Inflation does NOT change the global structure of space, but LOCALLY it makes it flat



IF $N \gg 60 \Rightarrow \Omega_0 = 1 + \mathcal{O}\left(e^{60-N}\right)$







$$\frac{\Delta T}{T}(x_0, \tau_0, \mathbf{n}) = \sum_{\ell m} a_{\ell m}(x_0) Y_{\ell m}(\mathbf{n})$$
$$\langle a_{\ell m} a_{\ell' m'} \rangle = \delta_{\ell \ell'} \delta_{m m'} C_{\ell}$$
$$\langle \frac{\Delta T}{T}(\mathbf{n}) \frac{\Delta T}{T}(\mathbf{n}') \rangle = \sum_{\ell} \frac{(2\ell + 1)}{4\pi} C_{\ell} P_{\ell}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}')$$

(ensemble averages)

Position of the first peak

Modes caught in the extrema of their oscillation at recombination will have enhanced fluctuations, yielding a fundemental scale or frequency related to the Universe sound horizon





Goddard Space Flight Center • Princeton University • University of Chicago • UCLA • University of British Columbia • Brown University http://map.gsfc.nasa.gov • http://lambda.gsfc.nasa.gov

NASA



Precision Cosmology

 $n = 0.93_{-0.03}^{+0.03}$ $\Omega_{tot} = 1.02^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$ $dn_k/d \ln k = -0.031^{+0.016}_{-0.018}$ *w*<-0.78 (95% CL) MAP $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.73^{+0.04}_{-0.04}$ r<0.71 (95% CL) $\Omega_{b}^{n}h^{2}=0.0224_{-0.0009}^{+0.0009}$ $z_{dec} = 1089^{+1}_{-1}$ $\Omega_{b} = 0.044 + 0.004$ $\Delta z_{\rm dec} = 195^{+2}_{-2}$ $n_{b} = 2.5 \text{ x } 10^{-7 + 0.1 \text{ x} 10^{-7}} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ $h = 0.71_{-0.03}^{+0.04}$ $\overline{\Omega}_{m}h^{2} = 0.135_{-0.009}^{+0.008}$ $t_0 = 13.7 + 0.2 \text{ Gyr}$ $\Omega_{m}^{m} = 0.27_{-0.04}^{+0.04}$ $\Omega_v^m h^2 < 0.0076$ 93% $m_v < 0.23 \text{ eV} (95\%)$ CL) $z_{eq} = 3233_{-210}^{+194}$ $\tau = 0.17_{-0.04}^{+0.04}$ $T_{\rm cmb} = 2.725_{-0.002}^{+0.002} \text{ K}$ $n_{\gamma} = 410.4^{+0.9}_{-0.9} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ $z_{r} = 20^{+10}_{-9} (95\% \text{ CL})$ $\theta_{A} = 0.598^{+0.002}_{-0.002}$ $d_{A} = 14.0^{+0.2}_{-0.3} \text{ Gpc}$ $\eta = 6.1 \ge 10^{-10} + 0.3 \ge 10^{-10}$ $\Omega_{b}\Omega_{m}^{-1} = 0.17^{+0.01}_{-0.01}$ $\sigma_8 = 0.84^{+0.04}_{-0.04}$ Mpc $\sigma_8 \Omega_m^{0.5} = 0.44 + 0.04$ $l_{A} = 301^{+1}_{-1}$ $r_{s} = 147^{+2}_{-2} \text{ Mpc}$ $A = 0.833^{+0.086}_{-0.083}$

Planck





Taking sides:

 $G_{00}(\text{FRW}) = 8\pi G T_{00}$

I) Modify the RHS of Einstein equations a) Cosmological constant b) Not constant (scalar field)

2) Modify the LHS of Einstein equations a) Beyond Einstein (mod. of gravity) b) Just Einstein (BR of inhomog.)

The dark side of the Universe



70% of the energy density of the Universe is in the form of dark energy

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi G_N}{3} \left(\rho + 3P\right) \\ & \ddot{a} > 0 \Leftrightarrow w \equiv P/\rho < -1/3 \end{aligned}$$

Modify the RHS: CC/Quintessence

- Many possible contributions?
- Why then is the total so small?
- Perhaps some unknown dynamics sets the total CC to zero, but we are not there yet



Why now?



How do we know DE exists?

- Assume FRW model of cosmology: $H^2 = 8\pi G \rho/3 k/a^2$
- Assume energy and pressure content: $\rho = \rho_M + \rho_{\gamma} + \rho_{\Lambda} + \cdots$
- Input cosmological parameters
- Compute observables: $d_L(z), d_A(z), H(z)$
- Model cosmology fits with ho_{Λ} , but not without ho_{Λ}
- All evidence for DE is INDIRECT : the observed Hubble rate is not the one predicted through all the previous steps



The dark energy is probably vacuum energy. Requires dramatic fine-tuning, but every alternative requires even more. Observational signature: <u>constant</u> energy density (w = -1, and w' = 0).

If it is vacuum energy, cosmological observations won't tell us anything; we'll have to understand fundamental physics (extra dimensions, susy), probably through accelerator experiments.



But knowing whether it is vacuum is of paramount importance!

