


Flavor Decomposition of the Nucleon's Spin at HERMES



Armenia




Belgium



Canada



China



Germany




L. A. Linden Levy
University of Illinois
1110 W. Green Street
Urbana, IL 61801
lindenle@uiuc.edu




On behalf of the HERMES collaboration



Netherlands



Poland



Russia




United Kingdom



USA

The Motivation for Measuring Δq


$$\begin{aligned}\langle N, S | \gamma^\mu | N, S \rangle &\Rightarrow q(x) \\ \langle N, S | \gamma^5 \gamma^\mu | N, S \rangle &\Rightarrow \Delta q(x) \quad \leftarrow \text{Subject of this talk} \\ \langle N, S | \sigma^{\mu\nu} | N, S \rangle &\Rightarrow \delta q(x)\end{aligned}$$



$$\frac{1}{2} = J_q + J_g \quad \Rightarrow \quad J_q = \Delta q + L_q$$


- Only ~30 % of the spin from the quarks
- Comparison w/ non-perturbative theoretical calculations (i.e. LQCD)
- Parton helicity distributions are fundamental property of QCD bound state.

Semi-Inclusive polarized DIS



$$Q^2 > 1 \text{ GeV}^2, W^2 > 10 \text{ GeV}^2$$

$$z > 0.2, k_0 = 27.5 \text{ GeV}$$




■ **SIDIS:** $e + N \rightarrow e' + h + X$

→ flavor tagging from hadron

→ sensitive to q

$$A_1^h(x) = \frac{1}{\langle P_B P_t \rangle (1 + \eta \gamma) D} \left(\frac{N^{\uparrow\downarrow} \mathcal{L}^{\uparrow\uparrow} - N^{\uparrow\uparrow} \mathcal{L}^{\uparrow\downarrow}}{N^{\uparrow\downarrow} \mathcal{L}^{\uparrow\uparrow} + N^{\uparrow\uparrow} \mathcal{L}^{\uparrow\downarrow}} \right)$$


The HERMES Spectrometer



year	DIS (mil)	target	PID
1996	0.65	H	TC
1997	1.72	H	TC
1998	1.11	D	RICH
1999	1.25	D	RICH
2000	6.69	D	RICH
2002			
2003			

- HERA polarized beam $\langle P_B \rangle = 0.55$.
- Longitudinally polarized gas target $\langle P_t \rangle = 0.88 (0.82)$ H(D).
- Lepton ID efficiency 98% < 1% hadron contamination.
- Transverse target since 2002.

SIDIS Deuteron Asymmetries



- Ring Imaging Cerenkov allows separation of π and K.
- K^- window directly into the sea distributions.
- Purity method allows full flavor decomposition.
- Proton inclusive, and π asymmetries (not shown)
- Future data on proton with RICH is possible.

Purity Method

SIDIS Asymmetries



Δq

$$\vec{A}_1 = P \cdot \vec{Q} \Rightarrow \chi^2 = (\vec{A}_1 - C_R NP \vec{Q})^T v_A^{-1} (\vec{A}_1 - C_R NP \vec{Q})$$



LUND based Purities


kin. & $R(x, Q^2)$

- To exploit the “flavor tagging” technique one must have access to the flavor and hadron specific fragmentation functions.
- In our case these are simulated using the LUND string model. (CTEQ5L)

Δq 5 Flavor Extraction


$$Q(x) = \left(\frac{\Delta u}{u}, \frac{\Delta d}{d}, \frac{\Delta \bar{u}}{\bar{u}}, \frac{\Delta \bar{d}}{\bar{d}}, \frac{\Delta s}{s} \right)$$

- χ^2 minimization scheme using MINUIT
- Fit all bins and all flavors simultaneously
- $\Delta \bar{s} = 0$ assumed for extraction.
- Symmetric strange sea assumption showed little difference.
- Above $x=0.3$ set $q_s = 0$
- Unambiguously determined statistical uncertainties



A. Airapetian et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92 (2004) 012005


Polarized light sea asymmetry



- Purity analysis with:

$$Q'(x) = \left(\frac{\Delta u}{u}, \frac{\Delta d}{d}, \frac{\Delta\bar{u}-\Delta\bar{d}}{\bar{u}-\bar{d}}, \frac{\Delta\bar{d}}{\bar{d}}, \frac{\Delta s}{s} \right)$$

- No evidence for polarized Isospin breaking. (7.7/7)
- Statistics prevent model “busting.”
- Broken symmetry predicted by χQSM . (17.6/7)



Isoscalar method for $\frac{(\Delta s + \Delta \bar{s})}{(s + \bar{s})}$

- $A_{1,d}$ and $A_{1,d}^{K^\pm}$ asymmetries only
- 2x2 Purity formalism
- $e^+ e^-$ fragmentation fits give purities.
- Does not depend on HERMES Monte Carlo
- Results are consistent with 5 flavor extraction
- λ_s strange suppression factor, major source of error.
- BELLE data could improve the situation




$$D_q^{K^\pm} = \lambda_s D_{e^+ + e^-}^{K^\pm}$$

$$P_q^h(x) = \frac{q(x) \int_{z_{min}}^{z_{max}} dz D_q^h(z)}{\sum_{q'} e_{q'}^2 q'(x) \int_{z_{min}}^{z_{max}} dz D_{q'}^h(z)}$$

Conclusions

- First 5 flavor extraction of polarized quark densities (LO)
- Δu strongly positive and Δd negative
- No evidence of negative strange sea polarization.
(expected from inclusive data)
- No breaking of the light sea flavor asymmetry (within statistics)


Inclusive Asymmetries



Monte Carlo Tuning

- Lund String model based polarized MC (PEPSI4)
- Genetic algorithm to minimize variation from unpolarized data

Multiplicities from data (open circles) and MC (red squares) vs. P




$$\chi_a^2 = \sum_i \frac{(m_{i,1} - m_{i,2})^2}{\sigma_{m_{i,1}}^2 + \sigma_{m_{i,2}}^2}$$

$$\chi_{TOT}^2 = \frac{\sum_a \chi_a^2}{\sum_a n_a}$$

- Lund scan to characterize 15 dimensional surface underway.
- Will lead to better understanding of systematic error from MC tune.

PEPSI Challenge



- Can we invert our own simulation
- We know:
 - Input PDF's
 - Purities from Simulation
 - Simulated asymmetries
- Systematic Error study of:
 - The purity method
 - NLO effects
 - Factorization breaking effects

