



Muon Channeling and the Need to Investigate Negative Particle Channeling and Collimation

Dick Carrigan Fermilab **Channeling 2008, Erice, Italy October, 2008**

Landscape for TeV-scale channeling

"Recent" developments

collimation volume reflection, capture Vorobiev & Taratin RHIC Tevatron famous CERN H8 short crystals LHC collimation protons from 1-7 TeV

very active ...

Everything else

extraction, e. g. at LHC collimation at an ILC, e+but really not so hard, also later muon collider even further away Fermilab 99/069 study crystal collimation not appropriate but may be different at high energy

Update on Tevatron crystal collimation studies

History

2005 – Reproduced RHIC results with "O" crystal

2007-tried 3 mm, 150 μrad bend, problems -...

2008 - "O" back, better goniometer, instrumentation



T980 – CCE Crystal Collimation Experiment

Fermilab, LARP, CERN, BNL, INFN, IHEP, PNPI, JINR,

RINP-BSU, Chicago, ...

several phases over 2008-2010 for collimation in 1, 2 planes,

possible single particle, simulation, etc.

linked with SPS collimation experiment

artificial halo, single particle, ...

Oct. 29 half day workshop at Fermilab on channeling collimation in conjunction with LARP CM11 and **session here today**

Dean Still and others have made significant progress in Sept, Oct.

Mokhov

Crystal collimation at LHC: Ralph Assmann's concerns (from Channeling 2006)

- Crystals are an interesting advanced technology for phase 2 of LHC collimation. To evaluate benefit in detail the following information is required:
 - How to handle different LHC energies from 450 GeV to 7 TeV?
 - Probability spectrum for proton deflections (channeling and others). Include all effects down to 10⁻⁵ probability!
 - Sensitivity to beam angle and angular spread?
 - Number, opening (impedance) and locations of absorbers. Damage limit of crystal for instantaneous shock beam impact (expect ~3MJ, 0.2×1.0 mm, 200 ns).
 - Damage limit of crystal for integrated dose (expect $\sim 5 \times 10^{16}$ p/year at 7 TeV).
 - Handling of crystal during normal operation: 500 kW power impact.
 - Requirements for alignment and operational set-up (tolerances, time, ...)?

(missing from list – crystal questions)

Recent LHC incident may increase emphasis on collimation even more!

Incomplete TeV-scale channeling topics

Negative particle channeling and bending

hadrons, relation to e⁻ and channeling radiation not important for LHC collimation – pp collider

Muon channeling for futuristic possibilities

(collimation for muon colliders – Tollestrup)

Negative particle channeling

early eighties thinking:

large discrete angular deflections like external beam.

collimation is different

any kick, provided it is more than multiple scattering multi-pass channeling helps.

high energy helps

We need more information on negative channeling, negative bending!

Could one channel and collimate antiprotons at the Tevatron?Could one collimate e⁺/e⁻ at ILC?In TOTEM, etc. at LHC could one deflect negative particles such as antiprotons?

Functional form of planar dechanneling

$$\lambda_{D} = 1.62 \frac{\psi_{cp}^{2}}{\left\langle \Theta^{2} \right\rangle_{c}}$$

where



Biryukov Chesnokov Kotov

 ψ_{cp} is planar critical angle

 $<\theta^{2}>_{c}$ is the mean square mult scat angle in channel (see Feldman & Appleton, PRB8, 935 (1973), Carrigan FN-454,

Biryukov, Chesnokov, and Kotov (BCK))

For (+) BCK treatment (1.50) leads to: $\lambda_{D} = \frac{256}{9\pi^{2}} \frac{pv}{\ln(2m_{e}c^{2}\gamma/I) - 1} \frac{a_{TF}d_{p}}{Z_{i}r_{e}m_{e}c^{2}}$

 $\lambda_D = 51 \text{ cm for } (+) @ 1 \text{ TeV} (roughly ~ E - note log term, ~20\% effect)$

Potentials for + and – particles to get ψ_p



[see, e. g., Taratin and Vorobiev, Phys. Lett A119, 425 (1987)]