Modify the LHS: non-standard gravity

$$F_g = G_N \frac{m_1 m_2}{r^2} \text{ per } r < r_c$$

$$F_g = G_N \frac{m_1 m_2}{r^3} \text{ per } r > r_c$$

Degravitation

$$G_{\rm N}^{-1}\left(L^2\Box\right)G_{\mu\nu} = 8\,\pi\,T_{\mu\nu}$$

All these class of theories predict the presence of extra longitudinal degrees of freedom of the gravitaton which becomes strongly coupled at distances

$$r_{\star} = \left(L^{4(1-\alpha)}r_{g}\right)^{\frac{1}{1+4(1-\alpha)}}$$

$$r_{g} = 2G_{N}M$$

$$\delta \sim \left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{2(1-\alpha)}\sqrt{\frac{r}{r_{g}}}$$
Lemaitre-Tolman-Bondi Models

- Spherical model
- Overall Einstein-de Sitter
- Inner underdense Gpc region
- Calculate $d_L(z)$
- Compare to data
- Fit with $\Lambda = 0$





Techniques to descriminate

- Hubble Diagram (SNe)
- Baryon acoustic oscillations
- Weak lensing
- Galaxy clusters
- Age of the Universe
- Structure formation



Observational strategy





The Millenium Simulation Project:

http://www.mpa-garching.mpg.de/galform/virgo/millennium/

The structure in the Universe

Perturbing around the average energy density we may define the density contrast

$$\delta(\mathbf{x},t) \equiv \frac{\rho(\mathbf{x},t) - \overline{\rho}}{\overline{\rho}} = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \,\delta_{\mathbf{k}}(t) \, e^{-i\,\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}$$

The power spectrum is defined by $\langle \delta_{\mathbf{k}} \, \delta_{\mathbf{k}'} \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \, P_{\delta}(k) \, \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}')$

$$\Delta_{\delta}(k) = \frac{k^3 P_{\delta}(k)}{2\pi^2}, \ P_{\delta} = A k^n T(k)$$

 $n \simeq 1, T(k) =$ transfer function



$$\delta_{\mathbf{k}} = -\frac{2}{3} \frac{k^2}{\Omega_m H^2} \, \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}$$

Cosmological Perturbations are sensitive to energy contentand to modified gravity

$$\ddot{\delta}_m + 2H\dot{\delta}_m = \frac{3}{2}H^2\delta_m, \ \delta_m = \delta\rho_m/\rho_m$$

 $\delta_m(a) = D(a) =$ growth function, D(a) = a in MD

Perturbations can be probed at different epochs: I) CMB, z ~ 1100 2) 21 cm, z ~ 10-20 3) Ly-alpha forest, z ~ 2-4 4) Weak lensing, z ~ 0.3-2 5) Galaxy clustering, z ~ 0-2

$$w(a) = w_0 + (1 - a)w_a$$



$$g(a) \equiv \delta_m / a = e^{\int_0^a d \ln a' \left[\Omega_M^{\gamma}(a') - 1\right]}$$

D. Huterer, E. Linder, 2005

Acoustic Baryonic Oscillations



travel to us and are observable as CMB acoustic peaks. For matter, sound speed plummets, wave stalls, total distance travelled 150 Mpc imprinted on power spectrum.

DE enters in the determination of the angular distance

Weak Lensing



Why the halo mass function is so relevant ?

dn/dVdz is exponentially sensitive to the Dark Energy through the growth function



X-ray cluster cosmology white paper, arXiv: 0903.5320

Main current/future BAO surveys

Name	Telescope	N(z) / 10 ⁶	Dates	Status
SDSS/2dFGRS	SDSS/AAT	0.8	Now	Done
WiggleZ	AAT(AAOmega)	0.4	2007-2011	Running
FastSound	Subaru(FMOS)	0.6	2009-2012	Proposal
BOSS	SDSS	1.5	2009-2013	Proposal
HETDEX	HET(VIRUS)	1	2010-2013	Part funded
WFMOS	Subaru	>2	2013-2016	Part funded
ADEPT	Space	>100	2012+	JDEM
SKA	SKA	>100	2020+	Long term

Most data will come at z ~ 1 (U-band bottleneck for LBGs)

Σ WiggleZ/FastSound/BOSS = 2m by ~2012 (~7% on w)

$$w(a) = w_0 + (1-a)w_a$$



Anthropic/Landscape

- Many sources of vacuum energy
- String Theory has many vacua > 10⁵⁰⁰
- Some of them correspond to a cancellation leading to the observed small cosmological constant

Galaxies require (Weinberg) $\Lambda < 10^{-118} M_{\rm Pl}^4$

- Although the are exponentially uncommon, they are preferred because...
- More common values of the CC results in an inhospitable Universe





Lecture two: the Dark Matter Puzzle



$$\Omega_M \sim 0.3$$







The Andromeda Galaxy (M31)





Tomography = bin galaxies by redshift







The Millenium Simulation Project:

http://www.mpa-garching.mpg.de/galform/virgo/millennium/

The Inflationary Cosmology



How to get Inflation



Friedmann equation: slow roll $H^{2} = \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^{2} = \frac{8\pi}{3m_{\rm Pl}^{2}} \left[\frac{1}{2}\phi^{2} + V(\phi)\right] \simeq \text{const.}$

Scalar field equation of motion:

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)\dot{\phi} + V'(\phi) = 0$$
 $a(t) \propto e^{\int Hdt} \equiv e^N$

Particle production in an expanding Universe







From Quantum Fluctuations to the Large Scale Structure



The cornerstones of structure formation

- Initial seeds provided by primordial inflation: spectrum of perturbations nearly flat and nearly gaussian
- Density perturbations grow because of the gravitational instability. They grow like the scale factor at the linear level
- In the CDM scenario, the first objects to collapse and form dark matter haloes are of low mass
- Merger trees: a halo that exists at a given time will have been constructed by the merging of smaller fragments over time
- When haloes merge, their cores survive as distinct subhaloes for some time. In group/cluster scale haloes, these will mark the locations of the galaxies

The spherical collapse model

According to Birkhoff's theorem, a spherical density overdense perturbation departs from the background evolution and behaves in exactly the same way as part of of a closed Universe



Why the halo mass function is so relevant

The high-peak bias model, based on the knowledge of the halo mass function, correctly predicts that high-mass haloes are positivey biased:



Dark Matter halo: $10^{14} M_{\odot}$

Dark Matter

Galaxies



The Millenium Simulation Project:

Informations on the DM halo mass function from

- Optical detection of their member galaxies
- X-ray emission from hot electrons confined by the gravitational potential wells
- SZ effect whereby hot electrons up-scatter the CMB photons leaving an apparent deficit of low-frequency CMB flux in their direction
- Weak lensing (clusters selected as peaks in a smoothed twodimensional shear map)
- Systematic, not statistical uncertainties, provide the limiting factor in cosmological measurements: none of these technique measure mass directly, but some proxy quantity as galaxy counts, X-ray flux and/or temperature or the SZ decrement (e.g. X-ray selection requires the intra-cluster gas to be heated to a detectable level, bias effects; weak lensing techniques may miss a fraction of the real mass)



Fig. VI-5: Galaxy clusters as viewed in three different spectral regimes: top left, an optical view showing the concentration of yellowish member galaxies (SDSS); top right, Sunyaev, Zel'dovich flux decrements at 30 GHz (Carlstrom, et al. 2001); bottom, x-ray emission (Chandra Science Center). These images are not at a common scale.

