Lepton Flavor Violation: present and future experiments - 2

> LNF- May, 12th, 2009 F.Gatti University and INFN of Genoa

- Beyond MEG
- ∎ µ→eee
- $\mu \rightarrow e$ conversion in nucleus
- Mu2e and PRIME
- τ decay

Beyond MEG

- Which gain in sensitivity can be expected using more intense muon beam ($\geq 10^7 \,\mu/s$) ?
- For a fixed accidental background experiment Rμ can be maximized

$$R_{\mu\max} \propto \left(\Delta E_{\gamma} \bullet \Delta E_{e\gamma} \right)^{-1} \bullet \left(\sqrt{T \bullet \varepsilon_{e} \varepsilon_{sel} \varepsilon_{\gamma} \bullet \Omega / 4\pi \bullet \Delta E_{e} \bullet \Delta T_{e\gamma}} \right)^{-1}$$

$$SES \propto \Delta E_{\gamma} \Delta \theta_{e\gamma} \sqrt{\frac{\Delta E_{e} \bullet \Delta T_{e\gamma}}{T \bullet \varepsilon_{e} \varepsilon_{sel} \varepsilon_{\gamma} \bullet \Omega / 4\pi}}$$

- Linear improvements are obtained only with $\Delta E\gamma$ and $\Delta \theta \epsilon \gamma$
- At PSI $R\mu_{max} \approx 2 \times 10^8$ as far as $R\mu_{max} > R\mu_{opt}$ rate is not a limitation.
- A factor 10 in the $(R\mu_{max} \Delta E\gamma \Delta \theta e\gamma)^2$ should be gained to reach BR($\mu \rightarrow e \gamma$) $\approx 1 \cdot 10^{-14}$
- Either a detector technology breakthrough or several small improvements (factors 1.5-2.0)

Beyond MEG

• Most limiting factors: performances of LXe calorimeter it is at the state of the art of the technology \rightarrow how to improve further $\Delta E\gamma$ with a fast (ns) and calorimetric detector?

Some other possible improvements:

• thinner target to improve $\Delta \theta$; but exploiting higher intensity muon beam on target

 \rightarrow off target decay could increase pileup and background;

- finely segmented active target (to improve $e\gamma$ match), \rightarrow new concept to be developed;
- high resolution beta spectrometers (Δ Ee/Ee = 0.1 %) without reducing the geometrical acceptance;
- RD is always needed

$\mu \rightarrow 3e$

- Theories give
 - $BR(\mu \rightarrow 3e) \sim 10^{-1} \div 10^{-2} BR(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma)$
- There is a 20 year old limit BR($\mu \rightarrow 3e$) < 10⁻¹² (SINDRUM Coll., Nucl. Phys. B299 (1988) 1) with a limit in BR_{acc} $\approx 10^{-13} \rightarrow R\mu \approx 5 \cdot 10^{6} \mu/s$
- This decay channel has not been investigated since many years

$\mu \rightarrow 3e$



- Also limited by accidental background. (Michel positron and an e⁺e⁻ pair produced by Bhabha scattering in the target)
- Experimental advantage: no photons \rightarrow no EM calorimeter.
- However: very high rate in tracking system

 → dead time, trigger & pattern recognition problems;
 need of large modularity.

 \rightarrow large angular and momentum acceptance of the spectrometer

$\mu \rightarrow 3e$

Difficulties:

- To be competitive with MEG predicted limit $BR(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma) < 10-13$, $BR(\mu \rightarrow 3e) \approx 10-15$
- Total efficiency in SINDRUM $\approx 15\%$ Cannot be improved much
- To match the BR goal, R μ must increase by 10³ to R μ = 5 10⁹ μ /s
- The accidental BR_{acc} increases of a factor 10⁶
- Using a timing system MEG-like to improve resolution of factor of 10
- Recover 10⁵ with a fast tracking detector appears extremely difficult.

µN→eN



- stop muon in atom
- rapidly (10⁻¹⁶s) cascades down to the 1S state
- circles the nucleus for up to $\sim 2 \ \mu s$
- two things most likely happen:
 - is captured by the nucleus: $\mu^- N_{A,Z} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu} N_{A,Z-1}$
 - decays in orbit: $\mu^- N_{A,Z} \rightarrow e^- v_{\mu} v_e N_{A,Z}$
- in μ -N \rightarrow e-N the muon coherently interacts with nucleus leaving it in ground state
 - o signature single isolated electron
 - $E_e = m_{\mu} E_{NR} E_b \sim 104.97 \text{ MeV} (AI)$