Muon and Negative Hadronic Channeling Carrigan http://home.fnal.gov/~carrigan/channeling/channeling master.htm Channeling 2008 October, 2008

Some Aarhus +/- results showing $\psi^- < \psi^+$

Implanted radioactive ⁶⁴Cu in a Cu crystal –

(E. Uggerhoj, Andersen, Can. J. Phy. 46, 543 (1968))





U. Uggerhoj

Antiproton Channeling at 1.4 MeV (U. Uggerhoj, et al, NIMB207, 402 (2003)) For antiproton axial channeling find critical angle is about 0.6 of the critical angle for protons. Agrees with theoretical calculations

Negative hadronic channeling



where L_i are the log terms in multiple scat. Set $L_n/L_e = 2$. Get for 1 TeV as an approximation neglecting details of nuclear density.

$$\lambda_{-} = \left(\frac{\psi_{-}}{\psi_{+}}\right)^{2} \frac{\lambda_{+}}{2Z(L_{n}/L_{e})} = \left(\frac{0.48 A}{0.83 A}\right)^{2} \frac{51 cm}{2*14*2} = 3 mm$$

Muon and Negative Hadronic Channeling Carrigan http://home.fnal.gov/~carrigan/channeling/channeling master.htm Channeling 2008 October, 2008 10

Negative hadronic channeling - continued

But - potential well is not filled with ions, only $\pm u_T$ a weighting might be

$$Z_{eff}^{-} = \frac{2u_T Z + 2(d_p / 4 - u_T)}{d_p / 2}$$

so that Zeff = 3 rather than 14 for 1 TeV Si(110) giving λ -(1 TeV) ~ 14 mm

Of course, particle more in center of channel, ...

This range (3 to 14 mm) is short but have used: 3 mm strip crystals 4)









Channeling 2008 October, 2008

11

Muon and Negative Hadronic Channeling Carrigan http://home.fnal.gov/~carrigan/channeling/channeling master.htm Straight crystal dechanneling for negative particles

Going beyond hand waving (or hand wringing) need:

- a diffusion model treatment for the negative particle case
- or a simulation ala CATCH or BINCOL

Would like array of (+/-)

E = 0.07, 0.4, 1, 7 TeV

 $R = 0.1, 0.25, 0.5 R_T$



Little experimental information on straight negative hadronic dechanneling

Bending of negative particles

The critical bending or Tsyganov radius is:

$$R_T \approx \frac{pv}{\pi N d_p Z e^2} = \frac{pv(GeV)}{5.7 GeV / cm[Si(110)]}$$



At +1 TeV in Si is ~175 cm (BCK 2.17). For the negative case,

Tsyganov

the effective d_p could be smaller and R_T larger The bending dechanneling length is:

$$\lambda_D(pv,R) = \lambda_{D,0} \left(1 - \frac{R_T}{R}\right)^2$$

If $R >> R_T$ then for negative particles $\lambda_D(pv, R)$ will be the negative particle dechanneling length, so it will be short

Negative particle bending measurements do give a tool to measure dechanneling in principle

Negative hadron bending

- Bak et al. did studies of negative particle axial deflection at 10 12 Gev with pions [S. Anderson et al., Nucl. Phys. B167, 1 (81), J. Bak, et al., Nucl Phys. A389, 533(82)]
- Schiott simulated their data using BINCOL in Carrigan and Ellison (*Relativistic Channeling*, NATO 165, Plenum (87)). Saw only small effects on order of critical angle.
- I extract an upper limit on the bending dechanneling length of O(2 mm) from Baurichter et al. studies of negative π axial deflection at 200 Gev. [A. Baurichter et al., NIM **B119**, 172 (1996)]
- $\sim 0.6 < \lambda_{-} < 3$ mm from the formula and the ansatz for the <u>planar</u> case.
- H4, H8 (Bolognini thesis-fig. 4.21a) gives O(1 mm) @150 GeV for (111) in a quasi mosiac crystal