 The DM halo mass function will be accurately tested by planned large-scale galaxy surveys, both ground (e.g. Large Synoptic Survay Telescope, Galaxy And Mass Assembly, volume comparable to horizon size) and satellite (e.g. the ESA EUCLID) based (optical, weak lensing, X-ray emission, SZ effect are complementary)


Why the halo mass function is so relevant ?

dn/dVdz is exponentially sensitive to the Dark Energy through the growth function



X-ray cluster cosmology white paper, arXiv: 0903.5320

How dark matter mass is distributed



At present the knowledge of the halo mass function comes mainly from N-body simulations

The structure in the Universe

Perturbing around the average energy density we may define the density contrast

$$\delta(\mathbf{x},t) \equiv \frac{\rho(\mathbf{x},t) - \overline{\rho}}{\overline{\rho}} = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \,\delta_{\mathbf{k}}(t) \, e^{-i\,\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}$$

The power spectrum is defined by $\langle \delta_{\mathbf{k}} \, \delta_{\mathbf{k}'} \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \, P_{\delta}(k) \, \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}')$

$$\Delta_{\delta}(k) = \frac{k^3 P_{\delta}(k)}{2\pi^2}, \ P_{\delta} = A k^n T(k)$$

 $n \simeq 1, T(k) =$ transfer function



$$\delta_{\mathbf{k}} = -\frac{2}{3} \frac{k^2}{\Omega_m H^2} \, \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}$$

Power spectrum for CDM





Particle Dark Matter

DM is not in the form of light massive neutrinos



 $\lambda_{\nu} \sim 40 \,\mathrm{Mpc} \left(30 \,\mathrm{eV}/m_{\nu}\right)$

HDM - Top-Down Pancake Scenario



CDM paradigm







J. Lesgourgues, M. Pietroni and A.R., 2009

Known facts

- Must be cold: by the time of matterradiation equality and until now, DM must be nonrelativistic and clump together by gravitational attraction
- must be neutral
- WIMP paradigm

The past



At high temperatures, the Universe is expected to be Radiation Dominated

IF equilibrium holds, then

$$\rho_R = \frac{\pi^2}{30} g_* T^4 \ (T \gg m)$$

$$g_* = \sum_{\text{bosons}} g_b + \frac{7}{8} \sum_{\text{fermions}} g_f$$

$$8\pi G_N = \frac{1}{M_p^2}$$

$$H \simeq 1.66 \ g_*^{1/2} \frac{T^2}{M_p}, \ M_p \simeq 1.2 \times 10^{19} \text{ GeV}$$

2 (MeV) t Tsec

$t_{ m LHC} \sim 10^{-14} \, { m sec}$

Entropy Density

$$s = \frac{\rho_R + P_R}{T} = \frac{4}{3} \frac{\rho_R}{T} = \frac{2\pi^2}{45} g_* T^3$$

If expansion is adiabatic:

$$S \equiv s \times V = \text{constant} \Rightarrow g_*(Ta)^3 = \text{constant}$$

$$T \propto \frac{1}{g_*^{1/3}a}$$

Thermal relic

- Thermal equilibrium when T>m
- Once T<m, no more WIMPs created
- If stable, the only way to loose them is by annihilation
- Universe expands: at some point comoving number of WIMPs freeze out



Order of magnitude estimate

$$H \sim g_*^{1/2} \frac{T^2}{M_p}$$

$$\Gamma_{\text{ann}} \simeq \langle \sigma_{\text{ann}} v \rangle n$$

$$H(T_f) \simeq \Gamma_{\text{ann}}$$

$$n \simeq g_*^{1/2} \frac{T_f^2}{M_p \langle \sigma_{\text{ann}} v \rangle}$$

$$Y = \frac{n}{s} \simeq g_*^{-1/2} \frac{1}{M_P T_f \langle \sigma_{\text{ann}} v \rangle}$$

$$\Omega \sim (0.2 - 0.3) \Rightarrow \langle \sigma_{\rm ann} v \rangle \simeq 10^{-9} \, {\rm GeV}^{-2} \simeq \frac{\pi \alpha^2}{m^2} \text{ for } m \simeq 300 \, {\rm GeV}$$

Coincidence or evidence for new physics at TeV scale? Impossible to overestimate the discovery of DM at LHC



Split Supersymmetry



Figure 11: The bands give the values of μ , as functions of M_2 , consistent with the dark matter constraint $0.094 < \Omega_{DM}h^2 < 0.129$, with gaugino mass unification The solid lines correspond to $\tan \beta = 4$ and the dashed lines to $\tan \beta = 20$. The left frame is for positive values of μ and the right frame for negative values of μ .

Lots of uncertainties

- Thermal history of the Universe above nucleosynthesis unknown
- DM may be non-thermal
- Hubble rate at freeze-out may depend on DE

Reheating temperature below the freeze-out temperature



DM affected related to the baryon asymmetry?

$$\Omega_{\rm DM} \simeq 0.6 \left(\frac{Y_{\infty}}{10^{-11}}\right) \left(\frac{m_X}{100 \,{\rm GeV}}\right)$$

If DM carries an asymmetry

$$\Omega_X \sim \left(\frac{(n_X - n_{\overline{X}})/s}{10^{-11}}\right) \left(\frac{m_X}{30 \,\text{GeV}}\right)$$

Is there an influence of the baryon asymmetry on the DM abundance?

