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## Abstract

Link budgets for many of the ILRS sites are estimated using divergence values that are derived from the site logs. Actual data for calculating the station divergence is often incomplete or very optimistic and based on diffraction theory from the full size of the primary mirror for monostatic systems or the full size of the Coude path and beam expander for bistatic systems.
Accurate divergence measurements and a standard method of measuring the divergence is needed by the ILRS for several reasons, including GNSS array requirements and performance prediction and reliable prediction of the energy density delivered on target for the entire ILRS network to deal with requests for information for potential new satellites.
A procedure was developed and presented at the last ILRS meeting for scanning over azimuth and elevation on satellites and using a graphical procedure to estimate the divergence. In this presentation, an equation has been derived from the laser radar equation for the number of photoelectrons detected which allows calculation of the $1 / \mathrm{e}^{2}$ divergence from the scan data directly without estimating from graphs. This method will reduce the subjectivity in the estimation and will also allow the measurement to be automated. Data from several stations which responded last year with divergence scan data has been used to test this method and results will be presented.

## Why do we need Divergence information?

- Link budgets are Estimated using an implied value from the Site Logs
- Theoretical Data is optimistic / incomplete
- Reliable differences between Day and Night
- Useful for GNSS Array Requirements and performance prediction
- Missions WG is getting requests by potential new satellites
- Need reliable W/cm^2 at the satellite for the whole ILRS network
- Estimation of divergence practices today
- Estimated from diffraction theory from either
- Full size of the primary mirror for monostatic systems
- Full size of the coude path and beam expander


## Procedure Setup

- Pick 2 satellites at $>40$ deg elevation pass; similar seeing and similar sky region
- Ajisai or Starlette/Stella (something very strong)
- Lageos1/2
- GNSS or Etalon
- Choose Night conditions
- No daytime filters
- No iris
- No Clouds (in part of sky that’s being used)
- Maximize signal
- Turn off automatic attenuation (10\% return rate matching)
- Open received FOV spatial filter
- Normal transmit energy
- Step size
- Make it at least 10 steps
- 1 arcsec (5 urad / 0.00028 deg ) works well
- Repeat measurement on days or times with different seeing conditions
- Procedure requires data from two satellites at different ranges taken with identical system configuration, i.e. same pulse energy, divergence, etc.


## Scanning Procedure \& Data Required

- Acquire
- Scan in azimuth - Initial
- Find left and right boundary where the signal is $\sim 0$
- go past by 2 or 3 steps to confirm
- record offsets and center
- Set to center
- Scan in elevation - Initial
- Find upper and lower boundary where the signal is $\sim 0$
- Go past by 2 or 3 steps to confirm
- record offsets and center
- $\quad$ Set to center
- Scan in azimuth (left and right) to Boundary where signal is $\sim 0$
- Record offsets and center in azimuth
- Scan in elevation (up and down) to Boundary where signal is $\sim 0$
- Record offsets and center in elevation
- Scan again in Azimuth to boundaries
- These offsets are the azimuth measurement
- Center in Azimuth
- (right - left)* $\cos$ (elevation) is the reported measurement
- Scan again in Elevation to boundaries
- These offsets are the elevation measurement


## DATA REQUIRED:

1) Full scan width from 0 to 0 in \# of steps with step size
2) Slant range while taking the scan
3) Elevation angle approx while taking scan
4) Same data from second satellite at different range
5) Two satellite scans should be done under similar seeing conditions

## Factors affecting the measurements

- Seasonal
- Humidity ( 0-50, 50-70, 70-100\%)
- Where in the local pressure cycle (High vs Low)
- Sky Conditions ( Jitter )
- Expect 10 to 20 urad of short term (during the measurement)
- Local Seeing
- If there is access to "waste light"
- What is the diameter of a typical star $\left(10^{\text {th }} \mathrm{Mv}\right.$ - doesn't really matter - just be consistent) in the same part of the sky
- Thermal Gradients
- +/- 1 hour of sunrise
- Laser Temperature
- Thermal lensing effects in the amplifiers
- Sun Proximity to beam
- Operators
- This is a subjective measurement


