

## Progress in femtosecond timing distribution and synchronization for ultrafast light sources

### John Byrd Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

## Overview



- New ideas at Berkeley
- Motivation: LCLS example
- Stabilized distribution links
- Synchronizing techniques
- Measuring synchronization

# Acknowledgements



- John Staples, LBNL
- Russell Wilcox, LBNL
- Larry Doolittle, LBNL
- Alex Ratti, LBNL
- Franz Kaertner, MIT
- Omar Illday, MIT
- Axel Winter, DESY
- Paul Emma, SLAC

- John Corlett, LBNL
- Mario Ferianis, ST
- Jun Ye, JILA
- David Jones, U of B.C.
- Joe Frisch, SLAC
- Bill White, SLAC
- Ron Akre, SLAC
- Patrick Krejcik, SLAC









Motivation: LCLS



## Critical LCLS Accelerator Parameters

- Final energy 13.6 GeV (stable to 0.1%)
- Final peak current 3.4 kA (stable to <u>12%</u>)
- Transverse emittance 1.2 mm (stable to 5%)
- Final energy spread 10<sup>-4</sup> (stable to 10%)
- Bunch arrival time (stable to 150 fs)

(stability specifications quoted as rms)

P. Emma





### **Compression Stability**



RF phase jitter becomes bunch length jitter...

**Compression factor:**  $C \approx \frac{\sigma_{z_i}}{\sigma_z} > 1$ 

$$rac{\Delta \sigma_z}{\sigma_z} pprox - (C \mp 1) \left( \cot \phi - 3 rac{\lambda}{R_{56}} 
ight) \Delta \phi$$

P. Emma





### Phase, Amplitude, and Charge Sensitivities

John Byr

Ŵ

BERKELEY LAB

| parameter  | $ \mathbf{D}E/E_0  = 0.1\%$ | $ \mathbf{D}I/I_0  = 12\%$ | $ Dt_{f}  = 100 \text{ fs}$ | unit  |
|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|
| Dt <sub>i</sub>  | 1.6                         | 4.4                        | 1.5                         | psec  |
| $DQ/Q_0$   | 46                          | 5.2                        | 24                          | %     |
| $Df_0$   | 3.5                         | 0.65                       | 5.9                         | deg-S |
| $DV_0/V_0$   | 0.32                        | 0.24                       | 0.95                        | %     |
| $\mathbf{D}\mathbf{f}_{1}$   | 0.32                        | 0.17                       | 1.0                         | deg-S |
| $DV_1/V_1$   | 0.29                        | 0.25                       | 0.78                        | %     |
| Df <sub>X</sub>  | 5.5                         | 1.4                        | 7.6                         | deg-X |
| $DV_X/V_X$   | 2.0                         | 1.2                        | 6.3                         | %     |
| $Df_2$   | 0.54                        | 0.21                       | 0.084                       | deg-S |
| $DV_{\gamma}/V_{\gamma}$   | 1.1                         | 1.0                        | 0.13                        | %     |
| 1 deg-S~1 psec   |                             | 24.8                       | 15                          | deg-S |
|  |                             | 5.7                        | 8.6                         | %     |
| I deg-X~0.25 psec <sup>8 May 2007</sup> John Byrd, INFN Frascati       P. Emma 1 |                             |                            |                             |       |

## Synchronicity



- Next generation light sources require an unprecedented level of remote synchronization between x-rays, lasers, and RF accelerators to allow pump-probe experiments of fsec dynamics.
  - Photocathode laser to gun RF
  - FEL seed laser to user laser
  - Relative klystron phase
  - Electro-optic diagnostic laser to user laser



## **Optical metrology**



A revolution is going on in optical metrology due to several coincident factors:

development of femtosecond comb lasers

•breakthroughs in nonlinear optics

•wide availability of optical components

2005 Nobel Prize in Physics awarded to John L. Hall and Theodor W. Hänsch "for their contributions to the development of laser-based precision spectroscopy, including the optical frequency comb technique"

This technology is *nearly* ready for applications in precision synchronization in accelerators

## Stabilized fiber link

.....



