

## Trident Production Observed in Aligned Crystals

- J.U. Andersen, H. Knudsen, S.P. Møller, A.H. Sørensen, E. Uggerhøj, <u>U.I. Uggerhøj</u> Department of Physics and Astronomy, Aarhus University, Denmark
- P. Sona
  - Dipartimento di Fisica, Universitá degli Studi di Firenze,
  - Polo Scientifico, Sesto F.no, Italy
- S. Connell, S. Ballestrero
  - Johannesburg University, South Africa
- T. Ketel
  - NIKHEF, Amsterdam, Holland
- A. Dizdar
  - Department of Physics, Istanbul University, Turkey
- A. Mangiarotti
  - Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Coimbra, Portugal



# Strong fields



### Critical field

- Relativistic, quantum field for electrons?
- Combine c, h and e, m to get a field:

$$\mathcal{E}_0 = m^2 c^3 / e\hbar = 1.32 \times 10^{16} \text{ V/cm}$$

 $B_0 = 4.41 \times 10^9 \text{ T}$ 



# Klein paradox



### Die Reflexion von Elektronen an einem Potentialsprung nach der relativistischen Dynamik von Dirac.

Von O. Klein in Kopenhagen.

(Eingegangen am 24. Dezember 1928.)

Grenzwert des Bruchteils der Elektronen, der durch die Grenzfläche dringt, ist also  $\frac{2 p}{E/c + p}$ , d. h. von derselben Größenordnung wie das Ver-

Auch wenn die Sprungfläche durch ein kleines Gebiet ersetzt wird, wo das Potential rasch aber statig anwächst, werden nach der Theorie, wie aus der ganzen Rechnungsweise hervorgeht, <u>Elektronen in das verbotene</u> <u>Gebiet, wo sie negative kinetische Energie besitzen, eindringen</u>, was eng

### A bit of history on strong fields and the Klein paradox

'Electrons in the forbidden region penetrate into the region where they possess negative kinetic energy'







### Über das Verhalten eines Elektrons im homogenen elektrischen Feld nach der relativistischen Theorie Diracs.

Von Fritz Sauter in München.

Mit 6 Abbildungen. (Eingegangen am 21. April 1931.)

wahrscheinlichkeit erst dann endliche Werte annimmt, wenn die Größe des Potentialanstieges auf einer Streeke gleich der Comptonwellenlänge vergleichbar wird mit der Ruheenergie des Elektrons. Die von O. Klein berechneten großen

zum negativen Impuls gefunden hat. N. Bohr sprach die Vermutung aus, daß dieser hohe Wert nur durch die Annahme eines Potentialsprunges, also eines unendlich steilen Potentialanstieges bedingt ist und daß überhaupt nur dann endliche Übergangswahrscheinlichkeiten zu erwarten sind, wenn der Anstieg so steil ist, daß das Potential auf einer Strecke von der Größe der Comptonwellenlänge h/mc um einen Betrag von der Größenordnung der Ruheenergie des Elektrons anwächst\*\*.

Dies steht in Übereinstimmung mit der in der Einleitung angegebenen Vermutung von N. Bohr, daß man erst dann endliche Wahrscheinlichkeiten für den Übergang eines Elektrons in das Gebiet mit negativem Impuls erhält, wenn der Potentialanstieg  $v \frac{h}{mc}$  auf einer Strecke von der Comptonwellenlänge h/mc von der Größenordnung der Ruheenergie wird.

Felder von dieser Stärke experimentell herzustellen, ist natürlich unmöglich. Man könnte jedoch eventuell daran denken, daß solche Felder Potential over a Compton wavelength equals the rest energy of the electron

$$D = e^{-k^2 \pi}.$$

$$k = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{h \, d v}} \cdot m \, c^2,$$

1/k<sup>2</sup> = hEe/2πm<sup>2</sup>c<sup>3</sup>  
= 
$$\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{E}_0$$
2π

$$\mathcal{E}_0 = m^2 c^3 / e^{\hbar}$$

'To produce fields of this strength experimentally, is naturally impossible.'

JUNE 1, 1951



### On Gauge Invariance and Vacuum Polarization

JULIAN SCHWINGER Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts (Received December 22, 1950)



PHYSICAL REVIEW

VOLUME 75, NUMBER 12

JUNE 15, 1949

#### On the Classical Radiation of Accelerated Electrons

JULIAN SCHWINGER Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts (Received March 8, 1949)

We shall conclude this section by briefly examining under what conditions quantum phenomena will invalidate the classical considerations we have presented. This will occur when the momentum of the emitted quantum is comparable with the electron momentum. Hence, for the validity of our classical treatment, it is required that

$$\frac{E}{mc^2} \ll \frac{mc^2}{(e\hbar/mc)H},$$
 (II.56)

$$\chi = \gamma \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{E}_0$$
 <<  $\gamma$ 

## Recent



H. Nitta and T. Kudo, H. Minowa, American Journal of Physics1999 **67**, pp. 966-971, *Motion of a wave packet in the Klein paradox* 