Negative particle volume reflection

Taratin and Vorobiev (1987) In computer model positive particle deflect $\sim 2\psi_{cp}$ away from bend negative particle somewhat less. Biryukov [2006 – EPAC, Phys. Let B645, 47 (2007)] Tevatron simulations for proton and antiprotons. Antiproton effect nearly as strong as proton in volume reflection Maisheev – analytic treatment [PRST 10, 084701 (2007)] This can be used to extrapolate to different energies, radii Angles for positive case are 1.8 times larger than negative. For a contrary view on (-) case see

Kovalev arXiv:07073935v2, arXiv:0712.0858v1

No experiments have been reported but CERN H4 now has info



Taratin

Negative volume capture

Volume capture deflects in the direction of the bend
Volume capture is a feeding-in process that follows reversibility
This means it will be characterized by a feeding-in length
functionally similar to a dechanneling length
since negative particle dechanneling is stronger
feeding-in will be stronger
but once in a channel particle will also dechannel faster
The theory of negative particle volume capture has apparently not been discussed
However simulations such has Biryukov's should implicitly contain it.
No experiments have been reported

[discussions of positive particle volume capture have been given by Sumbaev (circa 79), Samsonov (C&E-87), and BCK sec 2.3.1]

16

Studying negative particle channeling

Tevatron – anti protons (Dean Still)

- prefer <1 mm thick crystal, 5 mm might work
- redo proton, antiproton helices locally at E0 (goniometer)



or remove proton store. Both need serious setup

 need a nearby antiproton downstream collimator, detector – something exists at E0 for Tevatron

Fermilab Meson Area Beams

Meson test beam – a problem is energy fairly low MIPP – also a problem with low beam energy

Other possibilities for negative particle channeling

CERN

H4- this last summer did 150 GeV negative π, k, μ mesons used thin crystals (~1 mm thick). Also used H8 in fall 2007 Serpukhov

lower energy beams than CERN

KEK

ATF – Endo et al, expression of interest – with 1.3 GeV tightly focused electron beam problem – channeling radiation

Muon channeling

Does high energy muon channeling answer fundamental questions?

Not really!

Point is that dechanneling length does not depend on nuclear interactions

 L_{col} (Si) = 30 cm, $L_{+}[Si(110)] \sim 51*E(TeV)$ cm, equal at ~0.6 TeV $L_{-}[Si(110)] \sim 3 \text{ mm}*E(TeV)$.

nuclear density higher for negatives $O(d_p/4u_T)$ or factor of 6

 L_{col} is still 100 times longer at 1 TeV, 15 times at 7 TeV

weakly interacting particle does not teach anything Critical energy for muons in Si is 470 GeV

Bremsstrahlung cross section

$$\sigma_{\mu} = \sigma_e \left(\frac{m_e}{m_{\mu}}\right)$$

so much less radiation/unit length but negative muons in high Z² region

Muon channeling - continued

- Potentially might be interesting for collimation in a **futuristic** muon collider or neutrino factory
- 50 on 50 GeV "low" energy muon colliders collimation <u>no challenge</u> (see Drozhdin et al. FERMILAB-Conf – 99/069)
 But for higher energies more of a problem short quasimosiac bends using axial
 - channeling might begin to be useful
- Available information
 - little experimental muon channeling data, mostly from pion implantation no theoretical evaluations?



Muon channeling experiments

Particle identification

use a hadron absorber in front of detector

typically 8 – 10 nuclear interaction lengths or 1.5 m iron.

At 50 GeV: $\Theta_{ms} = 800 \mu rad$ compared to $\psi_{cp} = 28 \mu rad$

Muon beams

Muon beams are tertiary beams

process is p >> pions >> muons and neutrinos

(450 GeV) (50 GeV) (<25 GeV)

Need space for pion decay

but even an ordinary pion beam has some muon contamination **But** want information at TeV scale!

