Fast Beam-based Feedback Systems

Philip Burrows Queen Mary, University of London

- System overview
- FONT/NLCTA
- FEATHER/ATF
- Future plans

International Collaboration

• FONT:

Queen Mary: Philip Burrows, Glen White, Tony Hartin, Stephen Molloy, Shah Hussain Daresbury Lab: Alexander Kalinine, Roy Barlow, Mike Dufau Oxford: Colin Perry, Gerald Myatt, Simon Jolly, Gavin Nesom SLAC: Joe Frisch, Tom Markiewicz, Marc Ross, Chris Adolphsen, Keith Jobe, Doug McCormick, Janice Nelson, Tonee Smith, Steve Smith, Mark Woodley

• FEATHER:

KEK: Nicolas Delerue, Toshiaki Tauchi, Hitoshi Hayano Tokyo Met. University: Takayuki Sumiyoshi

• Simulations: Nick Walker (DESY), Daniel Schulte (CERN)

Philip Burrows

Intra-train Beam-based Feedback



Cold: principal ground-motion correction

Beam Feedback Luminosity Recovery



Philip Burrows

Feedback on Nanosecond Timescales (FONT) (SLAC/NLCTA)



- 170ns long train
- 1mm size beam
- few 100 micron offsets Philip Burrows

- 100 micron train-train jitter
- bunched at Xband (87ps)
- 50% Q variation along train:



FONT1: results (September 2002)

3kW tube amplifier:



10/1 position correction latency of 67 ns



Philip Burrows

FONT1: expected latency

•	Time of flight kicker – BPM:	14ns
•	Signal return time BPM – kicker:	18ns
	Irreducible latency:	32ns
•	BPM cables + processor:	5ns
•	Preamplifier:	5ns
•	Charge normalisation/FB circuit:	11ns
•	Amplifier:	10ns
•	Kicker fill time:	2ns
	Electronics latency:	33ns
•	Total latency expected:	65ns

FONT2: outline

Goals of improved FONT2 setup:

- Additional 2 BPMs: independent position monitoring
- Second kicker added: allows solid state amplifiers
- Shorter distance between kickers and FB BPM:

irreducible latency now c. 16 ns

• Improved BPM processor:

real-time charge normalisation using log amps (slow)

• Expect total latency c. 53 ns:

allows 170/53 = 3.2 passes through system

- Added 'beam flattener' to remove static beam profile
- Automated DAQ including digitisers and dipole control

FONT2: expected latency

•	Time of flight kicker – BPM:	6ns
•	Signal return time BPM – kicker:	10ns
	Irreducible latency:	16ns
•	BPM processor:	18ns
•	FB circuit:	4ns
•	Amplifier:	12ns
•	Kicker fill time:	3ns
	Electronics latency:	37ns
•	Total latency expected:	53ns

FONT2: beamline configuration



FONT2: BPM signal processing



FONT2: amplifier + beam flattener

FB signal into amplifier:



Beam flattener:





Bandwidth limited (30 MHz)

Philip Burrows

FONT2 BPM resolution



Philip Burrows

FONT2 results: feedback BPM



Philip Burrows

FONT2 results: witness vs. FB BPMs

BPM1 (FB)





FONT2 results: gain studies

Vary main gain

Main gain -ve (!)



Philip Burrows

FONT2 final results (Jan 22 2004)



Latency 54ns

Correction 14:1

(limited by gain knob resolution)

dispersion



Philip Burrows

FONT2 Simulation

Simulation includes:

- time of flight
- cable delays
- latencies
- bandwidths
- delay loop

Useful tool for LC

FB simulations



Philip Burrows

Feedback At High Energy Requirements (FEATHER) (KEK/ATF)



- Feedforward and feedback are possible
- Feedforward uses a cavity BPM + movable electrode kicker
- Feedback uses the new button BPM + kicker

LCWS Paris April 2004

Nicolas Delerue Nicolas@postkek.jp http://acfahep.kek.jp/subg/it/feather/

FEATHER: kicker simulations



FEATHER: kicker perfomance

kick vs gap (low frequency)

Commissioning of the movable electrode kicker: Kick intensity as a function of the gap for both input upstream and downstream.

LCWS Paris April 2004



FEATHER: latency



FEATHER: beam scan across kicker gap

Scan of the acceptable trajectories Vertical orbit of the beam has been modified several times to scan the acceptable orbits and thus deduce the position of the kicker's electrodes.

Smallest gap has been found at 13.09/12.49 This correspond to a gap at the windows of ~1.12 mm (electrodes are bent) LCWS Paris April 2004



Comparison of NLCTA with ATF

	NLCTA	ATF
Train length	170 ns	300 ns
Bunch spacing	0.08 ns	2.8 ns
Beam size (y)	500 mu	5 mu
Jitter (y)	100 mu	few mu
Beam energy	65 MeV	1.3 GeV

Relevant for warm and cold machines:

beam is smaller and more stable than at NLCTA stabilising 1 GeV beam @ 1 mu ⇔ 1000 GeV @ 1 nm for the warm machine:

ATF has 'right' bunch spacing and train length

-> much better place for fast feedback prototypes Philip Burrows
ELAN Workshop, Frascati 05/05/04

Future Experimental Programme at ATF

- Stabilisation of extracted bunchtrain at 1 micron level: low-power (< 100W), high stability amplifier stripline or button BPM w. ~ 1 micron resolution these are exactly what are needed for the LC!
- 2. Stabilisation of extracted bunchtrain at 100 nm level: requires special (cavity) BPM and signal processing useful as part of nanoBPM project
- 3. Test of intra-train beam-beam scanning system: high-stability ramped kicker drive amplifier very useful for LC

Future Experimental Programme at SLAC

The SLAC A-line is potentially extremely useful for IP FB system tests:

Train charge, length, bunch spacing ... parameters can be made relevant for warm or cold machine (Woods)

Well instrumented laboratory for BPM tests

High-flux e+e- pairs mimic LC IR environment: study impact of pair background on BPM resolution; radiation damage issues for feedback components

Other issues for intra-train feedbacks

• Beam angle-jitter:



warm machine: correction best done near IP with RF crab cavity (needed anyway):

design + prototyping starting in UK

• Ideally, feedback on luminosity:

bunch-by-bunch luminosity measurement would allow intra-train luminosity feedback