## How accurate is needed? How will the numbers be used?

- The Real world will make these measurements vary from day to day
- Need average
- Need worst (biggest) beam for worst case link budget projections
- Need best case (tightest) beam for MWG assessments
- Are any stations doing active divergence control?
- Flux at satellite / array will vary as function of divergence
- Elevation dependence terms in the model
- Telescope jitter
- Atmosphere jitter
- Divergences near these jitter limits need careful models


## Divergence calculation from scan data

- Assume scans are done on two satellites at different ranges but under very similar atmospheric conditions. The scan is done in AZ and EL up to the points where NPE $\sim 0$ on both sides.
- At the peak of the return power, NPE for both satellites is proportional to $\sigma / \mathrm{R}^{4}$, but $\mathrm{NPE}_{1}$ does not equal $\mathrm{NPE}_{2}$. NPE refers to the number of photoelectrons, $\sigma$ is the satellite cross section, and R is the slant range. At the half angle points of the scan, we still have NPE proportional to $\sigma / \mathrm{R}^{4}$ but also with $\mathrm{NPE}_{1}=\mathrm{NPE}_{2} \sim 0$. Therefore by equating the expressions for NPE and assuming that most of the terms in the equation for NPE are approximately the same during the measurements, we can derive an expression for the divergence. This is why it is important to take the measurements fairly quickly under the same sky conditions and, if possible, in the same region of the sky.
- These relationships along with other assumptions which will be stated later, allow the development of an analytical expression to calculate the divergence from the satellite scan data.


## NPE calculation*

- $\eta_{\mathrm{e}}=$ detector quantum efficiency
- $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{r}}=$ laser pulse energy
- $\lambda=$ laser wavelength
- h = Planck's constant
- c = speed of light
- $\eta_{\mathrm{t}}=$ transmit optics efficiency
- $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{t}}=$ transmitter gain
- $\sigma=$ satellite optical cross section
- $\mathrm{R}=$ slant range to target
- $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{r}}=$ effective area of telescope receive aperture
- $\eta_{\mathrm{r}}=$ receive optics efficiency
- $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}=$ one-way atmospheric transmission
- $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ one-way cirrus cloud transmission


## Transmitter Gain*

$$
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{t}}=\left(8 / \theta_{\mathrm{t}}^{2}\right) \times \exp \left[-2\left(\theta / \theta_{\mathrm{t}}\right)^{2}\right]
$$

- $\theta_{\mathrm{t}}$ = far field divergence half angle between beam center and $1 / \mathrm{e}^{2}$ intensity point
- $\theta$ = beam pointing error; or in this case, the half angle of the scan.

Assume that scan measurements are taken on two satellites in same region of sky quickly enough that all factors in the expression for NPE are $\sim$ constant with the exception of $\sigma, R$, and $G_{t}$ which changes due to pointing error change.

$$
\text { NPE } \left.=\eta_{e^{*} *} * \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{r}} * \lambda / h c\right) * \eta_{\mathrm{t}^{*}} * \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{t}} * \sigma *\left(1 / 4 \pi \mathrm{R}^{2}\right)^{2} * \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{r}} * \eta_{\mathrm{r}^{*}} * T_{\mathrm{a}}^{2} * \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}
$$

Then the expression for NPE becomes:
NPE $=K *\left(\sigma / R^{4}\right) * \exp \left[-2\left(\theta / \theta_{t}\right)^{2}\right]$
Where K is an approximate constant
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## Consider peak points where NPE = max

At the peak return rate, $\theta_{1}=\theta_{2}=0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{NPE}_{1 \text { max }}=\mathrm{K} *\left(\sigma_{1} / \mathrm{R}_{1}^{4}\right) \\
& \mathrm{NPE}_{2 \max }=\mathrm{K} *\left(\sigma_{2} / \mathrm{R}_{2}^{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathrm{NPE}_{1 \max } / \mathrm{NPE}_{2 \max }=\left(\sigma_{1} / \mathrm{R}_{1}^{4}\right) /\left(\sigma_{2} / \mathrm{R}_{2}^{4}\right)
$$

If the satellite cross sections are not known accurately, the ratio of the peak NPE counts during the scan can be used in the expression for divergence estimation

