

Theory of Nonlinear Harmonic Generation In Free-Electron Lasers with Helical Wigglers

<u>Gianluca Geloni</u>, Evgeni Saldin, Evgeni Schneidmiller and Mikhail Yurkov

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Hamburg

NIMA & DESY 07-58 http://arxiv.org/abs/0705.0295





Answer important for both main FEL development paths





Answer important for both main FEL development paths

NHG is beneficial for X-ray SASE sources





Answer important for both main FEL development paths

NHG is beneficial for X-ray SASE sources NHG is a detrimental effect for high average power oscillators (UV harmonics damage to mirrors)





Answer important for both main FEL development paths

NHG is beneficial for X-ray SASE sources

NHG is a detrimental effect for high average power oscillators (UV harmonics damage to mirrors)

Answer in literature¹: on-axis NHG is strong

^[1] H.P. Freund et al. PRL 94, 074802 (2005)





Answer important for both main FEL development paths

NHG is beneficial for X-ray SASE sources

NHG is a detrimental effect for high average power oscillators (UV harmonics damage to mirrors)

Answer in literature¹: on-axis NHG is strong Our answer: on-axis NHG is suppressed

^[1] H.P. Freund et al. PRL 94, 074802 (2005)





In this talk I will

- 1. Describe our theory of NHG in FELs with helical wigglers
- 2. Treat a particular example-case
- 3. Explain why, in our view, literature is incorrect





FEL self-consistent code: Interaction I harmonic & beam





Bunching at the	FEL self-consistent code:
h th harmonic	Interaction I harmonic & beam







Solution of the NHG problem means solution of <u>Maxwell equations</u> with "God-given" sources



Solution of the NHG problem means solution of <u>Maxwell equations</u> with "code-given" sources





Space-frequency domain

We are interested in solving Maxwell Equations in paraxial approximation with respect to the F.T. of the field $\overline{E}(\omega)$



Space-frequency domain

$$\left(\nabla_{\perp}^{2} + \frac{2i\omega}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \left[\vec{\tilde{E}}_{\perp}(z, \vec{r}_{\perp}, \omega) \right] = -4\pi \exp\left[-\frac{i\omega z}{c} \right] \left(\frac{i\omega}{c^{2}} \vec{j}_{\perp} - \vec{\nabla}_{\perp} \vec{\rho} \right)$$
$$\vec{\tilde{E}}_{\perp} = \vec{E}_{\perp} \left(\exp\left[-i\omega z/c \right] \right)$$



$$\left(\nabla_{\perp}^{2} + \frac{2i\omega}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \left[\vec{\tilde{E}}_{\perp}(z, \vec{r}_{\perp}, \omega) \right] = -4\pi \exp \left[-\frac{i\omega z}{c} \right] \left(\underbrace{\frac{i\omega}{c^{2}} \vec{j}_{\perp}}{c} + \vec{\nabla}_{\perp} \vec{\rho} \right)$$

$$\text{current term}$$







$$\left(\nabla_{\perp}^{2} + \frac{2i\omega}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \left[\vec{\tilde{E}}_{\perp}(z, \vec{r}_{\perp}, \omega) \right] = -4\pi \exp\left[-\frac{i\omega z}{c} \right] \left(\frac{i\omega}{c^{2}} \vec{j}_{\perp} - \vec{\nabla}_{\perp} \vec{\rho} \right)$$





