

Beam-residual gas interactions

Expected background to $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ in NA48/3 exp.

S. Giudici and G. Collazuol

Scuola Normale Superiore and INFN Pisa

NA48-CERN collaboration



Frascati, 26th May 2005
Krare workshop

Vacuum Level and background

NA48 make use of a ~50 m long decay region in a vacuum tank
The achieved vacuum level in the past was around 10^{-5} mbar

Beam particles (K^+, p, π^+) may interact with the residual air (N_2, O_2)
and outgoing charged particles may cause **background**

Which is the maximum pressure we can live with?

$$\frac{N_{BKG}}{N_{signals}} = \frac{\sum \Phi_i n(P) \sigma \Delta Z \epsilon_{bkg}}{\Phi_K BR(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \nu) \epsilon_{signal}} \quad (i=K^+, p, \pi^+)$$

Basic formula !

$n(P)$ = density of scattering center depending on pressure P

σ = cross section (particle/gas) (estimated with FLUKA)

Φ_i = Flux of i-type particle ($i=K^+, p, \pi^+, e^+$)

ΔZ = decay volume length

ϵ = acceptance (estimated by Toy Montecarlo with NA48 geometry)

Beam and fluxes

Total flux	250×10^7 particles per pulse
Spill	4.8 s
Duty cycle	$4.8/16.8 \sim 0.30\%$
Energy	$75 \text{ GeV} \pm 1\%$
charge	+1

The beam approximated as a mixture of

$$\pi : p : K = 55 : 37 : 8$$

the small fraction of positrons is ignored

Cross sections



FLUKA package has been used to compute hadrons onto Oxygen cross sections and to simulate final states.

(See next talk for details)

Reaction	$\sigma(\text{mb})$	events
$\pi \text{ O} \rightarrow X$	220	10^5
$p \text{ O} \rightarrow X$	295	10^5
$K \text{ O} \rightarrow X$	195	10^5


Oxygen target at rest and hadrons energy at 75 GeV

We warmly thank **Alfredo Ferrari** for his helpful support.

Typical final state

Particle	px	py	pz	m	Z	A	Reaction:
Initial state							$\pi^+ \text{ O} \rightarrow 4\text{p} + 5\text{n} + \text{He}^3 + \text{He}^4 + 4\pi^0 + 4\pi^- + 5\pi^+$ \downarrow 8γ
2100211	0.0000	0.0000	75.0000	0.1396	1	0	
2109999	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	14.8951	8	16	
Final state							
n 102112	-0.0745	0.1869	0.0336	0.9396	0	1	Neutrons
102112	-0.0238	0.0113	-0.0195	0.9396	0	1	
102112	-0.2857	-0.4098	0.2939	0.9396	0	1	
102112	-0.0879	0.0289	0.9447	0.9396	0	1	
102112	-0.4969	0.4321	-0.1159	0.9396	0	1	
π^- -100211	0.1968	-0.5720	0.7997	0.1396	-1	0	Charged pions
100211	-0.2153	0.1342	0.7236	0.1396	1	0	
-100211	0.0367	0.0704	0.9362	0.1396	-1	0	
100211	0.1263	-0.1414	0.6533	0.1396	1	0	
-100211	-0.2164	-0.0334	2.7464	0.1396	-1	0	
100211	0.2092	0.3103	0.8512	0.1396	1	0	
-100211	0.3839	-0.2815	6.0369	0.1396	-1	0	
100211	0.3769	0.1178	3.5049	0.1396	1	0	
π^0 100111	0.5304	0.0106	0.4749	0.1350	0	0	Neutral pions
100111	-0.0675	0.3797	2.4015	0.1350	0	0	
100111	-0.6527	0.4946	47.1403	0.1350	0	0	(leading particle)
100111	0.5698	-0.3927	6.2058	0.1350	0	0	
p 102212	0.0758	-0.2708	0.9107	0.9383	1	1	Protons
102212	-0.1073	-0.2531	0.0414	0.9383	1	1	
102212	0.0915	0.0698	0.1194	0.9383	1	1	
102212	-0.0977	-0.0297	0.0732	0.9383	1	1	
109999	0.3723	0.2385	0.1144	2.8084	2	3	Nuclear fragments
109999	-0.4052	0.0348	0.0688	3.7274	2	4	