# Why fiber transmission?



- Fiber offers THz bandwidth, immunity from electromagnetic interference, immunity from ground loops and very low attenuation
- However, the phase and group delay of single-mode glass fiber depend on its environmer
  - temperature dependence
  - acoustical dependence
  - dependence on mechanical motion
  - dependence on polarization effects
- These are corrected by reflecting a signal from the far end of the fiber, compare to a reference, and correct fiber phase length.
- Two approaches: CW and pulsed 18 May 2007 John Byrd, INFN Frascati



## Laser Length Standard



Narrow-line (2 kHz) Koheras Laser (coherence length > 25 km)
For single fringe stabilization over 1 km, laser frequency must be stabilized to better than 1:10<sup>9</sup>
Use frequency lock with acetylene cell in Pound-Drever-Hall configuration.

•Techniques exist for greater improvement (I.e. lotter reference)





.....

BERKELEY LAB

## Hardware setup







Dual channel transmitter



## Long link stabilization



Goal: Test fiber stabilization on fiber outside of lab environment. Use part of lab's fiber optic communications network.

•Up to 4 km fiber has been stabilized with jitter of a few fsec. (2 km outside/2 km in lab).

•Feedback compensates for 100 psec (one way) of diurnal variation.

Limit given by stability and linewidth of laser
Gain/bandwidth of compensation feedback limited by roundtrip fiber delay.





Use symmetric frequency shift at end of each link to bring relative optical phase information to 110 MHz beat frequency.

#### **Results**:

3 km long run/2 km external/1 km in lab, 2 m short run in lab
3 fsec drift over 3/4 day run!!!
lab temp variation of ~0.5 deg-C
external temperature variation ~20 deg-C



18 May 2007

# **RF** signal transmission



RF (S-band) may be modulated directly onto the optical carrier with a zero-chirp Mach-Zehnder modulator and recovered directly at the far end of the fiber. Any modulation pattern is acceptable.



Critical to minimize added phase noise at demodulation.

Modulation of CW carrier has signal S/N advantages over

```
pulsed modulation.
```

### Group and Phase Velocity Correction

Interferometric technique stabilizes phase delay at a single frequency . At a fixed T, simple a 1.6% correction for 1 km cable.

Possible fixes: measure group velocity from the differential phase velocity at two frequencies.

Correction can be applied dynamically or via a feedforward scheme.

18 May 2007



ERKELEY LAB

## **RF transmission design**



- RF transmission has looser requirements on jitter
- LLRF system can integrate between shots to reduce high frequency jitter



# Conceptual system design



- Laser synch for any popular modelocked laser
- RF transmission via modulated CW, and interferometric line stabilization
- RF receiver is integrated with low level RF electronics design 18 May 2007 John Byrd, INFN Frascati

# Pulsed distribution system



# Stabilized Fiber Links: pulsed



Optical cross correlator enables sub-femtosecond length stabilization,

if necessary

# Stabilized fiber link summary

Results:

- A 4 km fiber link has been stabilized
  - Drift few fsec/hour (<50 microdeg @1.3 GHz) long-term
  - peak-to-peak jitter <1 fsec (55 MHz bandwidth).</li>
- Effort has been funded by FEL development (LDRD, Fermi)
- A contract to supply LCLS with a timing system begins 15 May 2007. In progress:
- Characterization
  - RF modulation on optical carrier over multiple links
  - temperature dependence of fiber dispersion
  - remote locking of mode-locked laser pair
- Test setup in accelerator environment (SLAC Linac tunnel)
- Integration with low-level RF modules



## Idealized example





Figure 1. Experimental setup for timing synchronization of two fs lasers. The four phase-locked loops for synchronization are shown, along with the signal analysis scheme. Heterodyne detection of the carrier beat frequency between the two fs lasers is also shown.