Most viable new theories at EW scale have a DM candidate



Discrete symmetry (R,T,KK) makes the lightest particle stable

Supersymmetry, Little Higgs, extra-dimensions have a DM candidate

How can LHC establish that a new discovery is the DM of the Universe?



I) Excess of missing energy



2) Reconstructing DM abundance

Early Universe



Warning: LHC will not provide all the answers & will explore DM candidates in a limited energy range

• Even if SUSY particles are discovered, it will be challenging to reconstruct the abundance



		LHC
	Ωh^2	
LCC1	0.192	7.2%
LCC2	0.109	82.%
LCC3	0.101	167%
LCC4	0.114	405%

• Collider experiments do not distinguish between stable $(\tau > 10^{17} \text{ sec})$ and long-lived $(\tau > 10^{-7} \text{ sec})$ particle

 m_{DM}

$$\Omega_{\rm DM} = \frac{m_{\rm DM}}{m_{\rm dec}} \Omega_{\rm dec}$$
Long-lived charged particle at LHC
$$\widetilde{\tau} \to \tau + \widetilde{G}$$

$$\int_{10^{-2}}^{10^{8}} \frac{10^{6}}{10^{6}} \int_{10^{-1}}^{10^{9}} \frac{10^{9}}{10^{6}} \int_{10^{-1}}^{10^{9}} \frac{10^{9}}{10^{6}} \int_{10^{-1}}^{10^{9}} \frac{10^{9}}{10^{6}} \int_{10^{-1}}^{10^{9}} \frac{10^{9}}{10^{-1}} \int_{10^{-1}}^{10^{9}} \frac{10^{9}$$

Gravitino: distinctive ToF and energy loss signatures

Measure position and time of stopped stau; time and energy of tau Reconstruct SUSY scale and gravitational coupling

Hamaguchi, Kuno, Nakaya and Nojiri; Feng and Smith; Ellis, Raklev and Oye,

Combining collider with DM searches



Collider: precise test of DM mass and particle physics parameters, but no information on stability, halo density, etc.

Only detection by different methods may conclusively identify the nature of DM

Finding DM: Direct Method



What are the challenges?

- Event rate is small, energy deposited is small, need large scale detectors
- Low (KeV) thresholds for nuclea recoils
- Low background, especially neutrons
- Good background rejection





Finding DM: Indirect method





Look for signals from the sky



$$\frac{d\Phi_{\gamma}}{d\Omega dE} = \sum_{i} \underbrace{\frac{dN_{\gamma}^{i}}{dE}\sigma_{i}v\frac{1}{4\pi m_{\chi}^{2}}}_{\psi} \underbrace{\int_{\psi}\rho^{2}dl}_{\psi}$$

Particle Astro-Physics Physics Very sensitive to halo profiles near the galactic center




Cold dark matter searches - 3 key questions -

Q1: What is the mean density structure of a CDM halo?

Q2: How smooth are the dark matter mass and velocity distributions at the solar position?

Q3: Does the halo formation process leave "observable" imprints?

C. Frenk, ENTAap DM meeting, CERN, Feb. 2009

Galactic halos (without the galaxies)

• Halos are extended

University of Durham

- Extend to ~10 times the 'visible' radius of galaxies and contain ~10 times the mass in the visible regions
- Halos are triaxial ellipsoids (not spherical)
 - more prolate than oblate
 - axial ratios greater than 2 are common
- Halos have nearly universal "cuspy" density profiles

 $- dln\rho / dln r = \gamma$ with $\gamma < -2.5$ at large r

 γ > -1.2 at small r

- Halos are clumpy
 - Substantial numbers of self-bound subhalos containing
 - ~10% of the halo's mass

Institute for Computational Cosmology

C. Frenk, ENTAap DM meeting, CERN, Feb. 2009

The Density Profile of Cold Dark Matter Halos



Halo density profiles are independent of halo mass & cosmological parameters There is no obvious density plateau or `core' near the centre. (Navarro, Frenk & White '97)

$$\frac{\rho(r)}{\rho_{crit}} = \frac{\delta_c}{(r/r_s)(1+r/r_s)^2}$$

More massive halos and halos that form earlier have higher densities (bigger δ)

C. Frenk, ENTAap DM meeting, CERN, Feb. 2009





Despite the Dark Puzzles, the future is brighter





Flux of CR protons in the energy range 1 – 50 GeV exceeds that of positrons by a factor of $\sim 5 \times 10^4$



Pamela



FIG. 2: The spectrum of positrons (left) and ratio of positrons to electrons plus positrons (right) from the pulsar Geminga, with the dashed lines as in Fig. 1. In the right frames, the measurements of HEAT [3] (light green and magenta) and measurements of PAMELA [2] (dark red) are also shown. Here we have used an injected spectrum such that $dN_e/dE_e \propto E^{-\alpha} \exp(-E_e/600 \text{ GeV})$, with $\alpha = 1.5$ and 2.2. The solid lines correspond to an energy in pairs given by 3.5×10^{47} erg, while the dotted lines require an output of 3×10^{48} erg.



FIG. 4: The positron spectrum and positron fraction from the sum of contributions from B0656+14, Geminga, and all pulsars farther than 500 parsecs from the Solar System.