Acceptance (toy montecarlo)




Simplified Geometry:

- 1) Vetoes, straw and LKR are circular corona
- 2) Decay vertex: flat random inside the decay volume
- 3) Charged particles and photons propagate along the geometry
- 4) $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, (eta mesons are fews and ignored)
- 5) **Kaons are considered stable, no decay in flight**
- 6) **Blindness for any objects with $E < 50 \text{ MeV}$**
- 7) **Blindness to neutrons with any energy**


Multiplicities in reaction $\pi^+ \text{O}$



All final objects



Photons ($E > 50$ MeV)
Structure because $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$



Charged tracks ($E > 50$ MeV)

$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \nu$ reconstruction

Ntrack = 1


momentum $8 < p < 40$ GeV

charge = +1

no signal in Vetoes and no extra-hit in LKR

missing mass squared $m_{\nu\nu}^2$ computed assuming

the track is a pion and $P_K = 75$ GeV





$$m_{\nu\nu}^2 = m_K^2 + m_\pi^2 - P_K p \theta^2 - m_K^2 \frac{p}{P_K} - m_\pi^2 \frac{P_K}{p}$$

$0 < m_{\nu\nu}^2 < 0.01$ GeV² (Signal Region I)

$0.026 < m_{\nu\nu}^2 < 0.068$ GeV² (Signal Region II)

Background acceptance

Interaction	events	Region I	Region II	Total	Acceptance (10^{-4})
π O	10^5	2	33	35	3.5 ± 0.6
p O	10^5	11	103	114	11.4 ± 1.1
K O	10^5	13	79	92	9.2 ± 1.0



All ingredients are ready

$$\frac{N_{BKG}}{N_{signals}} = \frac{\sum \Phi_i n(P) \sigma \Delta Z \epsilon_{bkg}}{\Phi_K BR(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \nu) \epsilon_{signal}} \quad (i = K^+, p, \pi^+)$$

Basic formula !

$$N(P) \cong 2 \times 2.5 \times 10^{16} P \text{ (mbar)}$$

Density of atoms per cm^3 at $T=300$ K and pressure P (mbar)
target is common air (20% O_2 , 80% N_2)

The cross sections for Nitrogen have been scaled as $(14/16)^{2/3}$

$$BR(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \nu) \epsilon(\text{signal}) \cong 10^{-10} \times 0.1$$

$$\text{Bkg/signal} \cong 5 \times 10^4 P(\text{mbar})$$


$P \cong 2 \times 10^{-7} \text{ mbar}$ is to be maintained in the 50 m long decay volume
to limit the background at the 1% level

The vacuum should be 2 order of magnitude better than NA48/2

If we add a Cerenkov counter....

Let's suppose a 100% efficiency detector to tag Kaon upstream the decay volume

The requirement for a $\pi\nu\nu$ candidate to have in coincidence a signal in the Cerenkov rejects the bkg component due to pions or protons



$$\frac{N_{BKG}}{N_{signals}} = \frac{n(P)\sigma \Delta Z \epsilon_{bkg}}{BR(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \nu) \epsilon_{signal}}$$

$$\text{Bkg/signal} \cong 4.5 \times 10^3 P(\text{mbar})$$

Basic formula !

Factor ~ 10 gained

Conclusions

According to the cross section predicted by FLUKA, the NA48/3 set up to measure $\mathbf{K}^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ requires a 2 order of magnitude increase of the vacuum level in the 50 m long decay volume to reach the value

$$\mathbf{P} \cong \mathbf{2} \times \mathbf{10}^{-7} \mathbf{mbar}$$

If **high efficient and high purity Cerenkov** detector is placed along the beam line, 1 order of magnitude better would be enough.

Room to improve the toy montecarlo: decay in flight, resolution, etc..

Many thanks to FLUKA