## Consider scan end points where NPE ~ 0

Solve the equation of $\mathrm{NPE}_{1}=\mathrm{NPE}_{2}$ at the end points of the scans for $\theta_{\mathrm{t}}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{K} *\left(\sigma_{1} / \mathrm{R}_{1}{ }^{4}\right) * \exp \left[-2\left(\theta_{1} / \theta_{\mathrm{t}}\right)^{2}\right]=\mathrm{K} *\left(\sigma_{2} / \mathrm{R}_{2}{ }^{4}\right) * \exp \left[-2\left(\theta_{2} / \theta_{\mathrm{t}}\right)^{2}\right] \\
\left(\sigma_{1} / \sigma_{2}\right) *\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}{ }^{4} / \mathrm{R}_{1}{ }^{4}\right)=\exp \left[2\left(\theta_{1} / \theta_{\mathrm{t}}\right)^{2}-2\left(\theta_{2} / \theta_{\mathrm{t}}\right)^{2}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

Take natural logarithm of both sides

$$
\ln \left[\left(\sigma_{1} / \sigma_{2}\right) *\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}^{4} / \mathrm{R}_{1}{ }^{4}\right)\right]=2\left(\theta_{1}{ }^{2} / \theta_{\mathrm{t}}^{2}\right)-2\left(\theta_{2}{ }^{2} / \theta_{\mathrm{t}}^{2}\right)
$$

$$
\theta_{t}^{2}=2\left(\theta_{1}{ }^{2}-\theta_{2}{ }^{2}\right) / \ln \left[\left(\sigma_{1} / \sigma_{2}\right) *\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}{ }^{4} / \mathrm{R}_{1}{ }^{4}\right)\right]
$$

The result is the divergence estimate in terms of known quantities: the satellite cross-section, satellite range, and the measured scan angles

## LRCS and altitude data used

| Satellite | Altitude | LRCS (Mm^2) - current | LRCS (Mm^2) - revised |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Starlette | 815 | 0.65 | 1.8 | Cross section of ILRS satellites |
| Lageos-1 | 5850 | 7 | 15 | David A. Arnold |
| Lageos-2 | 5625 | assume = Lageos-1 | assume = Lageos-1 |  |
| Etalon-1 | 19105 | 60 | 55 | Altitudes from ILRS web site |
| Etalon-2 | 19135 | assume = Etalon-1 | assume = Etalon-2 |  |
| Topex | 1350 | 2 | 33 |  |
| BeaconC | 927 | 3.6 | 13 |  |
| Ajisai | 1485 | 12 | 23 |  |
| Gfo-1 | 800 | 2 | 0.5 |  |
| Stella | 815 | 0.65 | 1.8 |  |
| Jason-1 | 1336 | 0.3 | 0.8 |  |
| GPS | 20030 | 40 | 19 |  |
| Champ | 474 | 1.8 | 1 |  |
| Westpac | 835 | 0.03 | 0.04 |  |
| ERS-1 | 780 | 0.3 | 0.85 |  |
| Glonass396 | 19140 | 360 | 240 |  |
| Glonass132 | 19140 | 80* | 80 |  |
| Envisat | 800 | 0.3 | 0.85 |  |
| LRE | 250-36000 | 1.25 | 2 |  |
| SUNSAT | 400 | 0.2 | 0.4 |  |
| GIOVE-B** | 23916 | 56 | 26.6 | **estimated from $1.4 \times$ GPS |
| Glonass109 | 19140 | 80* | 80* | * Glonass100,109,132 assumed |
| Glonass100 | 19140 | 80* | 80* | LRCS of 80 |

## Calculation example: Stafford

Stafford data divergence estimation example: Ajisai and Lageos

Measured AZ half angle in radians
$\theta 1 \_$meas $:=30 \cdot 10^{-6} \quad \theta 2 \_$meas $:=75 \cdot 10^{-6}$
$\theta 1:=\theta 1 \_$meas $\cdot \cos (\alpha 1) \quad \theta 2:=\theta 2 \_$meas $\cdot \cos (\alpha 2) \quad$ angle corrected for elevation
$\mathrm{R} 1:=7055 \quad \mathrm{R} 2:=2080 \quad$ One way slant range in km
$\sigma 1:=15 \cdot 10^{6} \quad \sigma 2:=23 \cdot 10^{6} \quad$ LRCS in square meters
$\theta \mathrm{t} \_$sqr $:=\left|\frac{2 \cdot\left(\theta 1^{2}-\theta 2^{2}\right)}{\ln \left(\frac{\sigma 1 \cdot \mathrm{R} 2^{4}}{\sigma 2 \cdot R 1^{4}}\right)}\right|$