$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\nabla_{\perp}^{2} + \frac{2i\omega}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \left[\vec{\tilde{E}}_{\perp}(z, \vec{r}_{\perp}, \omega) \right] = -4\pi \exp\left[-\frac{i\omega z}{c} \right] \left(\frac{i\omega}{c^{2}} \vec{j}_{\perp} - \vec{\nabla}_{\perp} \vec{\rho} \right) \\ & \vec{f} \end{aligned}$$

$$\vec{f} = \vec{f}(z; \vec{r}_{\perp} - \vec{r}_{\perp}^{(c)}) \text{ from code}; \quad \vec{r}_{\perp} - \vec{r}_{\perp}^{(c)} \text{ accounts for helical motion}$$

$$\vec{r}_{\perp}^{(c)}(z, \vec{\eta}^{(c)}) = \vec{r'}_{o\perp}(z) + \vec{\eta}^{(c)}z = \left\{ \frac{K}{\gamma k_{w}} \left[\cos(k_{w}z) - 1 \right] + \eta_{x}^{(c)}z \right\} \vec{e}_{x} + \left\{ \frac{K}{\gamma k_{w}} \sin(k_{w}z) + \eta_{y}^{(c)}z \right\} \vec{e}_{y}$$



$$\begin{split} & \left(\nabla_{\perp}^{2} + \frac{2i\omega}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \left[\vec{E}_{\perp}(z, \vec{r}_{\perp}, \omega) \right] = -4\pi \exp\left[-\frac{i\omega z}{c} \right] \left(\frac{i\omega}{c^{2}} \vec{j}_{\perp} - \vec{\nabla}_{\perp} \vec{\rho} \right) \\ & \vec{f} \\ \vec{f} = \vec{f}(z; \vec{r}_{\perp} - \vec{r}_{\perp}^{(c)}) \text{ from code}; \quad \vec{r}_{\perp} - \vec{r}_{\perp}^{(c)} \text{ accounts for helical motion} \\ \vec{r}_{\perp}^{(c)}(z, \vec{\eta}^{(c)}) = \vec{r'}_{o\perp}(z) + \vec{\eta}^{(c)}z = \left\{ \frac{K}{\gamma k_{w}} \left[\cos(k_{w}z) - 1 \right] + \eta_{w}^{(c)}z \right\} \vec{e}_{x} + \left\{ \frac{K}{\gamma k_{w}} \sin(k_{w}z) + \eta_{y}^{(c)}z \right\} \vec{e}_{y} \\ & \underbrace{\lambda_{w}} \\ \vec{f} = 4\pi \exp\left[i \int_{0}^{z} d\bar{z} \frac{\omega}{2c\gamma_{z}^{2}(\bar{z}, \vec{\eta}^{(c)})} \right] \left[\frac{i\omega}{c^{2}} \vec{v}_{\perp}(z, \vec{\eta}^{(c)}) - \vec{\nabla}_{\perp} \right] \widetilde{\rho}[z; \vec{r}_{\perp} - \vec{r}_{\perp}^{(c)}(z, \vec{\eta}^{(c)})] \end{split}$$

Resonance approximation







Resonance approximation

 $N_{w} >> 1$

Define detuning parameter as

$$C_{h} = \frac{\omega}{2\bar{\gamma}_{z}^{2}} - hk_{w} = \frac{\Delta\omega}{\omega_{r}}k_{w} \quad (\Delta\omega = h\omega_{r} - \omega)$$



Resonance approximation

 $N_{w} >> 1$

Define detuning parameter as

$$C_{h} = \frac{\omega}{2\bar{\gamma}_{z}^{2}} - hk_{w} = \frac{\Delta\omega}{\omega_{r}}k_{w} \quad (\Delta\omega = h\omega_{r} - \omega)$$

$$|C_h| << k_w i.e. \frac{|\Delta \omega|}{\omega_r} << 1$$

Far zone solution of paraxial Maxwell equation on-axis:

$$\begin{split} \vec{\tilde{E}}_{\perp} &= \frac{i\omega}{cz_o} \int d\vec{l'} \int_{-L_w/2}^{L_w/2} dz' \widetilde{\rho} \left(z', \vec{l'} \right) \exp\left[iC_h z'\right] \\ &\times \left\{ \left[\frac{K}{2i\gamma} \left(\exp[i(h+1)k_w z'] - \exp[i(h-1)k_w z'] \right) \right] \vec{e}_x + \left[-\frac{K}{2\gamma} \left(\exp[i(h+1)k_w z'] + \exp[i(h-1)k_w z'] \right) \right] \vec{e}_y \right\} \end{split}$$