µN→eN

- $R_{\mu e}$ is the ratio of conversion rate to capture rate.
- Nuclear wavefunctions "cancel," → calculation simpler
- As muon cascades to 1s, X-rays give stop rate
- and Mg →Al yields a 2.6 MeV β followed by γ that can be used to measure capture rate

$$R_{\mu e} = \frac{\Gamma(\mu^{-}Al \to e^{-}Al)}{\Gamma(\mu^{-}Al \to \nu_{\mu}Mg)}$$



µN→eN background

 Muon decay in orbit (DIO): μ⁻N_{A,Z}→e⁻ν_μν_eN_{A,Z}
 Note: E_e < mc²-E_{NR}-E_b
 require good energy resolution
 Radiative muon capture (RMC): μ⁻N_{A,Z}→ν_μγN_{A,Z-1}, γ→e⁺e
 Note: E_{γmax}(AI) = 102.5 MeV
 restricts choice of stopping targets

• require good energy resolution



µN→eN background

Radiative pion capture (RPC): $\pi^{-}N_{A,7} \rightarrow \gamma N_{A,7-1}, \gamma \rightarrow e^{+}e^{-}$ • Note: 1.2% have $E_{\gamma} > 105 \text{ MeV}$ Muon decay in flight: $\mu^- \rightarrow e^- \nu \nu$ Note: since $E_e < m_u c^2/2$, $p_u > 77 MeV/c$ 0 Beam electrons scattering in target Pion decay in flight: $\pi^- \rightarrow e^- v_e$ Need high interbunch extinction! 0 Antiprotons annihilating need thin absorber \bigcirc

Mu2e at FNAL



Mu2e at FNAL

In Mu2E-type experiments, signals of mu-e conv. events will be searched after waiting for while from the primary proton pulse hitting the production target to suppress prompt backgrounds,

By doing this, the prompt background events produced by primary proton pulse will be suppressed down to a negligible level. The only remaining backgrounds are the prompt background events produced by off-timing protons coming between main pulses.



Mu2e at FNAL

Inter-bunch protons cause backgrounds 1. Muon decay in flight:

 $\mu^-\!\to e^-\!\nu\nu$

- Since $E_e < m_\mu c^2/2$, $p_\mu > 77$ MeV/c
- 2. Radiative π^- capture:

 $\pi^-N \rightarrow N^*\gamma$, $\gamma Z \rightarrow e^+e^-$

- 3. Beam electrons
- 4. Pion decay in flight:

 $\pi^- \rightarrow e^- v_e$

Suppressed by minimizing beam between bunches

- Need $\leq 10^{-9}$ extinction
- Get 10⁻³ for free
- Special kickers needed for rest
- US-JAPAN collaboration on R&D (similar proposal in Japan: COMET)





Mu2e apparatus



Mu2e p target side

- Graded solenoidal field to maximize pion capture:2.5T 5.0T graded magnetic field
- **2.5x10-3** μ-/p
- R = 75 cm
- 23kW beam
- 0.8 mm x 160 mm gold target
- Forward moving pions and muons with $\theta > 30^{\circ}$ and pz < 180 MeV/c reflected back in graded field



Mu2e p target side

Protons leave through thin window

 π 's are captured, spiral around and Pic decay

Pions

Protons enter here

Target

Shielding

muons exit to right

4 m X 0.75 m

Proton Target

Mu2e - muon decay side

- No detector element in region of transported beam
- Small acceptance for DIO electrons

■ Minimal amount of material ⇒ detector elements in vacuum



Mu2e

- Must operate in rates up to 200 kHz in individual detector elements
- Must operate in vacuum: < 10-3 Torr</p>
- Must have low acceptance for DIO electrons
- Straw tubes: 2,800, 5 mm diam., 2.6 m long, 25mm thick
- Cathode strips: 17,000
- 50% geometrical acceptance: 90°±30°
- 0.2 MeV intrinsic energy resolution
- Resolution dominated by multiple scattering



Mu2e

 CR Muons cause two types of backgrounds:
 Muon decay-in-flight
 Delta electrons from target or tracker
 Cosmics have been the main SINDRUM external background



Mu2e performance

Proton flux	1.8x10 ¹³ p/s		
Running time	2x10 ⁷ s		
Total protons	3.6x10 ²⁰ p/yr		
μ ⁻ stops/incident proton	0.0025		
μ ⁻ capture probability	0.61		
Time window fraction	0.49		
Electron trigger efficiency	0.90		
Reconstruction and selection efficiency	0.19		
Sensitivity (90% CL)	5x10 ⁻¹⁷		
Detected events for $R_{\mu e} = 10^{-16}$	5		