## Full angle divergence $=64.6 \boldsymbol{\mu r a d}$

Div_half $:=\sqrt{\theta \mathrm{t} \text { _sqr }}$

$$
\text { Div_half }=3.231 \times 10^{-5}
$$

Div_full := 2•(Div_half)

$$
\text { Div_full }=6.461 \times 10^{-5}
$$

Full angle divergence in radians, 1/e2

## Calculation example: Shanghai

Shanghai data divergence calculation: Ajisai (2) and Lageos (1)

Measured $A Z$ half angle in radians Elevation angle in radians

$$
\begin{array}{lrr}
\theta 1 \_ \text {meas }:=62.5 \cdot 10^{-6} & \theta 2 \_ \text {meas }:=200 \cdot 10^{-6} & \alpha 1:=66 \cdot \frac{\pi}{180} \quad \alpha 2:=60 \cdot \frac{\pi}{180} \\
\theta 1:=\theta 1 \_ \text {meas } \cdot \cos (\alpha 1) & \theta 2:=\theta 2 \_ \text {meas } \cdot \cos (\alpha 2) & \text { angle corrected for elevation }
\end{array}
$$

One way slant range in km; they didn't record slant range so use altitude from ILRS, then divide by sin of their recorded EL angle.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A1 }:=5850 \quad \text { A2 }:=1485 \quad \text { Sat altitudes from ILRS website } \\
& \mathrm{R} 1:=\frac{\mathrm{A} 1}{\sin (\alpha 1)} \quad \mathrm{R} 2:=\frac{\mathrm{A} 2}{\sin (\alpha 2)} \\
& \sigma 1:=15 \cdot 10^{6} \quad \sigma 2:=23 \cdot 10^{6} \quad \text { LRCS in square meters } \\
& \theta t \_s q r:=\frac{2 \cdot\left(\theta 1^{2}-\theta 2^{2}\right)}{\ln \left(\frac{\sigma 1 \cdot R 2^{4}}{\sigma 2 \cdot R 1^{4}}\right)} \\
& \text { Full angle divergence }=\mathbf{1 1 4 . 6} \boldsymbol{\mu r a d} \\
& \text { Div_half }:=\sqrt{\theta t \_s q r} \\
& \text { Div_half }=5.73 \times 10^{-5} \\
& \text { Div_full }:=2 \cdot(\text { Div_half }) \\
& \text { Div_full }=1.146 \times 10^{-4} \\
& \text { Full angle divergence in radians, 1/e2 }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Calculation example: Graz

Graz data divergence calculation: Envisat (2) and Lageos-2 (1)

Measured AZ half angle in radians

$$
\theta 1 \_ \text {meas }:=26.2 \cdot 10^{-6} \quad \theta 2 \_ \text {meas }:=69.8 \cdot 10^{-6}
$$

$\theta 1:=\theta 1 \_$meas $\cdot \cos (\alpha 1)$
$\theta 2:=\theta 2 \_$meas $\cdot \cos (\alpha 2)$

Elevation angle in radians
$\alpha 1:=61 \cdot \frac{\pi}{180} \quad \alpha 2:=55 \cdot \frac{\pi}{180}$
angle corrected for elevation