The past



Brief History of the Universe



At high temperatures, the Universe is expected to be Radiation Dominated

IF equilibrium holds, then

$$\rho_R = \frac{\pi^2}{30} g_* T^4 \ (T \gg m)$$

$$g_* = \sum_{\text{bosons}} g_b + \frac{7}{8} \sum_{\text{fermions}} g_f$$

$$8\pi G_N = \frac{1}{M_p^2}$$

$$H \simeq 1.66 \ g_*^{1/2} \frac{T^2}{M_p}, \ M_p \simeq 1.2 \times 10^{19} \text{ GeV}$$

2(MeV) t Tsec

$t_{ m LHC} \sim 10^{-14} \, { m sec}$

Entropy Density

$$s = \frac{\rho_R + P_R}{T} = \frac{4}{3} \frac{\rho_R}{T} = \frac{2\pi^2}{45} g_* T^3$$

If expansion is adiabatic:

$$S \equiv s \times V = \text{constant} \Rightarrow g_*(Ta)^3 = \text{constant}$$

$$T \propto \frac{1}{g_*^{1/3}a}$$

The Particle Horizon

It is the maximum distance travelled by light in an expanding Universe within a given time t

$$ds = 0 \Rightarrow dl = \frac{dt}{a}$$
 $R_H(t) = a(t) \int_0^t \frac{dt'}{a(t')}$

$$a(t) \propto t^n \Rightarrow R_H(t) \simeq \frac{1}{1-n} t^{-1} \sim H^{-1}(t)$$

Standard Cosmology and the Horizon Problem



Hydrogen Recombination & Last Scattering Surface



Matter is ionized at temperatures higher than the hydrogen ionization energy of 13.6 eV

$$\frac{n_e n_p}{n_H} = \left(\frac{m_e T}{2\pi}\right)^{3/2} e^{-E_{\rm ion}/T}$$

The Universe becomes transparent to photons when

$$(\sigma_{e\gamma}n_e)^{-1} \sim t, \ \sigma_{e\gamma} = 8\pi\alpha^2/3m_e^2, \ T_{\rm LS} \simeq 0.26 \ {\rm eV}$$





Cosmic background radiation

looking out in space is looking back in time





$$\frac{\Delta T}{T}(x_0, \tau_0, \mathbf{n}) = \sum_{\ell m} a_{\ell m}(x_0) Y_{\ell m}(\mathbf{n})$$
$$\langle a_{\ell m} a_{\ell' m'} \rangle = \delta_{\ell \ell'} \delta_{m m'} C_{\ell}$$
$$\langle \frac{\Delta T}{T}(\mathbf{n}) \frac{\Delta T}{T}(\mathbf{n}') \rangle = \sum_{\ell} \frac{(2\ell + 1)}{4\pi} C_{\ell} P_{\ell}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}')$$

(ensemble averages)

Horizon at Last Scattering



Comoving distance between us and the last scattering surface

 $d\tau = dt/a$

$$\int_{t_{\rm LS}}^{t_0} \frac{dt}{a} = \int_{\tau_{\rm LS}}^{\tau_0} d\tau = (\tau_0 - \tau_{\rm LS})$$

Angle subtended by a given comoving length scale

$$\theta \simeq \frac{\lambda}{(\tau_0 - \tau_{\rm LS})}$$

Sound Horizon

$$\theta_{\rm HOR} \simeq c_s \frac{\tau_{\rm LS}}{(\tau_0 - \tau_{\rm LS})} \simeq c_s \frac{\tau_{\rm LS}}{\tau_0} \simeq c_s \left(\frac{T_0}{T_{\rm LS}}\right)^{1/2} \simeq 1^{\circ}$$

recombination

Super-Horizon mode detected in the CMB anisotropy



Why is the Universe so homogeneous and isotropic if, back in time, it was a collection of separated Universes?

The Inflationary Cosmology



Standard Cosmology and the Horizon Problem



Inflationary Cosmology



 $\left(\frac{\lambda}{H^{-1}}\right)^{\cdot} = \ddot{a} > 0 \Leftrightarrow \text{Inflation}$

Suppose there is a period during which the Hubble rate is constant (pure de Sitter epoch)

$$H = \text{constant} = \frac{a}{a} \Rightarrow a = a_i e^{H_*(t - t_i)} \equiv a_i e^N$$
$$N = \text{number of efolds}$$

In conformal time
$$a(\tau) = -\frac{1}{H\tau} (\tau < 0)$$

Inflation does NOT change the global structure of space, but LOCALLY it makes it flat



IF $N \gg 60 \Rightarrow \Omega_0 = 1 + \mathcal{O}\left(e^{60-N}\right)$

Lecture two: the cosmological perturbations and CMB anisotropy



Inflationary Cosmology



 $\left(\frac{\lambda}{H^{-1}}\right)^{\cdot} = \ddot{a} > 0 \Leftrightarrow \text{Inflation}$

Suppose there is a period during which the Hubble rate is constant (pure de Sitter epoch)

$$H = \text{constant} = \frac{a}{a} \Rightarrow a = a_i e^{H_*(t - t_i)} \equiv a_i e^N$$
$$N = \text{number of efolds}$$

In conformal time
$$a(\tau) = -\frac{1}{H\tau} (\tau < 0)$$

Inflation does NOT change the global structure of space, but LOCALLY it makes it flat



IF $N \gg 60 \Rightarrow \Omega_0 = 1 + \mathcal{O}\left(e^{60-N}\right)$

How to get Inflation



Friedmann equation: slow roll $H^{2} = \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^{2} = \frac{8\pi}{3m_{\rm Pl}^{2}} \left[\frac{1}{2}\phi^{2} + V(\phi)\right] \simeq \text{const.}$

Scalar field equation of motion:

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)\dot{\phi} + V'(\phi) = 0$$
 $a(t) \propto e^{\int Hdt} \equiv e^N$

How to get Inflation

Slow Roll Parameters

 $\epsilon(\phi)$ Parameterizes equation of state:

$$\epsilon \equiv \frac{m_{\rm Pl}^2}{4\pi} \left[\frac{H'(\phi)}{H(\phi)} \right]^2 \simeq \frac{m_{\rm Pl}^2}{16\pi} \left[\frac{V'(\phi)}{V(\phi)} \right]$$

$$p = \rho\left(\frac{2}{3}\epsilon - 1\right)$$
 Inflation $\checkmark \epsilon(\phi) < 1$

Second slow roll parameter:

$$\eta \equiv \frac{m_{\rm Pl}^2}{4\pi} \left[\frac{H''(\phi)}{H(\phi)} \right] \simeq \frac{m_{\rm Pl}^2}{8\pi} \left[\frac{V''(\phi)}{V(\phi)} \right] - \frac{m_{\rm Pl}^2}{16\pi} \left[\frac{V'(\phi)}{V(\phi)} \right]$$