Far zone solution of paraxial Maxwell equation on-axis:

$$\vec{\tilde{E}}_{\perp} = \frac{i\omega}{cz_o} \int d\vec{l'} \int_{-L_w/2}^{L_w/2} dz' \widetilde{\rho}(z', \vec{l'}) \exp\left[i(C_h z')\right] \\ \times \left\{ \left[\frac{K}{2i\gamma} \left(\exp[i(h+1)k_w z'] - \exp[i(h-1)k_w z'] \right) \right] \vec{e}_x + \left[-\frac{K}{2\gamma} \left(\exp[i(h+1)k_w z'] + \exp[i(h-1)k_w z'] \right) \right] \vec{e}_y \right\} \\ \left\{ \left[\frac{K}{2i\gamma} \left(\exp[i(h+1)k_w z'] + \exp[i(h-1)k_w z'] \right) \right] \vec{e}_y \right\} \\ \left\| C_h \right\| < k_w z' = k_w z'$$



Far zone solution of paraxial Maxwell equation on-axis:

$$\begin{split} \vec{\tilde{E}}_{\perp} &= \frac{i\omega}{cz_o} \int d\vec{l'} \int_{-L_w/2}^{L_w/2} dz' \tilde{\rho}(z', \vec{l'}) \exp\left[iC_h z'\right] \\ &\times \left\{ \left[\frac{K}{2i\gamma} \left(\exp[i(h+1)k_w z'] - \exp[i(h-1)k_w z'] \right) \right] \vec{e}_x \\ &+ \left[-\frac{K}{2\gamma} \left(\exp[i(h+1)k_w z'] + \exp[i(h-1)k_w z'] \right) \right] \vec{e}_y \right\} \\ &= \left| C_h \right| < k_w \end{split}$$

Slowly varying function of z' over λ_w

Far zone solution of paraxial Maxwell equation on-axis:

$$\begin{split} \vec{\tilde{E}}_{\perp} &= \frac{i\omega}{cz_o} \int d\vec{l'} \int_{-L_w/2}^{L_w/2} dz' \widetilde{\rho} \left(z', \vec{l'}\right) \exp\left[iC_h z'\right] \\ &\times \left\{ \left[\frac{K}{2i\gamma} \left(\exp[i(h+1)k_w z'] - \exp[i(h-1)k_w z'] \right) \right] \vec{e}_x + \left[-\frac{K}{2\gamma} \left(\exp[i(h+1)k_w z'] + \exp[i(h-1)k_w z'] \right) \right] \vec{e}_y \right\} \end{split}$$

Only h=1 survives, on axis.



Why second harmonic? Only as a particular case. Similar reasoning holds for all harmonics.

$$\vec{\tilde{E}}_{\perp} = \frac{i\omega^{2}\left(\vec{e}_{x}^{'} + i\vec{e}_{y}^{'}\right)}{2cz_{o}\omega_{r}} \frac{K^{2}}{1 + K^{2}} \left[\left(\theta_{x} - \eta_{x}^{(c)}\right) + i\left(\theta_{y} - \eta_{y}^{(c)}\right) \right] \\ \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dl_{x}^{'} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dl_{y}^{'} \int_{-L_{w}/2}^{L_{w}/2} dz^{'} \exp\left\{ i\frac{\omega}{c} \left[\frac{z_{o}\left(\theta_{x}^{2} + \theta_{y}^{2}\right)}{2} - \left(\theta_{x}l_{x}^{'} + \theta_{y}l_{y}^{'}\right) \right] \right\} \\ \times \widetilde{\rho}\left(z^{'}, l_{x}^{'}, l_{y}^{'}\right) \exp\left[iC_{2}z^{'}\right] \exp\left\{ i\frac{\omega}{2c} \left[\left(\theta_{x} - \eta_{x}^{(c)}\right)^{2} + \left(\theta_{y} - \eta_{y}^{(c)}\right)^{2} \right] z^{'} \right\}$$