PRIME

Source	Events	Comments	
μ decay in orbit	0.25	5/N = 20 for R _{µc} = 10 ⁻¹⁶	y than
Tracking errors	0.006 ×		,
Radiative µ decay	< 0.005		τy.
Beam e [.]	0.04 ×		Ν
μ decay in flight	< 0.03	Without scattering in stopping target	ng.
μ decay in flight	0.04	With scattering in stopping target	
π decay in flight	< 0.001		
Radiative π capture	0.07	From out of time protons	
Radiative π capture	0.001	From late arriving pions	
Anti-proton induced	0.007	Mostly from π⁻	
Cosmic ray induced	0.004	Assuming 10-4 CR veto inefficiency	
Total Background	0.45	Assuming 10-9 inter-bunch extinction	

PRIME

- A technique of phase rotations adopted.
- The phase rotation is to decelerate fast beam particles and accelerate slow beam-particles



PRIME concept design



LFV τ decay

- The τ channel is in principle very interesting for studying LFV because of the τ large mass (m $\tau \approx 17$ mµ)
- -->Many decay channels;
- BR's enhanced in respect with $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ by $(m\tau/m\mu)^{\alpha}$ with $\alpha \sim 3$

 $rac{Br(\tau->\mu\gamma)}{Br(\mu->e\gamma)}pprox 10^4$

- Experimental problem: production & detection of τ large samples.
- To be competitive with dedicated experiments one must reach
- $\blacksquare \quad \mathsf{BR}(\tau \to \mu \gamma) < 10^{-(8 \div 9)}$

Significant improvements obtained by B-factories (BELLE, BABAR).

LFV τ decay

Predicted by many new physics models

- Normal models enhance $\tau \rightarrow \mu\gamma$ and $\tau \rightarrow \mu l^+l^-$
- Some models may enhance other modes
- $\tau \rightarrow e\gamma$ and $\tau \rightarrow e1^{+1}$ are similar

	Reference	τ→μγ	τ→μμμ
SM+ v mixing	EPJ C8(1999)513	10 ⁻⁴⁰	1 0 ⁻¹⁴
SM + heavy Maj v_R	PRD 66(2002)034008	10 ⁻⁹	10 ⁻¹⁰
Non-universal Z'	PLB 547(2002)252	10 ⁻⁹	10 ⁻⁸
SUSY SO(10)	PRD 68(2003)033012	10 ⁻⁸	10 ⁻¹⁰
mSUGRA+seesaw	PRD 66(2002)115013	10 ⁻⁷	10 ⁻⁹
SUSY Higgs	PLB 566(2003)217	10-10	10 ⁻⁷

Present limit of $\tau \rightarrow I\gamma$



Green: Belle (2003) Yellow: BaBar (2003)

- Br($\tau\mu ee$) / Br($\tau\mu\gamma$) \cong 1/94
- Br(τμμμ)/ Br(τμγ) ≅ 1/440
- $Br(\tau eee) / Br(\tau e\gamma) \simeq 1/94$
- Br($\tau e \mu \mu$)/ Br($\tau e \gamma$) $\simeq 1/440$

Current status for several τ decay channels

	Belle		BaBar			Belle		BaBar	
	UL90 (10 ⁻⁷)	Lum (fb ⁻¹)	UL90 (10 ⁻⁷)	Lum (fb ⁻¹)		UL90 (10 ⁻⁷)	Lum (fb ⁻¹)	UL90 (10 ⁻⁷)	Lum (fb ⁻¹)
μγ	0.5	535	0.7	232	$e\pi^0$	0.8	401	1.3	339
eγ	1.2	535	1.1	232	31	0.2-0.4	535	0.4-0.8	376
μη	0.7	401	1.5	339	lhh	2-16	158	1-5	221
μη'	1.3	401	1.3	339	μVº	0.6-1.3	543	1.0(μω)	384
$\mu\pi^0$	1.2	401	1.5	339	eV ⁰	0.6-1.8	543	1.1(eω)	384
eη	0.9	401	1.6	339	μf _o	0.33	671		
eη'	1.6	401	2.4	339	ef ₀	0.34	671		

Towards Super B factory

- Int. Luminosity at B-factories >1.3 ab⁻¹
 - o ~1.2x10⁹ τ -pairs
- Super B-factory 10 ab⁻¹/year (50 ab⁻¹)
- BR sensitivity
 - It depends on background.
 - o $\tau \rightarrow l\gamma$; scale as ~1/ \sqrt{L}
 - $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^- \gamma$ is irreducible BG.
 - ~10⁻⁸ level at super B-factory
 - o $\tau \rightarrow III$, IX⁰; scale as ~1/L
 - O(10⁻⁹) level at super B-factory