R. K. Shelton et al.

JOURNAL OF MODERN OPTICS, 2002, VOL. 49, NO. 3/4, 401-409

#### Achieved 4.3 fsec jitter over 160 Hz BW for 10 seconds.

John Byre

## Four-frequency synch scheme



- Novel approach for locking lasers
- $(f_1 f_3) (f_2 f_4) = \text{error signal}$
- Yields relative phase of mode locked laser repetition rates
- Equivalent to difference of two THz signals
- Does not require carrier-envelope offset stabilized lasers







Actual performance depends on many technical details:

thermal and acoustic environment of cable layout
design of feedback loops

- •gain limited by system poles (i.e. resonances in the system)
- •multiple audio BW feedback loops suggests flexible digital platform
- •feedback must deal with drift and jitter (separate loops?)

•AM/PM conversion in photodiode downconversion



## Example: Menlo EDFL



- Piezo driven cavity end mirror controls reprate
- Was a 10mm long piezo on a light Al plate
- Replaced with 2mm piezo on steel plate



### ALS x-ray streak camera





Photocathode / slit

John Byr



Photoconductive GaAs switch for triggering

John Byrd, INFN Frascati



## Streak camera issues



- Fundamental time resolution limit set by ballistic expansion from energy spread at photocathode
  - reduced by higher voltage accelerating gaps
  - need an additional element to produce negative energy dependent time of flight (W. Wan)
- Jitter in triggering deflection requires each image to be fiducialized.
  - Use resonant deflector synchronized to periodic source (storage ring!)
- Space charge limits resolution for intense x-ray sources.
  - Develop start-end model to study effect.

# Streak Camera Model



•Build 3-d model in environment that includes time domain beam dynamics and electromagnetism: MAFIA

 Initial electron energy spread assumed from model of secondary emission

•Use variable grid to account for important effects in anode-cathode and deflector.

•Space charge not yet included.

#### •First start-to-end model of a streak camera.

Meander Line Deflector Modeling geometry intended to match low beta beam structure forms lowpass filter, limiting pulse risetime careful design needed to match bandwidth of input pulse 0.8 0.6 ).4 Transmission vs. ).2 . meander leg length Frequency / GHz 200 effective Deflecting Voltage (V) beam 100 voltage -100 deflector input pulse -200 -300

-0.2

-0.4

0.2

Time (nsec)

0.0

0.4

0.6

.....

BERKELEY LAB



## **Experimental Results**





#### World record resolution for SC. Low trigger jitter SC model points the way to better results!

18 May 2007

John Byrd, INFN Frascati

## **Beam Timing Monitor**



Beam timing relative to RF phase is critical for maintain overall beam quality: •beam energy stability •bunch compression •beam energy via main linac phase

Our development of a stable timing reference opens the door for tremendous advances in measuring beam timing





# Initial lab results



- •MLLaser provide 100 fsec pulses at 100 MHz
- •Fiber dispersion stretches to ~1 psec. Compensation should recover ~200 fsec.
- •Tests using ALS BPM signals underway





## Initial beam results





- •Equipment assembled on ALS shielding
- ML laser locked to ALS RF
  Initial results observed with modulation of beam signal onto CW laser
- •More results expected soon.



18 May 2007

## Summary



- Accelerators are ready to take advantage the revolution in optical metrology and telecomm technology
  - optical timing systems will be available for the next generation of light sources
    - LCLS, FLASH, XFEL, FERMI, SPARX (?)...
  - femtosecond lasers can be synchronized at the fsec level
  - distribution links can be (optically) stabilized to fsec level
  - results expected soon in synching remote mode-locked lasers
- Synchronization diagnostics have a bright future
  - streak cameras
  - electro-optic sampling techniques

## Our future...





**Aerial view of the Berkeley Laboratory** 

BERKELEY LAB

18 May 2007