Standard scenario = one-single field (slow-roll) models

- 1. **large field** e.g. chaotic inflation
- 2. **small field** e.g. new or natural inflation
- 3. hybrid inflation e.g., Susy or Sugra models



The Universe is NOT homogeneous and isotropic








From Quantum Fluctuations to the Large Scale Structure





The Millenium Simulation Project:

http://www.mpa-garching.mpg.de/galform/virgo/millennium/

Particle production in an expanding Universe



Take now perturbations of the inflaton field: heuristic explanation of why the inflaton field is perturbed

$$\begin{split} \phi(\mathbf{x},t) &= \phi_0(t) + \delta\phi(\mathbf{x},t) \\ \delta\ddot{\phi} + 3H\delta\dot{\phi} &- \frac{\nabla^2\delta\phi}{a^2} + V''\delta\phi = 0 \\ \ddot{\phi}_0 + 3H\dot{\phi}_0 + V'(\phi_0) &= 0 \Rightarrow \ddot{\phi}_0 + 3H\ddot{\phi}_0 + V''\dot{\phi}_0 = 0 \end{split}$$

$$\delta \phi = \dot{\phi}_0 \tau(\mathbf{x})$$

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}, t) = \phi_0(t + \tau(\mathbf{x}))$$

The inflaton field has different classical values at different points in space

All massless scalar fields are excited during Inflation Linear Theory

$$\sigma(\mathbf{x},\tau) = \sigma_0(\tau) + \delta\sigma(\mathbf{x},\tau),$$

$$u_k(\tau) = a(\tau)\delta\sigma_k(\tau),$$

$$d\tau = \frac{dt}{a}$$

$$u_k'' + \left(k^2 - \frac{a''}{a}\right)u_k = 0$$
$$(\delta\ddot{\sigma}_k + 3H\delta\dot{\sigma}_k + \frac{k^2}{a^2}\delta\sigma_k = 0)$$

Oscillator with time-dependent frequency

a) For modes with wavelengths inside the horizon:

Set of independent plane waves: locally Minkowski, no curvature seen by the waves

b) For modes with wavelengths outside the horizon:

Superhorizon perturbations do not evolve in time

Exact solution exists:

$$u_k(\tau) = A(k) \frac{e^{-ik\tau}}{\sqrt{2k}} \left(1 - \frac{i}{k\tau}\right) + B(k) \frac{e^{ik\tau}}{\sqrt{2k}} \left(1 + \frac{i}{k\tau}\right)$$

Choose the boundary conditions in the far UV such that the solution is a plane wave propagating with positive frequency (Bunch-Davies vacuum)

$$(-k\tau) \gg 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad A(k) = 1, \ B(k) = 0$$
$$u_k(\tau) \quad = \quad \frac{e^{-ik\tau}}{\sqrt{2k}} \left(1 - \frac{i}{k\tau}\right),$$
$$\delta\sigma_k \quad = \quad \frac{u_k}{a} = (-H\tau) \frac{e^{-ik\tau}}{\sqrt{2k}} \left(1 - \frac{i}{k\tau}\right)$$

Power Spectrum

$$\langle 0 \left| (\delta \sigma(\mathbf{x}, t))^2 \right| \rangle = \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \left| \delta \sigma_k \right|^2$$

$$\equiv \int \frac{dk}{k} \mathcal{P}_{\delta \sigma}(k)$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{\delta\sigma}(k) = rac{k^3}{2\pi^2} \left|\delta\sigma_k
ight|^2$$
 $\mathcal{P}_{\delta\sigma}(k) = \mathcal{A}^2 \left(rac{k}{aH}
ight)^{n-1}$

Perturbations of a (nearly) massless scalar field are born as plane waves with wavelengths below the horizon. As inflation proceeds, their wavelenghts are stretched outside the horizon and get frozen



All massless scalar fields during a period of exponential inflation (pure de Sitter) are quantum mechanically excited with a power spectrum which is constant and flat on superhorizon scales (independent from the wavelength)

> Perturbations are GAUSSIAN: it is linear perturbation theory and all oscillators evolve independently from each other



Have to include gravity





Need to define a gauge invariant quantity upon general coordinate transformations

$$\begin{array}{rccc} t & \to & t + \delta t, \\ \psi & \to & \psi + H \, \delta t, \\ \delta \rho & \to & \delta \rho - \dot{\rho} \, \delta t \end{array}$$



Comoving curvature perturbation



Comoving curvature perturbation generated by the one-single (slow-roll) field driving inflation



Quantum fluctuations on spatially flat hypersurfaces during inflation

$$\zeta = -\left(H\frac{\delta\rho}{\dot{\rho}}\right)_{k=aH}$$
$$= -\left(H\frac{\delta\phi}{\dot{\phi}}\right)_{k=aH}$$

Curvature perturbation generated during inflation

$$\mathcal{P}_{\zeta} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{H}{2\pi M_P \epsilon^{1/2}} \right)^2 \left(\frac{k}{aH} \right)^{n_{\zeta}-1},$$

$$n_{\zeta} = 1 + 2\eta - 6\epsilon$$

$$n_{\zeta} - 1 = \frac{d \ln \mathcal{P}_{\zeta}}{d \ln k} = \frac{d \ln H_k^4}{d \ln k} - \frac{d \ln \dot{\phi}_k^2}{d \ln k} = -4\epsilon + (2\eta - 2\epsilon) = 2\eta - 6\epsilon$$

Tensor perturbations

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - a^2(\delta_{ij} + h_{ij})dx^i dx^j$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{h} = \frac{M_{P}^{2}}{2} \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-g} \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\sigma} h_{ij} \partial^{\sigma} h^{ij}$$

$$v_k = rac{a M_P}{\sqrt{2}}$$
calar $v_k'' + \left(k^2 - rac{a M_P}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$