One way slant range in km; they didn't record slant range so use altitude from ILRS, then divide by sin of their recorded EL angle.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A1 }:=5625 \quad \text { A2 }:=800 \quad \text { Sat altitudes from ILRS website } \\
& \text { R1 }:=\frac{\mathrm{A} 1}{\sin (\alpha 1)} \quad \mathrm{R} 2:=\frac{\mathrm{A} 2}{\sin (\alpha 2)} \\
& \sigma 1:=15 \cdot 10^{6} \quad \sigma 2:=.85 \cdot 10^{6} \quad \text { LRCS in square meters } \\
& \theta \mathrm{t} \text { _sqr }:=\left|\frac{2 \cdot\left(\theta 1^{2}-\theta 2^{2}\right)}{\ln \left(\frac{\sigma 1 \cdot \mathrm{R} 2^{4}}{\sigma 2 \cdot \mathrm{R} 1^{4}}\right)}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { Div_half }:=\sqrt{\theta \mathrm{t} \_\mathrm{sqr}}
$$

$$
\text { Div_half }=2.485 \times 10^{-5}
$$

$$
\text { Div_full }:=2 \cdot(\text { Div_half }) \quad \text { Div_full }=4.97 \times 10^{-5} \quad \text { Full angle divergence in radians, 1/e2 }
$$

The Divergence setting for these measurements was 0.002 degrees $=35$ microradians

## Calculation example: Herstmonceux

Herstmonceaux data divergence calculation: Lageos-2 (2) and Glonass100 (1)

Measured AZ half angle in radians

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text { Measured } A Z \text { half angle in radians } & \text { Elevation angle in radians } \\
\theta 1 \_ \text {meas }:=43 \cdot 6 \cdot 10^{-6} & \theta 2 \_ \text {meas }:=58.2 \cdot 10^{-6} & \alpha 1:=50 \cdot \frac{\pi}{180} \quad \alpha 2:=50 \cdot \frac{\pi}{180} \\
\theta 1:=\theta 1 \_ \text {meas } \cdot \cos (\alpha 1) & \theta 2:=\theta 2 \_ \text {meas } \cdot \cos (\alpha 2) & \text { angle corrected for elevation }
\end{array}
$$

Slant range not recorded; Elevation angle not recorded; assume all EL angles $\sim 50$ degrees.

| A1 $:=19140$ | A2 $:=5625$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| R1 $:=\frac{\text { A1 }}{\sin (\alpha 1)}$ | R2 $:=\frac{\text { A2 } 2}{\sin (\alpha 2)}$ |
| $\sigma 1:=80 \cdot 10^{6}$ | $\sigma 2:=15 \cdot 10^{6} \quad$ LRCS in square meters |

$\theta \mathrm{t}$ sqq $:=\left|\frac{2 \cdot\left(\theta 1^{2}-\theta 2^{2}\right)}{\ln \left(\frac{\sigma 1 \cdot \mathrm{R} 2^{4}}{\sigma 2 \cdot \mathrm{R} 1^{4}}\right)}\right|$

## Full angle divergence $=39 \mu \mathrm{rad}$

Div_half $:=\sqrt{\theta t \_s q r}$
Div_half $=1.952 \times 10^{-5}$
Div_full := 2•(Div_half)

$$
\text { Div_full }=3.903 \times 10^{-5}
$$

## Effect of Scan Angle Errors



1) Red solid: error in longest range sat
2) Blue solid: error in shortest range sat
3) Green dashed: errors in both varying in same direction
4) Purple dashed: errors in both varying in opposite direction

Done with Stafford data on Ajisai and Lageos

Scan angle error (\%)

## Effect of Scan Angle Errors



1) Red solid: error in longest range sat
2) Blue solid: error in shortest range sat
3) Green dashed: errors in both varying in same direction
4) Purple dashed: errors in both varying in opposite direction

Done with Graz data on Envisat and Lageos-2

Scan angle error (\%)

## Effect of LRCS Errors

Sensitivity of Divergence Estimate to LRCS Errors


1) Red solid: error in longest range sat LRCS
2) Blue solid: error in shortest range sat LRCS
3) Green dashed: errors in both varying in same direction
4) Purple dashed: errors in both varying in opposite direction

Done with Stafford data on Ajisai and Lageos

LRCS error (\%)