Circularly polarized field, vanishing on-axis



To get further results, we need to treat a particular case

$$\mathbf{C}_{2}=\mathbf{0}; \qquad \widetilde{\rho} = \frac{I_{o}a_{2}}{2\pi c\sigma_{\perp}^{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{l'_{x}^{2} + l'_{y}^{2}}{2\sigma_{\perp}^{2}}\right) \exp\left[i\frac{2\omega_{r}}{c}\left(\eta_{x}^{(c)}l'_{x} + \eta_{y}^{(c)}l'_{y}\right)\right]$$



To get further results, we need to treat a particular case

C₂=0;
$$\tilde{\rho} = \frac{I_o a_2}{2\pi c \sigma_\perp^2} \exp\left(-\frac{l'_x^2 + l'_y^2}{2\sigma_\perp^2}\right) \exp\left[i\frac{2\omega_r}{c}\left(\eta_x^{(c)}l'_x + \eta_y^{(c)}l'_y\right)\right]$$

Gaussian transverse profile



To get further results, we need to treat a particular case

$$\mathbf{C}_{2}=\mathbf{0}; \qquad \widetilde{\rho} = \frac{I_{o}a_{2}}{2\pi c\sigma_{\perp}^{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{l_{x}^{\prime 2} + l_{y}^{\prime 2}}{2\sigma_{\perp}^{2}}\right) \exp\left[i\frac{2\omega_{r}}{c}\left(\eta_{x}^{(c)}l_{x}^{\prime} + \eta_{y}^{(c)}l_{y}^{\prime}\right)\right]$$

Modulation wave front orthogonal to z



To get further results, we need to treat a particular case

$$\mathbf{C}_{2}=\mathbf{0}; \qquad \widetilde{\rho} = \frac{I_{o}a_{2}}{2\pi c\sigma_{\perp}^{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{l'_{x}^{2} + l'_{y}^{2}}{2\sigma_{\perp}^{2}}\right) \exp\left[i\frac{2\omega_{r}}{c}\left(\eta_{x}^{(c)}l'_{x} + \eta_{y}^{(c)}l'_{y}\right)\right]$$

$$\begin{split} \vec{\tilde{E}}_{\perp} &= \frac{2iI_o a_2 L_w \omega_r \left(\vec{e}_x + i\vec{e}_y\right)}{c^2 z_o} \left(\frac{K^2}{1 + K^2}\right) \left[\left(\theta_x - \eta_x^{(c)}\right) + i\left(\theta_y - \eta_y^{(c)}\right)\right] \\ &\times \exp\left[i\frac{\omega_r}{c} z_o(\theta_x^2 + \theta_y^2)\right] \exp\left\{-\frac{2\sigma_{\perp}^2 \omega_r^2}{c^2} \left[\left(\theta_x - \eta_x^{(c)}\right)^2 + \left(\theta_y - \eta_y^{(c)}\right)^2\right]\right\} \\ &\times \operatorname{sinc}\left\{\frac{L_w \omega_r}{2c} \left[\left(\theta_x - \eta_x^{(c)}\right)^2 + \left(\theta_y - \eta_y^{(c)}\right)^2\right]\right\} \ . \end{split}$$

$$I_2\left(\left|\vec{\hat{\theta}} - \vec{\hat{\eta}}^{(c)}\right|\right) = \operatorname{const} \times \left|\vec{\hat{\theta}} - \vec{\hat{\eta}}^{(c)}\right|^2 \exp\left\{-N\left|\vec{\hat{\theta}} - \vec{\hat{\eta}}^{(c)}\right|^2\right\} \operatorname{sinc}^2\left\{\frac{1}{4}\left|\vec{\hat{\theta}} - \vec{\hat{\eta}}^{(c)}\right|^2\right\}$$