Rate lower at higher energy, but for channeling may be OK

Muon experiments at CERN?

per Gatignon: M2/COMPASS @ 160 GeV/c has 2E8/spill (+, /3 for -),

 Θ ~600 µrad, σ ~ 20 mm

I hear that in the H4 run @CERN this summer the beam was 50% muons

Summary

Negative hadronic channeling studies interesting for:

- planar and axial cases for normal bending
- volume reflection
- volume capture

Short crystals via anticlastic or quasimosaic techniques important

Muons:

difficult experimentally but H4 ran last summer @ CERN not clear there is much interesting channeling physics no mid-range applications

For more Fermilab channeling information see: Channeling home: http://home.fnal.gov/~carrigan/Channeling/Channeling_master.htm Channeling Formulary: http://home.fnal.gov/~carrigan/Channeling/Channeling_formulary.htm

Questions?

Muon and Negative Hadronic Channeling Carrigan http://home.fnal.gov/~carrigan/channeling/channeling_master.htm Channeling 2008 October, 2008 23

Negative hadron and e⁺/e⁻ channeling?

e+/e- channeling

- channeling radiation impact must be considered
- crystal lengths must be short
- not so much dependence on charge
- little of no experimental information at high energy, particularly for bending

e⁺/e⁻ dechanneling lengths (microns, normalized to 1 GeV)

Critical energy for e⁺/e⁻ in Si is 53 MeV but not so significant for channeled particle

Process	e ⁺ dechan	e ⁻ dechan	Energy	Comment	Author
	(microns)	(microns)	MeV		
Planar	500		1000	Si(110),1/2	Belosh. &
theory					Trik
·	700	130 qua,	1000	Si(110),1/e	Belosh. &
		13 pure			Kom.
Planar	1100 (60 –	660 (36)	54	Si(110),1/e	Livermore
experiment	oc len)	88 (31)	350	Si(110),1/e	Komaki
		23 (28)	1200	Si(110),1/e	Adejshvili
Axial		13	1000	Si<111>,?	Muralev
theory		18		Si<111>,1/2	Taratin &
					Vorob
Axial		32 (39)	1200	Si<111>,1/e	Adejshvili
experiment					

Problems: Old and incomplete data! consistency, agreement of experiments (angular divergence), too little data, statistical estimates on data, theory challenges but some recent work at 5 GeV for undulator possibility (Korol et al. Physics/0412101 v1)

Summary of coherent bend effects

Effect	Angle dist	Deflection	Magnitude	Comment
Volume reflection	$\Theta_d \sim \varphi_c \sim 1/(p\beta)^{1/2}$	Away from bend	Strength same as channeling	Cumulative with beam passes
Volume capture	Up to full bend	Toward bend	$w_s \sim const \frac{R}{\left(p\beta\right)^{3/2}}$	Small at high energy
Miscut	Lowers deflection	Toward bend	0 to full deflection	
Relaxed bend		Smaller bend		Ruled out by whole arc distribution
Something else				Keep an open mind

Electrons

with innovative short bends might be able to do electron bending this is a regime where GeV scale machines could contribute electron/positron dechanneling below 1 GeV down to 10 – 20 MeV old information may not be consistent

27

Muon collider schematic (taken from Bruce King-BNL, 4th International

Conference on the Physics Potential and Development of mu+mu- Colliders, San Fransisco, 1997.)



Negative hadron and e⁺/e⁻ channeling -continued

Taratin & Vorobiev, Phys. Lett. A119, 425 (1987) also discuss negative bending **simulation**.

More recently Greenenko and Shul'ga [NIM B90, 179 (94)] studied negative deflection with a **simulation** program. For **axial** channeling at 400 GeV they saw deflection at the same scale as the Schiott simulation. Their distributions for 100 GeV hadrons bent in a 3 cm crystal are shown below:



Note that the negative deflection is of the same order as the positive case but very diffuse. Volume reflection or capture?

 $\Theta_{axis} = 0.3 \text{ mrad}$

Muon and Negative Hadronic Channeling Carrigan http://home.fnal.gov/~carrigan/channeling/channeling_master.htm Channeling 2008 October, 2008 29

Muon energy loss – small!