## Divergence estimates from data reported by Chinese Stations

| STATION | SATELLITES | Full Angle DIV( $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ rad) | Full Angle DIV $(\boldsymbol{\mu}$ rad) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shanghai | Lageos \& Ajisai | 113.5 | 114.6 |
| Shanghai | Etalon \& Ajisai | 90.6 | 87.2 |
| Shanghai | Etalon \& Lageos | 9.9 ??? | 8.8 ??? |
| Changchun | Etalon \& Lageos | 90.7 | 73.1 |
| Changchun | Lageos \& Starlette | 126.1 | 123.6 |
| Changchun | Etalon \& Starlette | 119.8 | 112 |
| Yunnan | Lageos \& Starlette | 41.7 | 40.7 |
| Yunnan | Lageos \& Stella | 37.9 | 37 |
| Yunnan | Lageos \& Ajisai | 24.5 | 24.7 |
|  |  | Current LRCS | Revised LRCS |

## Divergence estimates from data reported by Graz, Stafford, \& Herstmonceux

| STATION | SATELLITES | Full Angle DIV ( $\mu$ r rad) | Full Angle DIV ( $\mu$ rad) | $\underline{\text { DIV Setting ( } \mu \underline{\text { rad }} \text { ) }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Graz | Envisat \& Lageos-2 | 51.3 | 49.7 | 35 |
| Graz | Giove-B \& Lageos-2 | 22.5 | 18.9 | 17.5 |
| Graz | Giove-B \& Lageos-3 | 14.4 | 12.5 | 17.5 |
|  |  | Current LRCS | Revised LRCS |  |


| STATION | SATELLITES | Full Anqle DIV( $\boldsymbol{\mu} \underline{\text { rad })}$ | Full Angle DIV ( $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ rad) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stafford | Ajisai \& Lageos | 64 | 64.6 |
| Stafford | Ajisai \& Etalon | 57.8 | 55.1 |
|  |  | Current LRCS | Revised LRCS |


| STATION | SATELLITES | Full Angle DIV( $\boldsymbol{\mu} \underline{\text { rad })}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full Angle DIV ( $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ rad) |  |  |
| Herstmonceaux | Lageos-2 \& Glonass100 | 44.7 | 39 |
| Herstmonceaux | Lageos-2 \& Etalon-2 | 39 | 34.1 |
|  |  | Current LRCS | Revised LRCS |

## Concluding Remarks

- A simple calculation for estimation of divergence has been derived from the standard link budget equation for number of photoelectrons
- Assumptions made in derivation require care in taking the data for the estimation to be valid
- Results will differ depending on atmospheric transmission and other conditions at the SLR station
- Method should be used as an estimate to obtain values for average divergence, maximum and minimum, and to determine health of station optical train


## BACKUP SLIDES

## Gaussian beam propagation

$$
\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{z})=\left[2 * \mathrm{P} / \pi * \omega(\mathrm{z})^{2}\right] * \exp \left(-2 \mathrm{r}^{2} / \omega(\mathrm{z})^{2}\right)
$$

- $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{z})=$ intensity at axial distance z from beam waist and at radial distance r from beam center axis
- $\mathrm{P}=$ total power in beam
- $\mathrm{r}=$ radial distance from the beam center axis
- $\mathrm{z}=$ axial distance from the beam waist
- $\omega_{o}=$ beam radius at the waist
- $\omega(\mathrm{z})=$ radius at which the intensity drops to $1 / \mathrm{e}^{2}$ of the intensity on axis


## Link Budget Differences at 40 deg

|  | Starlette <br> /Stella | Ajisai | Lageos | Etalon | Qzss |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-way Range (km) | 1159 | 2080 | 7055 | 20804 | 35817 |  |
| Range wrt Lageos | 6.28 | 3.43 | 1.0 | 0.343 | 0.200 |  |
| Flux wrt Lageos | 39.4 | 11.8 | 1.0 | 0.118 | 0.039 |  |
| Log (flux ratio to lag) | 1.6 | 1.05 | 1.0 | -0.93 | -1.40 | Decade <br> Shift |
| Avg LRCS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (millions sq meters) | 1.80 | 23 | 15 | 55 | 253 |  |
| Avg NPE wrt Lageos | 185 | 214 | 1 | 0.051 | 0.028 |  |
| Log (avg NPE) | 2.3 | 2.3 | 0 | -1.3 | -1.6 | Decade <br> Shift |
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