Simple model: II harmonic power

$$\begin{split} \hat{W}_2 &= W_2/W_o \\ \hat{W}_2 &= F_2(N) = \ln\left(1 + \frac{1}{4N^2}\right) \\ W_o^{(2)} &= \left(\frac{2K^2}{1+K^2}\right)^2 \frac{I_o^2}{c} a_2^2 \;. \end{split}$$





Criticism to literature $f(x) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{x}^{y} \int_{y}^{x} \int_{y}^{y} \int_{y}^{p} \int_{y$

 $\vec{E}(\vec{r},t) = E_o \cdot (\vec{e}_r + i\vec{e}_\theta) \exp[i\phi_h] \quad \phi_h = kz + h\theta - \omega t \quad \text{wave phase}$



 $\theta = k_{w}z$ Azimuthal electron motion in helical wiggler



 $\vec{E}(\vec{r},t) = E_o \cdot (\vec{e}_r + i\vec{e}_\theta) \exp[i\phi_h] \quad \phi_h = kz + h\theta - \omega t \quad \text{wave phase}$

 $\theta = k_w z$ Azimuthal electron motion in helical wiggler \rightarrow Phase along ptc trajectory: $(k + hk_w)z - \omega t$



 $\vec{E}(\vec{r},t) = E_o \cdot (\vec{e}_r + i\vec{e}_\theta) \exp[i\phi_h] \quad \phi_h = kz + h\theta - \omega t \quad \text{wave phase}$ $\theta = k_w z \quad \text{Azimuthal electron motion in helical wiggler}$ $\Rightarrow \text{Phase along ptc trajectory:} \quad (k + hk_w)z - \omega t$ Azimuthal resonant condition (literature) $k + hk_w - \omega / v_z = 0$



"The azimuthal electron motion in helical wigglers is $\theta = k_w z \ (k_w$ is the wave number for the wiggler period λ_w), which couples to circularly polarized waves that vary as $\exp(i\phi_h)$, where $\phi_h = kz + h\theta - \omega t$ is the wave phase. Hence, the phase along the particle trajectories varies as $\phi_h = (k + hk_w)z - \omega t$, and the *h*th order azimuthal mode corresponds to the *h*th harmonic resonance [i.e., $\omega \approx (k + hk_w)v_z$]" [1]

^[1] H.P. Freund et al. PRL 94, 074802 (2005)



 $k + hk_w - \omega / v_z = 0$ consequence of

 $\theta = k_w z$ Incorrect kinematical picture







Particles have nearly constant azimuthal position θ . There is no special resonance condition in helical undulators.

Simply, NHG emission on-axis vanishes at h>1.







Particles have nearly constant azimuthal position θ . There is no special resonance condition in helical undulators.

Simply, NHG emission on-axis vanishes at h>1.

$$k + hk_w - \omega/v_z = 0$$

$$k + k_w - \omega / v_z = 0$$







• NHG in FELs with helical wigglers: electrodynamical problem





- NHG in FELs with helical wigglers: electrodynamical problem
- Coherent superposition of filament beams in space-frequency





- NHG in FELs with helical wigglers: electrodynamical problem
- Coherent superposition of filament beams in space-frequency
- Developed a general theory





- NHG in FELs with helical wigglers: electrodynamical problem
- Coherent superposition of filament beams in space-frequency
- Developed a general theory
- Treated a particular example





- NHG in FELs with helical wigglers: electrodynamical problem
- Coherent superposition of filament beams in space-frequency
- Developed a general theory
- Treated a particular example
- Criticized literature: on-axis NHG is suppressed





- NHG in FELs with helical wigglers: electrodynamical problem
- Coherent superposition of filament beams in space-frequency
- Developed a general theory
- Treated a particular example
- Criticized literature: on-axis NHG is suppressed

NIMA & DESY 07-58 http://arxiv.org/abs/0705.0295