Massless scalar field

$$-\left(k^2 - \frac{a^{\prime\prime}}{a}\right)v_k = 0$$

 h_k

$$\mathcal{P}_T(k) = \frac{8}{M_P^2} \left(\frac{H}{2\pi}\right)^2 \left(\frac{k}{aH}\right)^{n_T}$$
$$n_T = -2\epsilon$$

Comments:

I) The amplitude of the tensor modes is proportional to the energy density of the inflaton field

2) For one-single field models of inflation there exists a CONSISTENCY RELATION

$$r \equiv \frac{\frac{1}{100}\mathcal{P}_T}{\frac{4}{25}\mathcal{P}_{\zeta}} = \epsilon = -\frac{n_T}{2}$$

The standard slow-roll scenario predicts:

- A (nearly) exact power law
- spectrum of (nearly) Gaussian
- super-Hubble radius
- scalar perturbations (seeds of structure) &
- tensor perturbations (gravitational waves)
- in their growing mode
- in a spatially flat universe



Hydrogen Recombination & Last Scattering Surface



Matter is ionized at temperatures higher than the hydrogen ionization energy of 13.6 eV

$$\frac{n_e n_p}{n_H} = \left(\frac{m_e T}{2\pi}\right)^{3/2} e^{-E_{\rm ion}/T}$$

The Universe becomes transparent to photons when

$$(\sigma_{e\gamma}n_e)^{-1} \sim t, \ \sigma_{e\gamma} = 8\pi\alpha^2/3m_e^2, \ T_{\rm LS} \simeq 0.26 \ {\rm eV}$$







$$\frac{\Delta T}{T}(x_0, \tau_0, \mathbf{n}) = \sum_{\ell m} a_{\ell m}(x_0) Y_{\ell m}(\mathbf{n})$$
$$\langle a_{\ell m} a_{\ell' m'} \rangle = \delta_{\ell \ell'} \delta_{m m'} C_{\ell}$$
$$\langle \frac{\Delta T}{T}(\mathbf{n}) \frac{\Delta T}{T}(\mathbf{n}') \rangle = \sum_{\ell} \frac{(2\ell + 1)}{4\pi} C_{\ell} P_{\ell}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}')$$

(ensemble averages)



The total CMB anisotropy

$$\Delta(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{n}, \eta) = (\Delta_0 + 4\Phi + 4\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}) + 4$$

Sachs-Wolfe

effect

 $\int_{0}^{0} \frac{(\Phi + \psi)'}{\text{Integrated}}$ Sachs-Wolfe

effect

 $\Delta = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\delta \rho_{\gamma}}{\rho_{\gamma}}$

 Φ and ψ are gravitational potentials

Doppler

effect



Horizon at Last Scattering



Comoving distance between us and the last scattering surface

 $d\tau = dt/a$

$$\int_{t_{\rm LS}}^{t_0} \frac{dt}{a} = \int_{\tau_{\rm LS}}^{\tau_0} d\tau = (\tau_0 - \tau_{\rm LS})$$

Angle subtended by a given comoving length scale

$$\theta \simeq \frac{\lambda}{(\tau_0 - \tau_{\rm LS})}$$

Sound Horizon

$$\theta_{\rm HOR} \simeq c_s \frac{\tau_{\rm LS}}{(\tau_0 - \tau_{\rm LS})} \simeq c_s \frac{\tau_{\rm LS}}{\tau_0} \simeq c_s \left(\frac{T_0}{T_{\rm LS}}\right)^{1/2} \simeq 1^{\circ}$$

recombination



Sachs-Wolfe Plateau

For modes beyond the horizon at last scattering and adiabatic conditions:

$$\frac{\delta T(\mathbf{n})}{T} = \frac{\Delta(\mathbf{n})}{4} = \left(\frac{\Delta}{4} + \Phi\right)(\eta_{\rm LS})$$
$$= \left(\frac{1}{4}\frac{\delta\rho_{\gamma}}{\rho_{\gamma}} + \Phi\right)(\eta_{\rm LS}) = \left(\frac{1}{3}\frac{\delta\rho_{m}}{\rho_{m}} + \Phi\right)(\eta_{\rm LS})$$
$$= \left(-\frac{2}{3}\Phi + \Phi\right)(\eta_{\rm LS}) = \frac{1}{3}\Phi(\eta_{\rm LS})$$
$$= -\frac{1}{5}\zeta_{\rm inf}$$

$$C_{\ell} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int \frac{dk}{k} \langle \frac{1}{25} |\zeta_k|^2 \rangle k^3 \mathbf{j}_{\ell}^2 (k(\eta_0 - \eta_{\rm LS}))$$
$$\pi \ell (\ell + 1) C_{\ell} = \frac{1}{50} \frac{1}{M_P^2} \left(\frac{H}{2\pi \epsilon^{1/2}}\right)^2$$



$$\left(\frac{V}{\epsilon}\right)^{1/4} \simeq 6.7 \times 10^{16} \,\mathrm{GeV}$$



Acoustic peaks

- At recombination, baryon-photon fluid undergoes "acoustic oscillations" $A\cos c_s k\eta + B\sin c_s k\eta$
- Compressions and rarefactions change the temperature T_{γ}
- Peaks in ΔT_{γ} corresponds to extrema of compressions and rarefactions



Acoustic peaks





Position of the first peak

Modes caught in the extrema of their oscillation at recombination will have enhanced fluctuations, yielding a fundemental scale or frequency related to the Universe sound horizon





Goddard Space Flight Center • Princeton University • University of Chicago • UCLA • University of British Columbia • Brown University http://map.gsfc.nasa.gov • http://lambda.gsfc.nasa.gov

