## QUICK DIMENSIONING FOR AN HYBRID TYPE QUADRUPOLE

## C. Sanelli

The hybrid quadrupole is a good candidate for the DAФNE interaction region, and a prototype is presently under construction at Ansaldo Ricerca.

The cross section of such a quadrupole is shown in Fig. 1.


Fig. 1 - Hybrid Quadrupole geometry.

In Fig. 2 the gradient of the hybrid quadrupole vs. the inner bore $r_{o}$ and for different external radii is shown.
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Fig. 2 - The gradient as calculated by Poisson for different bore and external radii.

## APPENDIX

In the following we give more details on how the magnetic field values are derived. All the calculations are made with the $2-\mathrm{D}$ code Poisson under the following assumptions:

1) 2 mm gap has been considered between the permanent magnet and the tune stud to take in account the thickness of the wall of the box containing the permanent magnets;
2) the maximum external dimension of the permanent magnets has been limited to $0.85 * \mathrm{R}_{\max }$, to take into account the thickness of the external collar that has been assumed $0.15 * \mathrm{R}_{\max }$;


Fig. 3 - The gradient as function of the parameter Lpm/( $\left.r_{o}+0.2\right)^{\wedge} 2$.
3) the permanent magnets, as in the hybrid undulators, have been considered overhanged with respect to the tune studs; a $20 \%$ of the full width of the p.m. has been considered overhanged;
4) in the following, bore radii ranging from 25 to 45 mm and gradients ranging from about 5 to $17 \mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{m}$ have been considered;
5) the angle theta has been assumed $28^{\circ}$;
6) the permanent magnets have been assumed to have $H_{C}=9000 \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{e}}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{r}}$ $=10200$ Gauss;
7) the iron is the 1001 steel.

The following formulas give the coordinates of the points shown in Fig. 1 ( $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{o}}, \mathrm{R}_{\max }$ must be in mm ).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{A}}=\frac{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{o}}}{\sqrt{2}} \\
& \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{A}}=\frac{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{o}}}{\sqrt{2}} \\
& \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{B}}=\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{o}} \cdot \frac{\cos \theta \cdot \cos (45-\theta)}{1-\sin \theta} \\
& y_{B}=r_{0} \cdot \frac{\cos \theta \cdot \sin (45-\theta)}{1-\sin \theta} \\
& \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{C}}=0.48 \cdot \mathrm{R}_{\max }+0.434 \cdot \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{o}} \cdot \frac{\cos \theta \cdot \cos (45-\theta)}{1-\sin \theta} \\
& \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{C}}=0.48 \cdot \mathrm{R}_{\max }+\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{o}} \cdot \frac{\cos \theta}{1-\sin \theta} \cdot(\sin (45-\theta)-0.565 \cos (45-\theta)) \\
& \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{D}}=0.48 \cdot \mathrm{R}_{\max }+\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{o}} \cdot \frac{\cos \theta}{1-\sin \theta} \cdot(0.434 \cos (45-\theta)-0.707 \sin \theta) \\
& Y_{D}=x_{D} \\
& \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{L}}=0.68 \cdot \mathbf{R}_{\max }+0.2 \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{o}} \cdot \frac{\cos \theta \cdot \cos (45-\theta)}{1-\sin \theta} \\
& Y_{L}=Y_{B} \\
& x_{E}=x_{B} \\
& \mathbf{y}_{\mathrm{E}}=0.0 \\
& X_{F}=X_{E} \\
& \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{F}}=\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{O}} \cdot \frac{\cos \theta \cdot \sin (45-\theta)}{1-\sin \theta}-2 \\
& \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{G}}=0.85 \cdot \mathbf{R}_{\text {max }} \\
& Y_{G}=Y_{F}
\end{aligned}
$$

A certain number of possibilities have been analyzed by means of Poisson and in Fig. 2 the results obtained for three different external radii (80, 100, 120 mm ) are plotted.

In Fig. 3 the gradient vs. the length of the permanent magnets is also shown: the squares correspond to the gradients as calculated by Poisson, while the solid line is a best fit with a second order polinomial:

$$
\mathrm{G}=1.8114+31.086 \frac{\mathrm{Lpm}}{\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{o}}+0.2\right)^{2}}-14.609\left(\frac{\mathrm{Lpm}}{\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{o}}+0.2\right)^{2}}\right)^{2}
$$

The extrapolation outside the region of Fig. 2 is good within $10 \%$.