VOLUME 92, NUMBER 4	PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS	week ending 30 JANUARY 2004
Klein Paradox in Spatial and Temporal Resolution		
P. Krekora, Q. Su, and R. Grobe		
Intense Laser Physics Theory Unit and Department of Physics, Illinois State University, Normal, Illinois 61790-4560, USA (Received 20 August 2003; published 30 January 2004)		

### ARTICLES

# Chiral tunnelling and the Klein paradox in graphene

M. I. KATSNELSON1\*, K. S. NOVOSELOV2 AND A. K. GEIM2\*

Published online: 20 August 2006; doi:10.1038/nphys384



# Invariants



## The critical (Schwinger) field

• Schwinger, 1949  ${\cal E}_0 = m^2 c^3 / e \, \hbar = 1.32 \, \times \, 10^{16} \; {\rm V/cm}$ 

$$B_0 = 4.41 \times 10^9 \text{ T}$$

Quantum corrections to synchrotron radiation emission

Relativistic invariant:  $\chi = \gamma \mathcal{E} / \mathcal{E}_0$ 



### What are the invariants?

 $\chi = \frac{\gamma \mathcal{E}}{\mathcal{E}_0}$ 

Motion perpendicular to the electric field:





# Beamstrahlung<br/>ionsElectric field<br/>from one bunch<br/>boosted by $2\gamma^2$ <br/>as seen by the<br/>otherSLC:<br/> $\chi (or \Upsilon) \approx 10^{-3}$ NLC:<br/> $\chi (or \Upsilon) \approx 1$

### Strong lasers



γγ-collision scheme (Telnov *et al.*) Laser wavelength (and  $\gamma$  energy) limited by non-linear Compton scattering  $\chi$  (or  $\Upsilon$ )  $\approx 1$ 





Superstrong field, but of short duration

$$E_{1s}/E_0 = \alpha^3 Z^3$$

Extended nucleus:  $Z \approx 172$ 





# Trident production





ARTICLES

Chiral tunnelling and the Klein paradox in graphene

- Nature Physics 2, 620 625 (2006) MIRTSNELSON\*, K.S. NOVGELOV AND A.K. GEM\*\* Chiral tunnelling and the Klein paradox in graphene
- M. I. Katsnelson<u>1</u>, K. S. Novoselov<u>2</u> and A. K. Geim<u>2</u>
- Abstract
- The so-called Klein paradox—unimpeded penetration of relativistic particles through high and wide potential barriers—is one of the most exotic and counterintuitive consequences of quantum electrodynamics. The phenomenon is discussed in many contexts in particle, nuclear and astro-physics but direct tests of the Klein paradox using elementary particles have so far proved impossible....







The combination zdw/dz for the pair electroproduction probability in amorphous Ge at the initial electron energy  $\varepsilon = 180$  GeV. The dotted curves 1 and 4 are the contributions of two-photon diagrams Eq.(3), the dashed curves 2 and 5 are the contributions of cascade process Eq.(11), the solid curves 3 and 6 are the sum of two previous contributions for two thicknesses  $l = 400 \ \mu m$  and  $l = 170 \ \mu m$  respectively. For convenience the ordinate is multiplied by  $10^3$ .

Two-photon process dominates below 30 GeV



### **Trident production**



BINARY COLLISION MODEL

$$\chi = \gamma \mathcal{E} / \mathcal{E}_0$$

 $\mathcal{E}_0=mc^2/e\lambda_c=1.32{\cdot}10^{16}\,\mathrm{V/cm}$ 



 $10^{11} - 10^{12} \text{ V/cm}$ 





<u>Primary particle:</u> Detected in the forward direction

<u>Produced particles:</u> Momentum analyzed (MDX,DC5,DC6) and energy analyzed (LGs)







### Trident production:

Background (no target) in nearly complete agreement with GEANT simulation (= no big surprises)

Contributes about 20%

- 1. Formation length
- 2. Direct process

### =>

Setup optimized for detection of 1-10 GeV pairs from 200 GeV electrons



## Germanium crystal

spare





Total length of setup: 65 m => good angular resolution



One of the complications -

Setting up within a few days: Electronics, hardware, crystal target....

# Analysis



• The momentum of the pair spectrometer trident events (vertical axis, [GeV/c]) compared to the signal in the LgJ detector (horizontal axis, [GeV]).



## Analysis



The SSD • spectrum after the trident algorithm event selection and with all cuts but the one in the SSD spectrum itself. Here, the three particles can clearly be seen.







-0.2

0.2

04





Enhancement, 400 um Ge <110>, 180 GeV: ≈ (1.7-0.3)/(0.8-0.3) = 2.8

Enhancement, 170 um Ge <110>, 180 GeV: ≈ (1.1-0.3)/(0.6-0.3) = 2.7

Different thicknesses – 'same' enhancement.

Sequential process (prop. to thickness *squared*) negligible (?)



E = 180 GeV



Detection efficiency <u>not</u> included (presently being investigated)















### Conclusions

- ...direct tests of the Klein paradox using elementary particles have so far proved impossible....
- ... are in fact possible (at least a close analogue)!