NASA


Precision Cosmology

 $n = 0.93_{-0.03}^{+0.03}$ $\Omega_{tot} = 1.02^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$ $dn_k/d \ln k = -0.031^{+0.016}_{-0.018}$ *w*<-0.78 (95% CL) MAP $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.73^{+0.04}_{-0.04}$ r<0.71 (95% CL) $\Omega_{b}^{n}h^{2}=0.0224_{-0.0009}^{+0.0009}$ $z_{dec} = 1089^{+1}_{-1}$ $\Omega_{b} = 0.044 + 0.004$ $\Delta z_{\rm dec} = 195^{+2}_{-2}$ $n_{b} = 2.5 \text{ x } 10^{-7 + 0.1 \text{ x} 10^{-7}} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ $h = 0.71_{-0.03}^{+0.04}$ $\overline{\Omega}_{m}h^{2} = 0.135_{-0.009}^{+0.008}$ $t_0 = 13.7 + 0.2 \text{ Gyr}$ $\Omega_{m}^{m} = 0.27_{-0.04}^{+0.04}$ $\Omega_v^m h^2 < 0.0076$ 93% $m_v < 0.23 \text{ eV} (95\%)$ CL) $z_{eq} = 3233_{-210}^{+194}$ $\tau = 0.17_{-0.04}^{+0.04}$ $T_{\rm cmb} = 2.725_{-0.002}^{+0.002} \text{ K}$ $n_{\gamma} = 410.4^{+0.9}_{-0.9} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ $z_{r} = 20^{+10}_{-9} (95\% \text{ CL})$ $\theta_{A} = 0.598^{+0.002}_{-0.002}$ $d_{A} = 14.0^{+0.2}_{-0.3} \text{ Gpc}$ $\eta = 6.1 \ge 10^{-10} + 0.3 \ge 10^{-10}$ $\Omega_{b}\Omega_{m}^{-1} = 0.17^{+0.01}_{-0.01}$ $\sigma_8 = 0.84^{+0.04}_{-0.04}$ Mpc $\sigma_8 \Omega_m^{0.5} = 0.44 + 0.04$ $l_{A} = 301^{+1}_{-1}$ $r_{s} = 147^{+2}_{-2} \text{ Mpc}$ $A = 0.833^{+0.086}_{-0.083}$

The Future

CMB polarizationNon-Gaussianity

Planck

• Lunch in April 29, 2009



 Fully sky imaging from L2 in nine frequency bands (30-587 GHz)

• Polarization may be sensitive to $\ r\sim 0.1$



CMB anisotropy is polarized



CMB Polarization

For a plane wave along the z-direction, symmetric trace-free (STF) correlation tensor of electric field defines (transverse) linear polarization tensor:

Under a rotation in the (x-y)-plane

 $Q \pm iU \rightarrow (Q \pm iU)e^{-\mp \alpha} \Rightarrow (Q + iU)$ is spin 2





Testing the energy scale of Inflation CMBpol: approved by NASA on Feb. 18, 2008, <u>http://astro.fnal.gov/cmb/</u>,Weiss document



$$[\ell(\ell+1)C_{B\ell}/2\pi]^{1/2} \simeq 0.024(E_{\rm inf}/10^{16}\,{\rm GeV})\,\mu{\rm K}$$

Interesting from the theoretical point of view: Planckian excursus of the inflaton field



Observation of the B-mode polarization from inflationary gravity waves requires

 $r \simeq 10^{-2}$ > 10 $m_{\rm Pl}$

Non-Gaussianity

Characterizing the cosmological perturbations

 The WMAP data are telling us that primordial fluctuations are very close to being Gaussian.

 It may not be so easy to explain that CMB is Gaussian unless we have a compelling early universe model that predicts Gaussian primordial fluctuations: Inflation What if we discover in the future that perturbations are non-Gaussian?





free (i.e. non-interacting) field, linear theory

Collection of independent harmonic oscillators (no mode-mode coupling)

NG requires more than linear theory

"... the linear perturbations are so surprisingly simple that a perturbation analysis accurate to second order may be feasible ..." (Sachs & Wolfe 1967)

Why do we expect some NG, i.e. some Non-Linearity ?

- The observed sky is NG: astrophysical sources (point sources and galactic emission, low level contaminaton of galactic foreground leads to detectable NG, but negligible effects in the angular power spectrum)
- Secondary anisotropies (lensing, SZ, .etc: known to exist)
- Variance of the noise is spatially variable, increasing the variance of the NG estimator
- Gravity itself is nonlinear
- Primordial contribution

How large is the predicted value of NG?

It depends on the primordial contribution: it is the contribution generated either during or after inflation, when the comoving curvature perturbation becomes finally constant (in time) on super-horizon scales

It is the real science driver

Phenomenlogical approach:

$$\zeta(x) = \zeta_g(x) - \frac{3}{5} f_{\rm NL} \left(\zeta_g^2(x) - \langle \zeta_g^2 \rangle \right)$$

The expanding parameter is roughly $f_{\rm NL}\zeta_g$

The non-linear parameter is usually momentum dependent



It is not directly connected to the measurable quantity, the CMB anisotropy

Second scenario: Inflation is non-standard (DBI, ghost inflation,....) Third scenario: inflation does not take place, instead ekpyrotic,....

	<u>Canonical</u>	Non canonical
<u>One field</u>	• Single field inflation with canonical kinetic term $f_{\rm NL}^{\rm local, equil} = O(\epsilon, \eta) \sim 0.01$	• K-inflation, DBI-inflation, $f_{\rm NL}^{\rm equil} \sim 1/c_s^2 \sim 100$ • Break in slow-roll
<u>More fields</u>	• Multi-field inflation $f_{\rm NL}^{\rm local} \sim \frac{1}{16}r + {\rm nl} {\rm evol}$	• DBI-multi-field inflation $f_{ m NL}^{ m equil/local} \sim 1/c_s^2$
	~ 0.01	• Curvaton-like models $f_{\rm NL}^{\rm local} \sim \frac{5}{4} \left(\rho / \rho_{\rm curvaton} \right)_{\rm dec} > 1$
		• New ekpyrotic $f_{\rm NL}^{\rm local} > (n_s - 1)^1 (n_s > 1)$



N. Bartolo, E. Komatsu, S. Matarrese and A.R., Phys. Rept. 402, 103 (2004)



